

Tuesday, 12 April 2016

Mrs. Jane Prentice, MP  
Federal Member for Ryan  
Assistant Minister for Disability Services  
636 Moggill Road  
Chapel Hill QLD 4069  
(PO Box 704  
Indooroopilly QLD 4068)

**Personally delivered**  
**Followed by electronic copy for easy checking of links herein**

Dear Mrs. Prentice:


Congratulations on your step up to the Turnbull ministry and thank you for your letter of 16 December 2015 sharing a copy (enclosed) of Greg Hunt's reply to you dated 1 October 2015. Among his many conflicts with hard facts he contradicts measured and observed empirical data that decides science.

Contrary to **Mr. Hunt's second paragraph** I have never met with Mr. Baldwin. Mr. Hunt's claim is false.

Contrary to **Mr. Hunt's third paragraph** neither he nor the government has any empirical scientific evidence supporting his core climate claim and more than one of his claims in that paragraph contradict empirical evidence and documented facts in his possession. Mr. Hunt's meandering appeals to authority, distractions, and detours cannot hide the fact that his implicit and deliberate core claim is false.

Contrary to **Mr. Hunt's fourth paragraph** there is no scientific reason or justification to increase prices or taxes on energy, business and jobs nor impose any action by Australia to cut human output of carbon dioxide. Like Mr. Hunt's denials and downplaying of his publicly documented support for an unconstitutional and damaging UN campaign shown below, his fourth paragraph's key claim is false.

I remind you, Jane, that my last eight years investigating climate science includes responses from the Bureau of Meteorology (BOM) and CSIRO to my requests made under federal Freedom of Information (FOI) statutes for the period 2005-2013 across the Howard-Rudd-Gillard-Milne governments; correspondence from the BOM's Director Dr. Rob Vertessy and from the CSIRO's then Chief Executive Dr. Megan Clark and CSIRO's Group Executive-Environment Dr. Andrew Johnson; detailed analysis of BOM and CSIRO reports by internationally respected climate scientists and by other independent researchers including my quantified analyses; thorough reviews of United Nations Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (UN IPCC) reports by internationally respected scientists and political observers and my quantified analyses; personal correspondence with the nine most prominent Australian 'climate' academics pushing climate alarm while in receipt of funds from the Gillard/Rudd-Greens coalition being David Karoly one of the UN IPCC's most senior advisers on climate, Will Stefan, Tim Flannery, Ross Garnaut, Ove Hoegh-Guldberg, Lesley Hughes, Matthew England, Kurt Lambeck and Andy Pitman all advocates of the ALP-Greens climate taxes; challenges and complaints to prominent Australian universities that depend on,



Specialised  
Management and  
Leadership Services

180 Haven Road  
Pullenvale Q 4069  
Australia

E: malcolmr@conscious.com.au

W: www.conscious.com.au

LinkedIn:  
Malcolm Ieuan Roberts

P: 61 7 3374 3374

M: 61 4 1964 2379

Conscious Pty Ltd  
trading as  
Catalyst For Corporate Performance  
ACN: 066 347 877

and are compromised by, ALP-Greens government climate funding; meetings with Coalition Members of Parliament, senior cabinet ministers including Greg Hunt Minister for the Environment and Deputy Prime Minister Barnaby Joyce, and backbenchers; letters to and from ALP Members including Cabinet ministers responsible for climate being Senator Penny Wong and her successor Mr. Greg Combet, former Attorney General Senator Robert McClelland and other ALP and Greens federal MPs; prominent journalists including those of the government's ABC.

My qualifications include an honours degree in engineering that covered atmospheric gases including carbon dioxide at the core of Mr. Hunt's climate "beliefs", an MBA from the University of Chicago Graduate School of Business famous for rigorous statistical analysis, and my stringent statutory qualifications in our state and in NSW that cover study and examination on atmospheric gases including carbon dioxide that was the basis for my responsibility for the lives of hundreds of people. During the last eight years I have researched the extensive empirical data on global temperature and climate and on carbon dioxide, including data that the UN IPCC cites and relies upon at the core of its reports to national governments and media.

Internationally eminent and respected Canadian climatologist Professor Tim Ball reviewed my October 2015 summary of the empirical evidence on climate and assessed it to be accurate apart from one spelling error on page 3. It is at <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/docs/20151012/4.4Empirical.pdf> and a paper copy is included for you. To enable you to verify I will separately send you contact details for Professor Ball who in my experience with many scientists globally is easily the best on climate with a strong grasp of pure science, weather, climate, the environment, human behavior, politics and policy.

A two-page summary of the empirical evidence is provided on pages 9 and 10 of my February 2015 report to Senator Simon Birmingham and The Hon Bob Baldwin, MP—successive parliamentary secretaries to Mr. Hunt—in response to a letter from the senator. A copy of the report was sent to Mr. Hunt and to you early last year, a paper copy is enclosed herein and it is available at <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/docs/BaldwinBirminghamReport.pdf>.

Internationally respected award-winning palaeoclimatologist and climate scientist the late Professor Bob Carter said my report is a, quote: "... *magnificent summary brief for Baldwin. What can one say, except that - it's magnificent! The amount of work you have put into assembling and editing your various documents, most recently this one, is simply amazing.*"

**As an engineer who has held statutory responsibility for applying atmospheric science on-the-job I remind you that the key trait of science is that it is decided objectively by empirical evidence of cause-and-effect. That is the source of science's power, authority and beauty. Empirical data makes and decides science; it is science's heart.**

I have provided you personally and in writing with the empirical evidence and as you know from our meetings and correspondence, my declaration of personal interests has been available since publishing my first climate document in 2009 and an updated version is at:

<http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/documents/additional%20material/Personal%20Declaration%20of%20interests.pdf>

Please refer to the enclosed summary of my interactions with you (<http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/prenticerobertsoh.html>) on climate and on the UN's 1992 Rio Declaration for twenty-first century global governance renamed last year as UN 'Sustainable' Development Goals (SDG) 2030. Please recall your letter of 22 February 2013 asking me to continue sending you information and recall my

subsequent reports that no one has sensibly refuted.

