

From: Malcolm Roberts malcolmr@conscious.com.au 
Subject: Fwd: SDG agenda
Date: 12 April 2016 at 4:46 PM
To: malcolm@conscious.com.au

RM

With email addresses redacted

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Graham" <grahamhw@xxxxxxx.com.au>
Subject: FW: SDG agenda
Date: 17 January 2016 at 7:00:19 AM AEST
To: "Graham" <grahamhw@xxxxxxx.com.au>

From: Graham [<mailto:grahamhw@xxxxxxx.com.au>]

Sent: Sunday, 17 January 2016 7:53 AM
To: Greg.Hunt.MP@aph.gov.au
Cc: 'Andrew.McNee@environment.gov.au'; 'senator.joyce@aph.gov.au'; 'Michael McLaren'; 'W.Truss.MP@aph.gov.au'; george.christensen.mp@aph.gov.au; 'Cory Bernardi' (cory@corybernardi.com)
Subject: FW: SDG agenda

Greg Hunt
Minister for the Environment

Dear Greg,

I have noted your failure to respond to the points raised in my previous communication below. In your previous email you state:

"Agenda 21 was a non enforceable name given to a non enforceable declaration a quarter century ago. It was not legislated respectfully."

Especially being a lawyer, you would know of course that Agenda 21 "was not legislated" (in toto). However, you would also be very much aware that various provisions of Agenda 21 have definitely been legislated. Are you prepared to state that 'none of the provisions of Agenda 21 have been legislated' by the Australian government? Or is your claim "it was not legislated" just another exercise in attempted deceit?

Recently you praised the environmental reforms of the Howard government, the most prominent of course being the EPBC Act, an act to enforce compliance with international agreements. Howard used this Act to give powers to environmental groups to enable them to block developments. Trouble is, now you are seeking to reverse this Howard reform and remove this power which was given to environmental groups.

Are you doing this to prevent potential multi-billion dollar lawsuits which could arise from ISDS actions if the TPP is passed?

In this election year, it is vitally important that these issues are addressed, and the government demonstrates it is taking actions in the best interests of Australia and Australians.

It is time to do it the Aussie way. Put all the cards on the table and end the deceit.

I hope 2016 is a wonderful year, a year characterised by honest open, pro-Australian government, and a resurgence of those mainstream values that once caused this country to lead the world.

Regards

Graham Williamson

From: Graham [<mailto:grahamhw@xxxxxxx.com.au>]

Sent: Wednesday, 23 December 2015 6:36 AM

To: 'Hunt, Greg (MP)'

Cc: 'Andrew.McNee@environment.gov.au'; 'Cory Bernardi'; 'Truss, Warren (MP)'; 'Christensen, George (MP)'; 'senator.joyce@aph.gov.au'; 'Michael McLaren'

Subject: RE: SDG agenda

Dear Greg,

Thanks for your response.

Your continuing denial of the truth only raises increasing questions about your motives and integrity, as the facts have been clearly established, as you can see below. The question of your credibility is further reinforced as a result of your claim that although you had never heard of Agenda 21, you nevertheless presented yourself as an expert on the legislative history of the various provisions of a program you had never heard of.

I am hopeful you will rectify this as continually contradicting the facts, as confirmed by your colleagues, the judiciary, and other experts, discredits both you personally, as well as the government.

If Australia is to be sustainable, we must have a sustainable democratic government, as sustainability cannot be built upon deceit and betrayal. Do you agree?

Given the facts evidenced below, we can move on to these more important questions.

- **What has been the cumulative cost of all Agenda 21 related initiatives?**
- **When will the government take this issue to the electorate to give voters a democratic choice?**
- **What action/s will be taken in regard to those who sought to penalise Australian citizens by utilising imported UN driven and controlled environmental/sustainability directives.**
- **What proactive steps will the government take to ensure foreign agencies can never again override democracy and interfere in Australian domestic affairs without the full knowledge and democratic approval of the Australian people?**
- **Three questions now Agenda 21 has been officially expanded and renamed as the UN's SDG Post-2015 Agenda (this agreed to by Gillard at Rio+20), and this agenda has been signed by Julie Bishop,**
 - 1. Will the government be taking this issue to the people at the next election, or will the people be denied any democratic choice as occurred with Agenda 21?**
 - 2. As was done with the 'voluntary Agenda 21 program, will the government also be legislating to enforce the provisions of the 'voluntary' Transforming Our World SDG agreement signed by Julie Bishop, and/or the Paris COP21 agreement?**
 - 3. What are the predicted annual and total costs of each of these agreements?**

Given the facts below have been very clearly established by your political colleagues, the judiciary, and other experts, when will you address these vitally important questions? And when will you address the disgraceful bipartisan attempts to avoid democratic scrutiny of the UN directives you have forced upon the Australian people? Or will you continue to approve the longstanding deceit, abandonment of democracy, and betrayal of the Australian people, which has long characterised this issue.

