

Nature completely controls CO2 levels —the UN IPCC's 'theory' is insanity

Here are common-sense examples so people can see for themselves that the UN IPCC's core claim is ridiculous.

Regular seasonal variation of atmospheric CO2 levels shows that Nature controls CO2 levels and that human production of CO2 cannot cause global warming

Based on excerpts from **'Two Dead Elephants in Parliament'** pages 37 and 38

The Earth's soils, near-surface rocks, oceans and biomass contain 100,000 times the carbon contained in Earth's atmosphere. The Earth's oceans contain, as dissolved CO₂, 50 times the CO₂ contained in Earth's atmosphere. CO₂'s solubility in water decreases as water temperature increases. 71% of the Earth's surface is ocean. Most of that ocean is in the southern hemisphere.

According to UN IPCC figures, annually humans produce around 23 billion tonnes of CO₂. Annually Nature produces a whopping 770 billion tonnes. Thus humans produce just 3% of Earth's annual CO₂ production. Thus Nature overwhelmingly controls production of CO₂.

Atmospheric CO₂ levels are seasonal, cyclical. During the southern hemisphere summer, ocean surface waters warm and release huge quantities of dissolved CO₂ into the atmosphere to raise global atmospheric CO₂ levels. During the southern hemisphere winter, ocean surface waters cool and absorb huge quantities of CO₂ from the atmosphere. Thus, even though humans continue producing CO₂, Nature more than compensates and reduces atmospheric CO₂ levels. Thus Nature entirely controls the reabsorption of CO₂ from the atmosphere. The atmosphere is in robust, dynamic balance with the oceans and other CO₂ sinks through Henry's (gas) law and through Nature maintaining natural dynamic equilibrium.

Why? Because Nature naturally seeks to maintain Earth in dynamic equilibrium. Nature has not watched Al Gore's movie - she does not have 'tipping points'. Instead, she has natural balancing mechanisms that return atmospheric CO₂ levels toward dynamic equilibrium. These levels are themselves part of Nature's overall mechanisms for maintaining balance through natural, inherent variation in thousands, perhaps millions, of natural factors.

Controlling almost all production of CO₂ and all reabsorption of CO₂, Nature controls and determines atmospheric CO₂ levels.

Scientific studies point to residence time for atmospheric CO₂ within the range 2-18 years, with many papers concluding 5-7 years. Some recent scientific studies show residence time is 12 months. That is, within 5-7 years or possibly within 12 months of CO₂ being produced (whether

by Nature or by humans) it is recycled from the atmosphere. That is part of the carbon cycle that is essential for all life on Earth. Many natural factors affect atmospheric CO2 levels. When these factors change it can lead to new atmospheric CO2 levels. eg, ocean temperatures have a large controlling effect on atmospheric CO2 levels and the ongoing increase of temperature from the Little Ice Age has likely caused more ocean outgassing than can be absorbed by increased vegetation. The Little Ice Age's third minimum started to end around 1850. Earth's temperature currently remains below Earth's average for the last 3,000 years. 'Thriving with Nature & Humanity', page 19.

Using the above figures, and thinking in layman's terms, in every 85,800 molecules of air, 33 are CO2. Of those, humans just produce one. That the UN IPCC and Al Gore claim that one (1) molecule of CO2 in 85,800 molecules of air catastrophically warms the planet is nonsense. That the UN IPCC and Al Gore claim that one (1) molecule of human CO2 causes catastrophic warming while the remaining 32 molecules of Nature's identical CO2 do not is insanity.

Humankind is puny

Based on excerpts from 'Thriving with Nature & Humanity' pages 27 and 28

Temporarily suspend the laws of Nature and physics to assume the UN IPCC radiative back-warming 'theory' of global warming is valid. Then, purely for illustration, calculate an indicative impact of human production of CO2 on temperature. Use assumptions commonly used by proponents of global warming:

- CO2's theoretical maximum share of the greenhouse gas theory's effect is 3% (water vapour is 95%)
- Total human production of CO2 is 3% of Earth's annual production (UN IPCC figure), and
- Using temperature increase of 0.8 Degrees C since 1860 - close to start of industrialisation and end of Little Ice Age.

Then, human effect on temperature would be: $0.8 \times 0.03 \times 0.03 = 0.0007$ degrees C.

These indicative calculations exaggerate the UN IPCC's theorised impacts of human CO2 because they ignore the supposed logarithmically decreasing impact of raising CO2. The calculations also exaggerate because they ignore proven negative feedback which more than offsets theorised temperature from higher CO2.

Despite overstating the 'theory's' effect, this calculation provides indicative scale of human contribution.

Given the 'theory' has no proof whatsoever and contradicts laws of physics, it is safe to conclude human production of CO2 has no impact on global average temperature.

Continuing:

Using Australia's 1.5% share of global CO2 production and assuming the federal government succeeded in cutting CO2 production by its stated goal of 5%, Australia's impact on temperature would be to reduce global average temperature by $0.0007 \times 0.015 \times 0.05 = 0.0000005$, half of one millionth of a degree C.

If all nations world-wide took the same action, temperature drops 0.00005 degree C—half of one ten thousandth of a degree C!

Returning to reality, science and common-sense say warming due to human production of CO2 is not happening. Physics and Laws of Nature say it cannot happen.

Referring to the separately listed 'Factors driving climate', it is clear that anyone claiming the power to control climate, whether President or Prime Minister or UN executive or Climate Change Minister, needs to prove they can control our galaxy, our solar system, our sun, and our planet's axis tilt, magnetic field and volcanic activity. And land formations, ocean currents, El Nino cycles,

In God and Nature, we trust. All others bring data.