**Please ask yourself, Jane, whether you have ever seen any empirical evidence proving human causation of global climate variability because this is the first question for competent and honest Members of Parliament, journalists, academics, and informed voters.** Please see the enclosed summaries and note these empirical facts on temperature:

- The hard data shows that over the last 120 years the longest single temperature trend was from the 1930's to 1975 when atmospheric temperatures **cooled** for 40 years.
- From 1976 to 1995 temperatures rose modestly starting with the small step in 1976 due to the entirely natural Great Pacific Climate Shift.
- Since 1995, notwithstanding the known natural cyclical El Nino events in 1998 and in late last year carrying into this year, temperatures have been flat with no warming for over 20 years.
- Since the start of atmospheric temperature measurements using weather balloons in 1958 joined by satellites from 1979 onwards, 70% of the time has shown no warming, just stasis or cooling.
- There has been no warming for 70% of the UN IPCC's existence.
- The 1930's-40's and the late nineteenth century in Australia were warmer than the current decade.
- The world's longest thermometer temperature measurement is the Central England Temperature from 1659 and its more than 350 years of data shows the warming cycle from the 1690's to 1720's had a greater and faster increase than the most recent warming cycle. Weather records in Australia and globally reveal natural warming and cooling cycles with the latest cycle showing no statistical change from other cycles since 1659.

**The empirical data shows that over the last 120 years there has been only natural cyclical variation in temperature, rainfall, droughts, floods, snowfall, cyclones or storms. Despite some activists among the media, academics and politics telling us humans are to blame, the data show no unusual, unnatural or human cause of global climate variability and nothing is happening with temperature or climate.**

The UN's claim relies on its 2001 report's 'hockey stick' temperature graph that was closed to peer-review and on that basis alone should have been discarded. Later scrutiny proved it to be unscientifically fabricated and an embarrassed and discredited UN IPCC then switched to erroneous un-validated computerised numerical models that the UN IPCC in its latest report (2013) admits are faulty. Worse, the UN cannot explain why the models it conjured are wrong and this confirms that it does not understand what really does drive climate.

There has been no unnatural warming yet even if there were, it would need to be proven that it was due to carbon dioxide from human activity and that warming is harmful. Please see the enclosed summaries and note these empirical facts on carbon dioxide:

- During the last 80 years from 1936 human production of carbon dioxide increased massively during World War 2 and the post-war economic boom yet temperature fell during the **40 years of cooling** from the 1930s to 1975. Then came 20 years of modest warming until 1995 followed by a period of 20 years of record human production of carbon dioxide led by Chinese and Indian development with **no rise in atmospheric temperatures**. Three quarters of the last 80 years showed no warming with data showing 40 years of cooling, then 20 years of modest warming

and now 20 years of no warming. **Clearly human production of carbon dioxide has no effect on temperature.**

- Data that the UN cites on carbon dioxide levels over just sixty years plus long-term scientific data **prove that changes in carbon dioxide levels follow changes in temperature and are caused by changes in temperature.** The UN's core climate claim contradicts the data it cites.
- The UN IPCC's 2007 report admits that oceans contain, in dissolved form, 50 times the carbon dioxide in earth's atmosphere, others say 70 times more. Ocean temperature affects carbon dioxide's solubility in water with the oceans and atmosphere exchanging carbon dioxide depending on the temperature and this controls the level of carbon dioxide in air. The empirical data show that human production has no effect at all on carbon dioxide's level in air and this is consistent with laws of science and nature and with earth's history. This means that if all industry was shut worldwide or if industry increased many fold, it would have no material effect on the level of carbon dioxide in air.
- The UN IPCC omits 90,000 reliable measurements of carbon dioxide levels in the last 200 years, including Nobel Science Prize winning scientists' recordings with some past measurements 40 per cent above today's levels.
- Carbon is the fourth most common element on earth and is in every cell of every living organism while carbon dioxide is known to be essential for life on earth and is an atmospheric trace gas that is a tiny 0.04 per cent of our air. Internationally awarded scientist Professor Ian Plimer reports that carbon dioxide in earth's air is only 0.001 per cent of the total carbon dioxide held in the oceans, surface rocks, air, soils and life. The carbon dioxide in air is one one hundred thousandth (1/100,000th) of earth's carbon dioxide. Even the UN IPCC admits that every year nature makes 32 times more carbon dioxide than does all human activity.
- Levels in earth's past were around 130 times higher than today and when life flourished on earth were five to six times higher than today.

**The empirical data decides science and shows that changes in the level of carbon dioxide in air do not cause temperature change, they are a result of temperature change. This is the opposite of Mr. Hunt's claim.**

**Secondly, empirical data prove that human production of carbon dioxide does not and cannot affect the level of carbon dioxide in air because that is determined by temperature that controls the gas's flow between ocean and air. Taxing or regulating carbon dioxide cannot and will not affect climate. Taxing hurts people and jobs for no reason.** Carbon dioxide is essential to life and neither kills nor harms; poverty does.