You will find all the information contained herein, thoroughly substantiated by the links below and the citations included in the attachments.

When can the people of Australia expect a return to democracy, and answers to the above questions?

I take the opportunity to wish you and your family a happy Christmas, a Christmas characterised by a resurgence of all those traditional mainstream values that once enabled this country to lead the world.

Regards

Graham Williamson

FACTS CLEARLY ESTABLISHED, BUT WHICH YOU CONTINUE TO DENY

Fact 1 – Your claim that you had not heard of Agenda 21 and the Commonwealth has no power over Councils

In spite of these claims, back in 2002 [you attended a parliamentary enquiry](#) which examined ways of coercing Councils into implementing Agenda 21. Additionally, the Howard government produced an [instruction manual](#) for Councils to assist in the implementation of AG21. The Commonwealth also funded AG21, and also utilised Commonwealth environmental officers to assist Councils with AG21 implementation.

In 2002, 10 years after introduction of AG21, the Commonwealth government [proudly announced, in the WSSD Assessment Report](#), that *“it is impossible to document all of the initiatives which Australia has put in place to turn the principles to which we agreed into action”* since the 1992 Rio summit. Given the multitude of pervasive across the board regulations the government had introduced it is hardly surprising that they found the changes *“impossible to document”*. But the Commonwealth, unbeknown to most taxpayers and ratepayers, [was also working with local councils to make sure no one escaped the demands of the UN](#):

“The Federal Government is also working in partnership with local government through its Environmental Resource Officer and Local Agenda 21 programs to promote sustainable development at the local government level.

Box 7: Federal-Local Government Partnerships

*With funding provided by the federal government, the **Environmental Resource Officer Scheme** places dedicated officers in the peak local government associations in each State and the Australian Local Government Association, to assist councils to better manage their local*

environments, especially through improved take-up of Federal programs.

The **Local Agenda 21 program** assists local governments to apply the framework from Agenda 21 for local government in order to integrate environmental, economic and social objectives. Elements of the Local Agenda 21 Program include: a National Local Leaders in Sustainability Forum, corresponding State and Territory fora, pilot projects to test regional approaches to sustainable development and to develop appropriate models for the implementation of Local Agenda 21 on a regional basis, a Local Agenda 21 Award, and a national Local Agenda 21 Conference. The Federal Government is also developing a national framework of milestones for adoption and use of Local Agenda 21 by local government.”

Although noting Agenda 21 had gone way beyond environmental issues and become the “**world’s greenprint for change**”, [Gwydir Council admitted during their Committee Meeting on 20th Feb 2013](#), that Agenda 21 had “*encouraged conspiracy theories about the real agenda.*” But the Council pointed out that Agenda 21 had, “*for 21 years, been very influential in developing public policies that directly impact upon every level of government*”, including regulations pertaining to ecologically sustainable development. [As the Council pointed out:](#)

“Many of the subsequent matters introduced to encourage a sustainable society, such as the carbon tax, are the outcome of the Australian Government’s attempt to introduce the objectives of Agenda 21.”

In your recent speech you drew attention to the environmental legislative reforms of the Howard government, the most prominent of which was of course the EPBC Act which was used to further enforce the ESD provisions of the UN’s Agenda 21 program. The Commonwealth government used this Act to force Councils to adopt the UN’s Agenda 21 directives, and [fined Councils huge amounts of money for not doing so](#).

Greg, why do you continue to conceal these simple facts and betray the Australian people? Unless you can explain and prove all these people are wrong, you should resign and issue a public apology for deceit and betrayal. We, the people trusted you, but you treated that trust with absolute contempt.

FACT 2 – Enforceability; UN instructs governments to enforce provisions of AG21 in domestic legislation using a national sustainability strategy.

[As AG21 specifically states:](#)

Agenda.....Its successful implementation is first and foremost the responsibility of Governments. National strategies, plans, policies and processes are crucial in achieving this..... Governments, in cooperation, where appropriate, with international organizations, should adopt a national strategy for sustainable development based on, inter alia, the implementation of decisions taken at the Conference, particularly in respect of Agenda 21.....

Adopting a national strategy for sustainable development

8.7. Governments, in cooperation, where appropriate, with international organizations, should adopt a national strategy for sustainable development based on, inter alia, the implementation of decisions taken at the Conference, particularly in respect of Agenda 21.

