

**From:** Andy Pitman <andy.pitman@gmail.com>  
**Subject:** **Re: Reply 3 Re: Just read your article**  
**Date:** 10 January 2011 8:28:29 PM AEST  
**To:** Malcolm Roberts <catalyst@eis.net.au>  
**Reply-To:** a.pitman@unsw.edu.au

---

Hello,

your e-mails have been blocked at receipt and automatically deleted. They have not been delivered to the nominated recipient or read.

If you believe this to be in error please write to the address below.

I sincerely apologise if this is in error.

Andy Pitman

On 10/01/11 9:24 PM, Malcolm Roberts wrote:

Andy:

Please pardon me for not raising this in my earlier reply. It is important though for clarity before embarking on your advice.

Your third paragraph below is intriguing. Are you not aware of the huge number of scientists of many disciplines including scientists internationally eminent in physics, climate, oceanography, geologists, biologists, hydrologists who not only disagree with the UN IPCC's core claim they disparage the UN IPCC and its processes as unscientific, politically corrupted and even fraudulent?

Are you, as a UN IPCC Lead Author, not aware of this?

Secondly, why are reputable scientists denied the opportunity to have their papers published in peer reviewed journals. They instead have to petition governments to have their voice heard. Where does Consensus '*Science*' end and True Science appear?

Thirdly, are you not aware of the depth and extent of the damage done by the UN IPCC to its own reputation within the scientific community?

My statements are based on UN IPCC data obtained from the UN IPCC

itself and on separate statements by eminent scientists, lengthy lists of scientists criticising the UN IPCC and petitions by scientists. These are referenced in my web site.

Given that even UN IPCC data obtained from the UN IPCC itself reveals its core chapter on detection and attribution of global warming is based on corrupt science, what reassurance can you provide me for the other chapters to which you refer?

Please reassure me with solid data supporting your claim and advice about the UN IPCC chapters.

And with one piece of specific, scientifically measured real-world evidence of your claim that human CO2 caused global warming.

Malcolm Roberts  
BE (Hons), MBA (Chicago)  
Fellow AICD, MAIM, MAusIMM, MAME (USA), MIMM (UK), Fellow ASQ  
(USA, Aust)

[www.conscious.com.au](http://www.conscious.com.au)

180 Haven Road  
Pullenvale QLD 4069  
Phone:  
Home 07 3374 3374  
Mobile 04 1964 2379  
E-mail: [catalyst@eis.net.au](mailto:catalyst@eis.net.au)

Please note: Apart from suburb and state, my contact details are not for publication nor broadcasting and are provided only for your own personal use to respond.

On 09/01/2011, at 5:51 PM, Andy Pitman wrote:

Hi Malcolm,

I suggest you read the chapters in the IPCC 2007 report on observations, and on radiative forcing. If I simply quote you subsets of these reports I might be accused of selectivity, or misrepresentation. You need to read them in their entirety and read a suite of the accompanying

literature cited therein.

This is, of course, a huge undertaking but unless you do this people can easily mislead you by simple explanations that "its natural" or "its volcanoes" or "its the sun" and that is such a waste of everyone's time.

If you form the basis of a judgment based on evidence that will convince you. If you have already made up your mind that the climate scientists, physicists, oceanographers, most geologists, biologists, hydrologists have just made this all up then I do not think I can help.

I hope you enjoy the reading. If you cannot access specific papers please let me know and I may be able to help.

Andy Pitman

On 9/01/11 6:04 PM, Malcolm Roberts wrote:

Andy:

Happy new year to you and yours.

Having just read your article printed in The Australian ("No need to go gaga over Gaia") I noted this paragraph of yours, quote: "For the record, that the Earth is warming due to greenhouse gases emitted by human activities is as certain as pretty well anything else in mainstream science."

Please provide one piece of specific scientifically measured real-world data proving your claim.

Please do not cite computer models as these have not been validated and have proven erroneous.

Please do not cite UN IPCC reports as the 2007 report's core chapter 9 does not provide any real-world scientific evidence. None.

Please do not claim the UN IPCC relies on peer-reviewed science. That is a proven falsity.

And this paragraph, quote: "There is scientific doubt about global warming, too, but we know with certainty that continued emissions of carbon dioxide will lead to warming, rising sea levels and ocean acidification at unprecedented rates, and that these changes will trigger expenses and outcomes that dwarf the costs of actually solving the problem."

Please provide one specific piece of scientifically measured real-world data proving this claim of certainty.

Just one piece of real-world scientific data for each of your claims, please Andy.

Malcolm Roberts  
BE (Hons), MBA (Chicago)  
Fellow AICD, MAIM, MAusIMM, MAME (USA), MIMM (UK), Fellow ASQ  
(USA, Aust)

[www.conscious.com.au](http://www.conscious.com.au)

180 Haven Road  
Pullenvale QLD 4069  
Phone:  
Home 07 3374 3374  
Mobile 04 1964 2379  
E-mail: [catalyst@eis.net.au](mailto:catalyst@eis.net.au)

Please note: Apart from suburb and state, my contact details are not for publication nor broadcasting and are provided only for your own personal use to respond.

--

Professor AJ Pitman  
Co director, Climate Change Research Centre,  
The University of New South Wales.

[a.pitman@unsw.edu.au](mailto:a.pitman@unsw.edu.au)  
skype: andy.pitman.  
Phone +61 2 9385 7075

--

Professor AJ Pitman

Co director, Climate Change Research Centre,  
The University of New South Wales.

[a.pitman@unsw.edu.au](mailto:a.pitman@unsw.edu.au) skype: andy.pitman.

Phone +61 2 9385 7075