BOM and CSIRO have no empirical evidence proving that carbon dioxide from human activity affects climate and as their responses to my Freedom of Information (FOI) requests prove, neither has ever given any such evidence to any Member of Parliament between 2005 and 2013 spanning the Howard, Rudd and Gillard-Milne governments. Yet in some of their public reports both agencies contradict empirical evidence. This is detailed in the enclosed copy of my 2013 report entitled *CSIROh! Climate of Deception? ... Or First Step to Freedom?* and in enclosed copies of its Appendices 6, 6a and 7 (<http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>) and in letters from the heads of both agencies, and in responses from both agencies to my FOI requests documented at <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/letters.html>.

The UN IPCC has never presented any empirical evidence of humans causing global climate variability and scrutiny of UN IPCC reports shows deliberate, persistent,

pervasive misrepresentation of climate that contradicts empirical evidence. In its latest report (2013) the sole chapter claiming warming and blaming it on human activity was chapter 10 and it and the UN's reports are analysed in the enclosed copy of my *CSIROh!* report's Appendix 2 (<http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>).

As a result of its unscientific practices America's National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) is now under investigation by Lamar Smith, Chair of the American House of Representatives Committee on Science, Space, and Technology. My eight years investigating climate claims covers the advocacy of activists James Hansen and later Gavin Schmidt who politicised NASA's Goddard Institute of Space Studies (GISS) through its website and climate publications whose core claim of human causation contradicts empirical evidence. Contrary to the advocacy of Mr. Hansen and Mr. Schmidt NASA has never presented empirical evidence proving that the use of hydrocarbon fuels affects global temperature, climate or climate variability.

Like BOM, CSIRO, NOAA and NASA-GISS, a small politicised group at Britain's UK Met Office has published reports advocating unfounded climate alarm. Such reports are not based on empirical evidence and contradict empirical evidence.

None of Australia's major universities and none of the many academics, journalists and politicians I contacted has, in their responses, provided any empirical evidence of human carbon dioxide affecting temperature or climate.

The reason there is no empirical evidence showing human cause of climate variability is that the claim of human cause contradicts the empirical data and the empirical evidence proves that it is physically impossible for our production of carbon dioxide to affect temperature and climate factors such as rainfall, droughts, storms, floods or climate variability.

Despite receiving these facts and many others documented on paper and electronically, **Mr. Hunt implies evidence where clearly there is none.** He variously claims to rely on the UN IPCC's reports, CSIRO and BOM yet these agencies have never provided any empirical evidence of human cause. Nonetheless, after I repeatedly proved this he continues to state or imply his "belief" in human cause. When held accountable he resorts to some of the enclosed common excuses and myths as 'justification' for his "belief". (<http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/prenticerobertsoh.html>) Belief is the hallmark of religion being faith-based not science that is data-driven.

As a result of your offer in January 2011 to arrange a meeting for me with Mr. Hunt and as a result of my persistence despite Mr. Hunt's initial protestations, I met with him in his Hastings, Victoria electorate office in the company of my colleague Gordon Alderson who can confirm that Mr. Hunt showed no knowledge of the scientific fundamentals and presented no empirical evidence. Mr. Alderson can confirm that Mr. Hunt openly declared my presentation to be the best he had ever had yet despite requesting and being given my thick folio of documents that were subsequently followed with electronic copies to facilitate his research, Mr. Hunt continues to contradict empirical evidence on climate, continues to contradict documented facts about and from CSIRO, BOM and the UN IPCC, and continues to downplay his implementation of the UN's 1992 Rio Declaration for 21<sup>st</sup> century global governance.

On Monday 19 October last year scientists Dr. Jennifer Marohasy and the late Professor Bob Carter together with data from independent researcher Ken Stewart gave coalition Members of Parliament solid scientific evidence proving that BOM has unscientifically and erratically converted cooling trends over more than a century such as at Rutherglen weather station in Victoria into a warming trend. Despite this evidence and despite requests from coalition Members wanting investigation of BOM, Mr. Hunt protected BOM from scrutiny. His reported excuse was that BOM needed to maintain its reputation yet a comprehensive independent audit is needed to restore BOM's

---

reputation that remains mired in well-founded perceptions that it is unscientifically adjusting climate and weather data in support of a political agenda.

The empirical evidence reveals that despite having data from standardised equipment and records before 1910, BOM restricts presentation of that data because it shows warmer and drier years during Australia's worst recorded drought. With current temperatures well within natural limits and with climate cycles over the past 160 years since industrialisation entirely natural and normal, **it is plain to see why BOM is tampering with data and contradicting its own explanations for doing so.**

A copy of Dr. Marohasy's statistically and scientifically valid presentation notes is enclosed and is available at: <http://jennifermarohasy.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/10/Notes-EnvironCommittee-October2015-V4.pdf>

Reportedly Mr. Hunt did not attend the Marohasy-Carter presentation that was supported by coalition MPs wanting to hold BOM accountable. Yet in the days before that presentation Mr. Hunt allied with the ALP's Shadow Minister for climate Mark Butler and the Greens climate spokesperson Senator Larissa Waters in arranging a presentation on 20 October led by the government-funded Professor Ove Hoegh-Guldberg whose political advocacy has repeatedly contradicted empirical evidence.

**Mr. Hunt's lack of empirical evidence for his policy to cut carbon dioxide from industry, transport and home heating and air-conditioning, his ongoing contradiction of empirical evidence, his continued use and portrayal of activist claims as 'science', his continued reliance upon and tolerance of unscientific practices that in effect endorses distortion of science, his treatment of you via his carefully worded misleading third paragraph containing and implying false statements, and his treatment of others who have held him accountable appear to reveal a systematic pattern that shows little regard for data, facts and science.** Mr. Hunt's many similar implied statements mislead your party and coalition, mislead parliament, mislead the media and mislead the Australian people. Does his behavior show care for science? Do his actions meet your needs for objective science and honesty? **His behavior, claims and implied statements make the core issue integrity.**

It is pleasing Jane that in our meetings you expressed your passion for our natural environment. Mr. Hunt is Minister for the Environment yet his climate claims take attention, money and resources from real and serious environmental and humanitarian challenges. Doesn't that reflect a lack of care for the environment and for people? Don't his policies arbitrarily, needlessly and artificially raising energy prices impose a highly regressive tax on the poor in a world in which cheap, accessible, reliable hydrocarbon energy is now a necessity not a luxury, and don't his policies and claims show that he does not care for the poor or for people generally?