“8.13 Laws and regulations suited to country-specific conditions are among the most important instruments for transforming environment and development policies into action, not only through ‘command and control’ methods, but also as a normative framework for economic planning and

market instruments...

8.14 To effectively integrate environment and development in the policies and practices of each country, it is essential to develop and implement integrated, enforceable and effective laws and regulations that are based upon sound social, ecological, economic and scientific principles. It is equally critical to develop workable programmes to review and enforce compliance with the laws, regulations and standards that are adopted...

8.15 The enactment and enforcement of laws and regulations (at the regional, national, state/provincial or local/municipal level) are also essential for the implementation of most international agreements in the field of environment and development, as illustrated by the frequent treaty obligation to report on legislative measures...

8.18 Governments and legislators, with the support, where appropriate, of competent international organisations, should establish judicial and administrative procedures for legal redress and remedy of actions affecting environment and development that may be unlawful or infringe on rights under the law, and should provide access to individuals, groups and organisations with a recognised legal interest”.

As you know, Australia obediently complied with these UN requirements and legally implemented the provisions of AG21 under the 1992 Intergovernmental Agreement on the Environment and the National Strategy for Ecologically Sustainable Development (ESD). Subsequently, the EPBC Act was utilised to further enforce the provisions of AG21. As the UN points out in their paper “Guidance in Preparing a National Sustainable Development Strategy: Managing Sustainable Development in the New Millenium, Background Paper No. 13:

*“Agenda 21 promotes National Sustainable Development Strategies (NSDSs) as mechanisms for translating a country’s goals and aspiration of sustainable development into concrete policies and actions..... The particular label applied to a national sustainable development strategy is not important, as long as the underlying principles characterizing a national sustainable development strategy are adhered to and that economic, social and environmental objectives are balanced and integrated..... The **political process** involves ensuring the existence of a strong political commitment from the top leadership as well as from local authorities of a country. There must be effective engagement and close involvement of the Ministry of Finance and Planning as well as the Council of Ministers in the strategy development process right from the beginning. ”*

Justice Preston details the history of sustainable development and Agenda 21, which was agreed to at the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED) in 1992, and their inclusion in Australian laws through two guiding documents developed by the Australian government, the Intergovernmental Agreement on the Environment, and the National Strategy for Ecologically Sustainable Development..:

*“**Agenda 21**, a programme of action for sustainable development worldwide, was adopted unanimously at UNCED. Together with the **Rio Declaration**, and the **Statement of Forest Principles**, they fulfil the mandate given to UNCED by the United Nations General Assembly when, in 1989, it called for a global meeting ‘to devise integrated strategies that would halt and reverse the negative impact of human behaviours on the physical environment and promote environmentally sustainable economic development in all countries’*

*In partial fulfilment of its promise entered into upon signing the various instruments at UNCED, Australia finalised the **National Strategy for Ecologically Sustainable Development (National ESD Strategy)**. The **National ESD Strategy** was launched in December 1992 and has been adopted by*

the Commonwealth and each of the States and Territories in Australia. The **National ESD Strategy** is a form of intergovernmental agreement which records the public policy commitment of each of the governments and their agencies to implement the measures agreed to in the Strategy. It includes as appendices a summary of the **Intergovernmental Agreement on the Environment**, the **Rio Declaration on Environment and Development** and a guide to **Agenda 21.52** In a sense, there has been an incorporation of these national and international instruments as policies of each of the governments of the Commonwealth, and the States and Territories.”

In September 1999 the [Institute for Sustainable Futures](#) issued the final report of their project, Policy Integration, Ecologically Sustainable Development (ESD) and Local Agenda 21 – Councils in NSW (3). In this report, which was prepared for the NSW Department of Local Government, Stella Whittaker and colleagues noted that “fear” of the “Agenda 21” label often resulted in the use of other, presumably less fearful, names (3):

“ESD is called different things at different levels. If ESD is mandated by the Federal Government, the group discussed whether it should be in the form of Local Agenda 21, Cities for Climate Protection or a more general ESD framework. There is fear from some councils of the LA21 label, so councils should adopt whichever definition or framework best suits their purpose at hand. Whilst it is time consuming for each council to invent its own definition of ESD, there are benefits in that the community will feel a greater sense of ownership of the concept.”

