

2. What do you expect?

I expect our university to restore use of the scientific process and to restore honesty and integrity particularly to science. My secondary needs are for our university to demonstrate openness, transparency, clarity, understanding, care and respect for science and people and to restore practical care for genuine humanitarian and environmental challenges. Specifically, I seek these actions:

1. A public admission from Professor Hoegh-Guldberg, that he has no empirical evidence and logical explanation proving that carbon dioxide from human activity caused, causes or will cause global warming or global climate change. Or, alternatively accurately provide such empirical evidence and logical reasoning proving causation.
2. A public retraction from Professor Hoegh-Guldberg and from our university of Professor Hoegh-Guldberg's claims or implied claims made in court that carbon dioxide from human activity causes global warming or global climate variability including global climate change. Or failing to give that retraction, present the empirical evidence and logical reasoning proving causation by carbon dioxide from human activity and specify the exact location of such evidence in the literature. Such location to include report or journal or book title, author's name, date of publication, page numbers, and if applicable, chapter title.
3. An apology from Professor Hoegh-Guldberg for his implied slur on me about anti-Semitism.
4. A public apology from Mr. John Cook and Professor Hoegh-Guldberg for their use of the term "denier" when discussing those whose view on climate differs from their personal views.
5. An apology from the Vice Chancellor Professor Peter Høj on behalf of the University of Queensland for the university's use of the term "denier" in referring to those who do not agree that human production of carbon dioxide affects global climate.
6. A public statement from the Vice Chancellor Professor Peter Høj reinforcing the need to decide science based on empirical evidence and to accurately specify the causal logic before claiming causation.
7. A public reinforcement from the Vice Chancellor that modern science relies on objective, scientific empirical evidence in accordance with the scientific process and method that has freed humanity from nature's extremes and from ignorance for almost four centuries.
8. The university's public repudiation of the concept that consensus is an acceptable scientific method of science and repudiation of the widespread corruption of climate science resulting in misrepresentation of climate science among politicians, the media and the public at large.
9. The university's public statement formally rejecting post-normal science as being an acceptable form of scientific analysis.
10. A public statement from the Vice Chancellor Professor publicly affirming the need for people to be free of overt and/or implied scientific censorship. And further, his commitment to root out any form of scientific censorship and to do so with the honest and demonstrated intent to restore and protect science.
11. A public commitment from Professors Høj and Hoegh-Guldberg and from Mr. Cook that they will refrain from misrepresenting climate and climate science and will base future statements and claims on empirical evidence combined within a scientific logic proving causation.
12. A commitment from Professor Hoegh-Guldberg that he will not make political statements when representing our university.
13. A statement from the university affirming its insistence upon compliance with its code of conduct and with state legislation.