We don't need a foreign climate pact or tax or his Direct Action campaign setting links to an international carbon dioxide 'trading' scheme as Mr. Hunt brags. We need a return to integrity in science and return to truth in politics.

Care requires getting the hard objective data—empirical evidence—and basing claims, decisions and policies on such data. Mr. Hunt has repeatedly stated to me and to others that he respects the views of those whose opinion differs from his "belief", yet how can this be sincere when respect for their informed and data-driven opinion would require making decisions based on empirical data, not on continuing his reversal of empirical evidence?

Enclosed is a copy of the Australian political journalist Greg Sheridan's article (<http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/prenticerobertsoh.html>) claiming Mr. Hunt recruited, quote "*two wildly different leaders, Abbott and Turnbull, to his central policy*

*framework*". Yet that framework is based on misrepresentation of science and on contradiction of empirical evidence and has misled your party, government and parliament.

Mr. Hunt is not the only coalition MP conforming to media pressure yet his influence in removing empirical science from the basis of government policy and his implementation of UN policies places him at the pinnacle of the coalition's abandonment of Australia. In February 2010 one of the men he reportedly influenced, Tony Abbott, had the courage to meet in Canberra with the British climate speaker Viscount Christopher Monckton of Brenchley and John Smeed, Vice-President of the Liberal National Party's (LNP) Noosa Branch from the then Deputy Prime Minister Warren Truss's Wide Bay electorate. Mr. Smeed reliably informs me that Mr. Abbott stated that he was indeed skeptical about the science yet claimed that position was politically difficult to sell. Federal coalition Members of Parliament reassured me personally that Mr. Abbott as leader of the opposition and as Prime Minister was personally skeptical of human cause yet he and his office were seen as afraid of the media's power had he spoken his truth on climate.

His forebear as Liberal Prime Minister John Howard set the precedence for contradicting empirical evidence to appease political perceptions. In his speech on 5 November 2013 being the Annual Lecture to the prominent British organisation skeptical that humans cause climate change, the Global Warming Policy Foundation, he said, quote: "*I have always been something of an agnostic on global warming.*" Yet despite not being convinced of the science, when confronted by the ALP's climate avalanche leading to the 2007 election campaign John Howard rolled out his trifecta: (1) 20 per cent Renewable Energy Target (RET) costing thousands of jobs and raising electricity prices and the cost of living for no impact on climate; (2) the first policy putting a 'price on carbon (dioxide)' under then Environment Minister Malcolm Turnbull's Emissions Trading Scheme; and through collusion with Labor state premiers legislating vegetation 'protection', (3) theft of farmers' property rights to comply with the Kyoto Protocol despite Mr. Howard saying he would not sign Kyoto.

In reality Mr. Howard's appeasement endorsed Kevin Rudd's unfounded claims that Australia needed to take action to curb carbon dioxide output and locked the Liberal Party into years of internal disunity contradicting science despite a reportedly large majority of Liberals being skeptical of human cause. This disunity between adherents to data and ideologists pushing the UN's campaign continues to plague your party. Mr. Howard's appeasement of the media and weakness in confronting Kevin Rudd led to Mr. Howard's destructive bi-partisan trifecta that continues to haunt Australian industry and jobs. Now the ALP wants to raise his RET to a crippling 50% together with a carbon (dioxide) tax whose price would be determined by events and bureaucrats outside our country while the Greens want to raise his RET to 90% and link Australia to an international 'price on carbon (dioxide)' and some Liberal MPs are playing the Greens' game destroying our nation's sovereignty.

What are the substantive differences, if any, with Kevin Rudd's climate policy, now that under the Howard and Abbott Liberal governments we have John Howard's trifecta followed by Mr. Hunt's Direct Action policy that Mr. Hunt proudly proclaims can be linked to an international price on carbon dioxide, a key component in Maurice Strong's 1992 UN Rio Declaration for twenty-first century global governance? The UN's socialist mission is in the home stretch toward completion.

On Wednesday, 11 February 2011 in the company of colleague Tim Wells I met with then Senator and now Deputy Prime Minister Barnaby Joyce in his St. George electorate office in western Queensland where he advised that he was strongly skeptical of the claim that carbon dioxide from human activity affects climate and was convinced

that the claim was driven by unscientific manipulation of climate science. His clear and strong opinion was that the majority of Liberal Members of Parliament at the time were skeptical and that if not all, then almost all National Party MPs were strongly skeptical. He spoke out strongly and publicly against action on climate until Mr. Hunt's Direct Action plan was released and then fall silent.

I met Julie Bishop on Sunday 18 September 2011 when we both spoke at a rally in Perth and I handed her a document (<http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/galileodocuments/corruption.pdf>) showing the UN's deep and widespread misrepresentation of climate 'science'. She promised to read it on her flight that afternoon to Canberra yet to my knowledge has taken no action to stop the UN's misleading advocacy, or to stop the policy it drives, or to stop the waste of taxpayer funds that enables the ongoing government-funded reversal of empirical evidence and science. In September 2015 she signed Australia to the UN's post-2015 agenda further surrendering and destroying Australian sovereignty under the guise of taking action on climate.