The evidence clearly confirms the fact that [Australia’s National Strategy for Ecologically Sustainable Development](#) is simply Australia’s renamed and presumably less fearful, version of Agenda 21. According to the OECD report, [“Good practices in the National Sustainable Development Strategies of OECD Countries 2006”](#):

Most OECD countries now have in place National Sustainable Development Strategies (NSDS) as agreed as part of Agenda 21 signed at the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (the Rio Earth Summit) in 1992.....Governments first agreed to prepare national sustainable development strategies as part of Agenda 21, signed at the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (the Rio Earth Summit) in 1992. The purpose of these strategies was to translate the Summit’s ideas and commitments into concrete policies and actions. Governments agreed to “adopt national strategies for sustainable development [which should] build upon and harmonise the various sectoral, economic, social and environmental policies and plans that are operating in the country. Its goals should be to ensure socially responsible economic development for the benefit of future generations”.

The Australian government’s [“Defence Ecologically Sustainable Development Strategy”](#) further underlines the fact that [Australia’s National Strategy for Ecologically Sustainable Development](#) is in reality, simply a renamed rebadged version of the United Nations Agenda 21 program:

“The United Nations 1992 environmental summit in Rio de Janeiro developed Agenda 21, which sets out a blueprint for sustainable activity across all areas of human activity. The Council of Australian Governments endorsed the National Strategy for Ecologically Sustainable Development (NSES) to illustrate Australia’s commitment to ESD, and implementation of Agenda 21. The NSES has become the benchmark for ESD in Australia. The NSES, finalised in 1992, outlines a broad strategic and policy framework under which Australian governments at all levels will cooperatively make decisions and take actions to pursue ESD in key industry sectors that rely on the use of natural resources. Defence is required to respond to the Government’s ESD initiatives.”

As noted by Cripps, Binning, and Young, in [Opportunity Denied](#), the UN Local Agenda 21 program was driving this attack on property rights in local council areas around Australia:

“The merit of a stronger role for local governments in environmental management, including native vegetation management, is now well recognised, both at an international level through the development of Local Agenda 21 (ICLEI, 1996) and at a national level through numerous policy statements, including the Inter-Governmental Agreement on the Environment (Brown, 1994).”

Again Greg, you have betrayed the trust of the Australian people and your government has promoted undemocratic unconstitutional policies to permit a foreign agency to interfere in Australian domestic affairs.

From: Hunt, Greg (MP) [<mailto:Greg.Hunt.MP@aph.gov.au>]

Sent: Tuesday, 22 December 2015 9:28 AM

To: Graham

Cc: Andrew.McNee@environment.gov.au; Cory Bernardi; Truss, Warren (MP); Christensen, George (MP); senator.joyce@aph.gov.au

Subject: Re: SDG agenda

Hi Graham,

Many thanks and I deeply respect your views.

Agenda 21 was a non enforceable name given to a non enforceable declaration a quarter century ago. It was not legislated respectfully.

In terms of policy mechanisms we already have those in place. I have set out in my speech to the Press Club that we are currently doing vehicle efficiency and energy efficiency reviews as well.

Regards,

Greg

Sent from my iPad

On 22 Dec 2015, at 8:38 am, "Graham" <grahamhw@xxxxxxxx.com.au> wrote:

Mr Andrew McNee

Assistant Secretary

Strategic Advice Branch

Policy and Communications Division

Australian Government Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities

Dear Andrew,

While I thank Edwina Johnson for her response, on your behalf, to my below query, I notice you have chosen not to answer my below question.

"With respect to the just signed SDG 2030 agenda, will the government be legislating to enforce its various provisions, as was done with Agenda 21, or will there be no enforcement?"

Could you please rectify this and answer this very simple question. In addition, could you state the annual and total costs for all initiatives related to this program?

Regards

Graham Williamson

From: Graham [<mailto:grahamhw@xxxxxxx.com.au>]

Sent: Friday, 30 October 2015 4:01 PM

To: Andrew.McNee@environment.gov.au

Cc: Greg.Hunt.MP@aph.gov.au; 'Cory Bernardi' (cory@corybernardi.com); W.Truss.MP@aph.gov.au; senator.joyce@aph.gov.au

Subject: FW: SDG agenda

Mr Andrew McNee

Assistant Secretary

Strategic Advice Branch

Policy and Communications Division

Australian Government Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities

Dear Andrew,

This is just a reminder that I have received no response to the issues mentioned in my previous communication below.

You are listed as the main Department contact but so far even simple questions are completely ignored.

Regards

Graham Williamson

From: Graham [<mailto:grahamhw@xxxxxxx.com.au>]

Sent: Monday, 19 October 2015 5:30 AM

To: Andrew.McNee@environment.gov.au

Subject: FW: SDG agenda

Mr Andrew McNee

Assistant Secretary

Strategic Advice Branch

Policy and Communications Division

Australian Government Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities

Dear Andrew,

This is just a reminder that I have received no response to the issues mentioned in my

This is just a reminder that I have received no response to the issues mentioned in my previous communication below.