Your offer on 11 January 2011 to arrange a meeting for me with Mr. Turnbull was quickly followed by your staffer Emma Yabsley advising me that she had discussed the meeting with his staff and that they would be in contact to arrange a date. After his office did not contact me I spoke with Ms. Yabsley who contacted his office and was told that he would not be meeting with me.

My dealings with Queensland federal ALP Senator Mark Furner led to his correspondence from Senator Penny Wong that showed she, as the ALP's then climate Minister misled her party on climate and science. Now we see Mr. Hunt working with his ALP and Greens counterparts to promote misrepresentations of climate and science to Members of Parliament and to contradict empirical evidence. Where Senator Wong is prone to directly contradicting empirical evidence, Mr. Hunt cleverly and subtly meanders to excuse his "belief" yet both work toward and are delivering the same goal on behalf of the UN.

Kevin Rudd stated on the ABC-TV's *QandA* program Monday 4 April 2011 that there were ministers within his cabinet who opposed his carbon dioxide 'trading' scheme. I know of two ALP cabinet ministers confirmed as strongly skeptical of humans causing climate variability and am informed there are many such sceptics among ALP Members of Parliament yet they never publicly admit to it for fear of retaliation and loss of endorsement. I am aware of one Liberal Member threatened with disendorsement for his skepticism and others who have said they are being marginalised and cannot afford to speak out freely. This is how the UN gets its way in imposing policy, yet ultimately it depends on the advocacy of a handful of influential Members of Parliament and backroom powerbrokers in both major parties.

Appearing on stage on Thursday 7 October 2010 at a Brisbane public forum with Greens climate spokeswoman then Senator-elect and now Senator Larissa Waters she immediately declined my challenge to "*a debate on climate science and the corruption of climate science*".

Even Senator Bob Day, for whom I have much regard and who is strongly skeptical that humans affect global climate, has said to me that this climate issue will blow over and die a natural death. **Remember, no Member of Parliament, including you, has any empirical evidence that human carbon dioxide affects global climate variability yet this house of cards' political and media pressure is perceived as daunting.**

Contrary to your 2 April 2015 letter's reassurance, quote: "*I am sure that both the Hon Bob Baldwin MP and Senator the Hon Simon Birmingham will read your report with interest. Thank you for your continued efforts*", Bob Baldwin took three months to reply

---

to my report and then in his reply evaded every key question and substantive matter I had raised. You'll see from the enclosed copy of his letter dated 1 June 2015 that he says the government accepts the science of climate change, yet its position contradicts empirical evidence that decides science. He says he accepts the science from BOM and CSIRO yet my report documents and proves that neither has provided any empirical evidence of human cause to any Member of Parliament and that both agencies contradict empirical evidence. He relies on appeals to authority to agencies that have never presented any empirical evidence of humans causing global climate variability and that have distorted climate science in their advocacy of a political agenda. Senator Birmingham's response simply acknowledged receipt of my report and staff at both offices denied my request to speak with the Members of Parliament. Why do they continue to respond in ways that treat taxpayers, voters and science's data and facts with no respect?

Amazingly, Senator Birmingham was reported on April 6 2016 as wanting "*more transparency from universities*" and wanting students to make decisions based on data.

In the words of philosopher Ralph Waldo Emerson, quote: "*The greatest homage to truth is to use it*" yet after more than one year I have not received a reply from either recipient that addresses the empirical evidence and the substantive and truthful issues documented in my report. Both fail to answer a simple question: "*should the UN and any unelected bodies continue to control Australian energy, resources and employment, and should they grant, modify or revoke human rights OR should these matters and human rights of all Australians and the values and laws of our country be enshrined in, and protected by, the Australian constitution?*" What does their contradiction of documented facts and empirical data and their undermining of our national constitution say about their level of respect for Australia?

I hope that the apparent unwillingness of Liberal Members of Parliament to address basic and fundamental questions of science, to provide the empirical evidence on which they base policy and spending of taxpayer funds, and to adhere to sound governance while instead contradicting science and subverting our sovereignty raises serious questions in you. Is not the behaviour of Mr. Hunt, Mr. Baldwin and Senator Birmingham on par or worse than that of Stuart Robert, Jamie Briggs and Mal Brough? Will the Prime Minister's standards for cabinet ministers and Members of Parliament be applied to Mr. Hunt, Mr. Baldwin and Senator Birmingham?

Australia and everyday Australians, including those of us in the electorate you represent, are paying the price for coalition, Labor and Greens political advocacy and misrepresentations through destruction of our national sovereignty, jobs, freedom, and the economy.

Aldous Huxley said: "*Facts do not cease to exist because they are ignored.*" The NSW Liberal Party State Council's General Meeting on 5 March 2016 passed a motion (copy enclosed) calling on the government to "*arrange and hold public debates/discussions between UN IPCC scientists and independent climate scientists*" and Fairfax media reports that the motion passed with more than 70 per cent of delegates voting in favour of holding debates.

**Informed Liberal party members and non-members are waking to another related matter that I understand your LNP branch meetings in Ryan are now discussing.**

As well, your LNP state policy includes a motion passed emphatically at its July 2013 state convention, quote: "*That, the LNP opposes laws and/or regulations being made by Local, State, and Federal governments that enact the policy objectives of United Nations Agenda 21*" being the UN's 1992 Rio Declaration for twenty-first century global governance. The enclosed copy of a policy motion to the Liberal Party's NSW State Council General Meeting on 5 March 2015 and discussed in the media, asks why

successive federal governments—including yours—have been implementing the UN's undemocratic Agenda 21 program for more than two decades without the people's knowledge. Relevant facts are presented on pages 19-23 of my enclosed report to Senator Birmingham and Mr. Baldwin MP.

On this matter, Sydney researcher Graham Williamson has publicly provided extensive documented evidence showing that Mr. Hunt has for many years been implementing a politically driven United Nations agenda released at the UN's 1992 Rio Declaration for twenty-first century global governance (Agenda 21) recently renamed Sustainable Development Goals 2030 (UN SDG 2030) while denying or downplaying his key role. The agenda was developed by the late Maurice Strong who skipped to China to avoid arrest in America for alleged criminal acts and who was the first Secretary-General of the UN's Environmental Program from 1972 and is credited with concocting false global warming and climate claims to cloak and push an ideological agenda.

**When confronted with the fact that they are implementing the UN's Rio Declaration, Liberal Members of Parliament use a variety of excuses including the claim that implementation is voluntary. That is true in that implementation is voluntary for governments yet once implemented under the guise of 'sustainability,' 'biodiversity,' or 'climate' legislation or federal, state or local council regulations covering many aspects of our lives they become compulsory for citizens who have had no say and are kept in ignorance of the UN's campaign. In this way the UN is exercising hidden governance through a small number of Members of Parliament and senior public servants silently pushing bi-partisan legislation and allocating funds to states and councils with the unconscious assistance of many sleepwalking Members of Parliaments and Councilors.**

As an introduction to the way that political activists and NonGovernment Organisations (NGO) are using climate and 'environmental' campaigns to reshape society I enclose a copy of an article on 2 January 2016 by *The Australian* newspaper's Environment Editor Graham Lloyd who confines his discussion to the tip of the political and governance iceberg while showing that 'environmental' activists now want to treat plants, animals and places as having rights that activists can push through courts to stop human progress, want to reverse centuries of accepted legal practice, and want to set up bodies making rules that bypass government and parliament. Many years ago Mr. Williamson, fellow researcher Mr. John MacRae and others identified these and other hidden yet fundamental changes to our legal and governance systems.

Mr. Lloyd does not discuss the way federal and state funds are used to coerce councils and more specifically to implement UN regulations as 'soft law' yet you may know this from your previous role as a Brisbane City Councilor. Mr. Williamson's documentation delves deeper and wider and cannot be sensibly refuted in showing that Mr. Hunt's work is undemocratically destroying Australian sovereignty and imposing UN-sourced regulations yet the UN's agenda has never been discussed as such with the Australian voters nor debated in parliament. Mr. Williamson's work includes extensive correspondence with Mr. Hunt and some of Mr. Williamson's work is available at [http://www.galileomovement.com.au/australia\\_democracy.php](http://www.galileomovement.com.au/australia_democracy.php). The enclosed copies of Mr. Williamson's letters to Mr. Hunt dated 17 January and 27 February 2016 and his email to me dated 12 January 2016

(<http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/prenticerobertsoh.html>) are revealing. Please note the last paragraph of Edwina Johnson's reply for Mr. Hunt.

UN Agenda 21/2030 is documented to be part of the Greens Party platform, was written into the ALP's platform in 2004 and has been implemented by Liberal cabinet ministers including Robert Hill, Minister for the Environment under John Howard. I hope you are aware that the European Union has destroyed the sovereignty of European nations and

that the UN is following the EU's template. I hope that you are aware that in November 2011 unelected bureaucrats set aside the world's oldest democracies in Greece and Italy. Australian businessman Peter Holmes A Court's article in The Australian newspaper on 25 January 2016 is headlined "*Davos decides world's direction and Australia needs louder voice*" and confirms the role of the Davos Forum for which Mr. Hunt's parliamentary webpage confirms that he worked as "*Director of Strategy, World Economic Forum, Geneva 2000-01*".

At the UN's 2015 Paris Conference of the Parties (COP21), Christiana Figueres, then Executive Secretary and head of the UN's Framework Convention on Climate Change (UN FCCC) that oversees and directs the UN IPCC said, quote: "*This is the first time in the history of mankind that we are setting ourselves the task of intentionally, within a defined period of time to change the economic development model that has been reigning for at least 150 years, since the industrial revolution.*"

Naomi Klein, Papal adviser and political activist publicly supports Ms. Figueres' political aim and says, quote: "*climate change is our chance to demand and build a better world*" and she admits, quote: "*The really inconvenient truth is that it's not about carbon—it's about capitalism. The convenient truth is that we can seize this existential crisis to transform our failed economic system and build something radically better*". Those objectives are apparent in the UN's Agenda 21 or as it is now known, Agenda 2030 or UN SDG 2030. On 2 October 1992 in America's House of Representatives, Democrat congressman Eliot L. Engel advocated, quote "... *that the United States should assume a strong leadership role in implementing the decisions made at the Earth Summit in Rio de Janeiro, developing a national strategy to implement Agenda 21 ...*". It is an extreme, absurd and economically and environmentally unsustainable regulatory regime and control mechanism cloaked as the world's biggest 'environment' program yet is political and ideological, not environmental and is being applied to end national sovereignty, thereby destroying western nationhoods. As Americans awaken to its destruction of freedom, life and property, American states and local councils are banning expenditure on UN Agenda 21/2030 as discussed and referenced on pages 65-66 of my *CSIROh!* report's Appendix 14 at <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html> showing Alabama has legislated specifically to ban Agenda 21.

Like many Australians I had previously assumed that the UN was simply an inept bureaucratic agency, yet after extensive research now know that despite some useful if inefficient work in some departments, it is overall highly damaging as it pursues its hidden agenda. Further, it wants taxpayers to fund its destruction of our nationhood through many means including its Paris 'Treaty' that seeks to take one per cent of our GDP annually that would amount to stealing \$15 billion from Australia every year, and wants to impose charges for redistributing Australia's wealth to other nations, and impose levies to provide itself with regular revenue.

In Paris last December nations agreed to not have an agreement as there are no binding cuts in the Paris 'Agreement' yet Mr. Hunt and a small team of senior Liberals including the Prime Minister use it to 'justify' legislating UN regulations that continue a long line of UN treaties, protocols, agreements, and declarations signed by cabinet ministers of both major parties with no or little parliamentary debate and never any discussion in election campaigns and never any public debate. The people have no say yet our country's sovereignty and economy are being destroyed since formation of the UN and subsequently through Whitlam's signing of the Lima Declaration (1975) that Fraser ratified (1976), Keating's signing of the 1992 Rio Declaration for global governance (Agenda 21) (1992), Rudd's signing of the Kyoto Protocol (2007) after Howard's self-proclaimed compliance with it, Rudd's signing of the Copenhagen Accord (2009) and Turnbull's signing of the Paris 'Agreement' (2015). I have seen the

official 1996 government listing of more than 7,000 ‘treaties’ governing the behaviour of Australians and choking our economy, finances and lifestyle.

Looking beyond the fearful unsupported climate claims and beyond the ABC’s implied portrayal of water vapour billowing from power station cooling towers as carbon dioxide when the reality is that carbon dioxide is invisible and minuscule what emerges is that the terms ‘environment’ and ‘climate’ have become Trojan horses for sweeping social and political constraints and for undemocratic controls in a campaign silently subverting our society and values in Australia and on a global scale and with an audacity never seen before. A small bi-partisan group of Members of Parliament and public servants stealthily use well-designed systems and funding cloaked in attractive terms such as ‘sustainability,’ ‘biodiversity’ and ‘climate’ to drive the behaviour of thousands of teachers, politicians, councilors, public servants, activists and journalists mostly unaware of the role they play daily in undermining Australia’s nationhood and Australians’ freedom.

Seventy years after our soldiers died to stop the Japanese taking away Australia’s sovereignty, most Members of Parliament are sleepwalking as the UN, with bi-partisan assistance from Mr. Hunt joining the ALP’s Tony Burke and Penny Wong and with the Greens, destroys Australian sovereignty and our way of life and our country’s future. **Like Mr. Hunt’s many denials and downplaying of his role implementing the UN’s agenda, his fourth paragraph’s key claim is false.**

I hope that the Prime Minister’s oft-cited ministerial standards do not condone Mr. Hunt’s unscientific advocacy, his omissions, and his stated and implied claims that contradict empirical data and documented facts. Whether through Senator Wong’s advocacy that seemingly misled her party, or through Prime Minister John Howard’s appeasement, or Greg Hunt’s climate advocacy, we are coming under the UN policy destroying our national sovereignty and nationhood. This is possible though, Jane, only with the meek complicity, conscious or unconscious, of sleepwalking coalition Members of Parliament.

I am increasingly disturbed with Mr. Hunt’s advocacy contradicting the empirical evidence on climate that I believe is misleading his party and parliamentary colleagues, the Australian people and the media. For many years I have been concerned that he makes judgments not backed by empirical evidence and indeed that contradict empirical evidence. Climate science is outside Mr. Hunt’s area of expertise and I believe he has conflicts in reportedly pushing the destruction of Australian sovereignty while denying or downplaying doing so. Moreover, my many truthful, well-supported attempts to raise this with him have been met with the, albeit polite, dead hand of an activist refusing to consider reality. Yet on this topic he is allowed to lead the Turnbull government.

In the absence of public and media scrutiny of Mr. Hunt’s claims and actions I believe that he is getting away with misleading you and your party and our government and parliament. Mr. Hunt’s behavior warrants an explanation with evidence to you. It warrants an independent inquiry by the Liberal Party and the Turnbull government.

All I ask of you Jane is that you be more than a messenger between Mr. Hunt and me because after it became clear that I could not rely on Mr. Hunt and his diversions from the topic and his contradiction of empirical evidence and documented facts excused it seems by his oft-repeated claim to being entitled to his “belief,” I turned to you. Now I ask only that you fulfill your responsibilities to the people of our electorate, to our national parliament and to our country by ceasing to condone his advocacy and instead holding Mr. Hunt accountable for his statements, claims, policies and actions. **Please probe beyond his oft-stated “belief” and ask him for the specific empirical scientific evidence proving that carbon dioxide from human activity affects climate**

**variability and for the specific location of such evidence and for proof of cause in the scientific literature being report/book/journal/paper title, author(s) name(s), chapter, page number(s) and publisher.**

Having tried many methods including formal letters, Registered Post letters, emails, facsimiles, unconventional yet lawful letter formats, and a meeting in his electorate office, I find like many other people that it is impossible to obtain transparency from Mr. Hunt. His 1 October 2015 letter has now made you a shield for his unfounded claims that contradict hard data and documented facts. I ask you to please take an active role in using your party and parliamentary authority to hold Mr. Hunt accountable and to protect our country's nationhood.

According to the Sydney Morning Herald the second motion at the March NSW State Council General Meeting seeking an inquiry into UN Agenda 21 was shuffled off stage in true Liberal Agenda 21 style to the party's platform committee for later consideration under an amendment by NSW MLC Catherine Cusack and supported by Liberal Party left faction powerbroker Michael Photios. Nonetheless, the March motions to the NSW State Council and the very active roles of those keen to restore integrity to climate science and keen to stop UN Agenda 21/SDG 2030 show that these issues will not lapse. The energy is too high.

The examples in this letter, Jane, explain the exasperation of people wanting to restore scientific integrity and explain in part why Liberal rank and file are abandoning the party, and explain why former National Party leader in the Senate, John Stone recently publicly discussed taking the "nuclear option" at the ballot box and putting Liberal candidates last because the recent behaviour of the Liberal Party cannot be rewarded.

This letter is being copied to relevant Queensland senators being my representatives in federal parliament and people named herein as well as to people who may be able to assist you.

**On behalf of myself and fellow Ryan voters Bob Brock and Bob McCulloch I please request a meeting with you to explain our concerns for Australia's sovereignty, to learn what your staffer Mitchell discovered in his research that you instructed into UN Agenda 21 during our meeting last July and to ask whether there is anything more that you need in the way of assistance from us.** We are feeling frustrated and deeply concerned because our needs for action to protect Australian sovereignty, to restore scientific integrity and to return the focus to real and serious environmental challenges are not being met. We need sincere credible answers and hope that you agree. We hope that your future newsletters will occasionally replace articles on morning teas in Ryan with notices on how you are restoring and protecting Australian sovereignty. Please take action to protect our country and your constituents.

The compelling and overwhelming empirical data reveal serious questions with the core issue being integrity. I now look forward to your action to restore integrity to Liberal Party climate policy through basing it upon empirical evidence of cause-and-effect. Your action now will reflect your level of integrity.

Yours sincerely,

*Originally personally signed*

**Malcolm Roberts**

BE (Hons), MBA (Chicago)

Fellow AICD, MAIM, MAusIMM, MAME (USA), MIMM (UK), Fellow ASQ (USA, Aust)

*"There's much to be said for challenging fate instead of ducking behind it."* Diana Trilling

---

## Enclosures and attachments:

Copy of your letter dated 16 December 2015 to me with its accompanying copy of Mr. Hunt's reply dated 1 October 2015 to you.

<http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/prenticerobertsoh.html>

Summary of the empirical evidence on climate.

<http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/docs/20151012/4.4Empirical.pdf>

Copy of report dated 27 February 2015 to Senator Simon Birmingham and The Hon Bob Baldwin MP.

<http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/docs/BaldwinBirminghamReport.pdf>

Summary dated 12 April 2016 of my interactions with you.

<http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/prenticerobertsoh.html>

Copy of 2013 report entitled *CSIROh! Climate of Deception? ... Or First Step to Freedom?* with copies of Appendices 2 (UN IPCC), 6 and 6a (CSIRO) and 7 (BOM)

<http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>

List of excuses and myths commonly used instead of or to avoid using empirical evidence. <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/prenticerobertsoh.html>

Copy of Dr. Jennifer Marohasy's notes for her presentation to Members of Parliament on 19 October 2015. <http://jennifermarohasy.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/10/Notes-EnvironCommittee-October2015-V4.pdf>

Copy of Greg Sheridan's 5 December 2015 article in *The Australian* newspaper.

<http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/prenticerobertsoh.html>

Copy of Bob Baldwin's reply dated 1 June 2015 to me.

<http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/SBbboh.html>

Copy of 5 March 2016 Liberal Party NSW State Council General Meeting agenda and motions. <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/prenticerobertsoh.html>

Copy of Graham Lloyd's 2 January 2016 article in *The Australian* newspaper.

<http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/prenticerobertsoh.html>

Copy of Graham Williamson's letter to Mr. Hunt on 17 January 2016.

<http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/prenticerobertsoh.html>

Copy of Graham Williamson's letter to Mr. Hunt on 27 February 2016 with Edwina Johnson's reply on behalf of Mr. Hunt.

<http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/prenticerobertsoh.html>

Copy of Graham Williamson's email of 12 January 2016 to me.

<http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/prenticerobertsoh.html>

cc:

Graham Lloyd

Greg Sheridan

Des Houghton

Graham Williamson

Greg Hunt, Minister for the Environment

Bob Baldwin, former Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for the Environment

Senator Simon Birmingham, former Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for the Environment

Bob Brock, voter in Ryan electorate

Bob McCulloch, voter in Ryan electorate

Professor Tim Ball

Senator Matthew Canavan, QLD


Senator Ian Macdonald, QLD  
Senator Jo Lindgren, QLD  
Senator Bob Day  
Senator George Brandis, QLD  
Senator Barry O'Sullivan, QLD  
Senator Glenn Lazarus, QLD  
Dr. Jennifer Marohasy  
Mrs. Anne Carter  
Senator Penny Wong  
Tony Burke MP  
Senator Larissa Waters  
Malcolm Turnbull, Prime Minister  
Tony Abbott, former Prime Minister  
John Howard, former Prime Minister  
Barnaby Joyce, Deputy Prime Minister  
Warren Truss, former Deputy Prime Minister  
Julie Bishop, Deputy leader of federal parliamentary Liberals & Foreign Minister

Gordon Alderson  
John MacRae, Australian observer of UN Agenda 21 since its 1992 inception  
John Smeed Vice President of the Noosa Branch of the LNP  
Retired Senator John Stone

Governor General Sir Peter Cosgrove  
Senator Cory Bernardi  
Senator John Madigan  
Angus Taylor, MP  
Andrew Nikolic, MP  
George Christensen, MP  
Craig Kelly, MP  
Richard Pearson, Chairman of the Noosa Branch of the LNP  
Lamar Smith, Chair of the American House of Representatives Committee on Science, Space, and Technology  
Viscount Christopher Monckton of Brenchley  
Jamie Briggs, MP  
Mal Brough, MP  
Stuart Robert, MP

Conscious website together with the enclosures accompanying this letter:

<http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/prenticerobertsoh.html>



Specialised Management and Leadership Services