Regards
Graham Williamson

From: Graham [<mailto:grahamhw@xxxxxxx.com.au>]

Sent: Tuesday, 13 October 2015 6:44 AM
To: 'Andrew.McNee@environment.gov.au'
Subject: SDG agenda

Mr Andrew McNee
Assistant Secretary
Strategic Advice Branch
Policy and Communications Division
Australian Government Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities

Dear Andrew,

With respect to the just signed SDG 2030 agenda, will the government be legislating to enforce its various provisions, as was done with Agenda 21, or will there be no enforcement? The United Nations is developing ways of monitoring Australians to ensure compliance, how is the government assisting in this process?

Regards
Graham Williamson

<GovEnvLetter.jpg>

</

Text inserted by PIS for Netbooks:

This message has NOT been classified as spam. If it is unsolicited mail (spam), click on the following link to reclassify it: [It is spam!](#)

INTRODUCING THE UNITED NATIONS [AGENDA 21](#) PROGRAM

After 20 years of implementing AG21, still with no democratic mandate, the UN is now moving to impose the next stage on the world, the [Sustainable Development Goals \(SDG\)](#)

All 3 levels of government, in all Australian States, have been implementing the United Nations Agenda 21 program, at enormous cost, for more than 2 decades without giving the people a democratic say. Agenda 21, developed by [Maurice Strong](#), was intended to be the "Plan of Action" for the Earth Charter, which was developed by [Maurice Strong and Mikhail Gorbachev](#).

In the [Foreword to Australia's report to the UNCSD in 1995](#), then Prime Minister Paul Keating reinforced again how diligently Australia was complying with the UN's Agenda 21 implementation requirements, even though the people had been given no choice:



"Australia is proud to present its second report to the Commission on Sustainable Development. This details our nation's efforts

Environment Minister Ros Kelly introduces [Agenda 21 to parliament on 26th May 1992](#).



" Agenda 21 is a truly massive document ... It is a blueprint or set of guidelines, not just for individual countries but, importantly, for the entire United Nations system as well as for individualsThe

recommendations of agenda 21 cover a wide range of issues and responsibilities for implementation, cutting across virtually every Commonwealth and State government agency as well as local government and



toward implementing Agenda 21 through the principles of Ecologically Sustainable Development”

In the [February 2000 edition of Local Government Focus Magazine](#), Senator Macdonald emphasised that the Commonwealth was driving the implementation of [LA21](#) at the local council level by providing



funds.
“Senator Ian Macdonald, Minister for Regional Services, Territories and Local Government announced \$100,000 in Federal funding to boost the activities of Local Agenda 21..... To add impetus to Local Agenda 21 the Commonwealth has recently commissioned the development of a new how-to-do it manual for Local Councils.....”

In 1999, Environment Minister **Robert Hill** issued an instruction manual or [Local Agenda 21 guide for councils](#), & in the [May 2003 edition of Local Government Focus](#), then environment Minister **David Kemp**, confirmed his satisfaction with the implementation of Agenda 21 by Councils around Australia. By 2002 even the [Australian Defence Force was obeying the AG21 dictates of the UN](#), while in NSW [Education Minister John Aquilina was busy rewriting the school curriculum](#) based upon the dictates of the UN & their AG21 program.



But in 2013 **Environment Minister Greg Hunt** declared AG21 *“is a 20 year old non binding declaration... i had never heard of the issue.....it should not be misused by others to justify local council decisions.....we have no powers over local Governments.”*

But in 2003 Greg was part of the [Employment in the environment: Methods, Measurements and Messages](#) enquiry which discussed methods used by the Commonwealth to coerce Councils into implementing AG21. Yet Greg insists he has never heard of the Agenda 21 program they discussed!

the non-government sector. My department has the responsibility for the overall coordination of the domestic follow-up of agenda 21, although other agencies will have a more direct implementation task.”



Kyam Maher, SA Labor MP, mocks AG21 as a ‘conspiracy’

“The Hon. Ann Bressington has been making quite a name for herself recently..... From her Agenda 21 conspiracy, which seems to hold that scientists and policy makers across the world are secretly involved in an elaborate conspiracy to control all aspects of our lives.”



In 2012 Prime Minister Gillard renewed Australia’s commitment to AG21 at the [Rio +20 Conference](#), and further committed Australia to

implement AG21 through the [SDG’s](#) & the [UN post-2015 agenda](#). Details were recorded in the outcomes document from Rio +20 – *“The Future We Want”*.



In *“Sleepwalking into a loss of national sovereignty”*, Prime Minister Abbott’s business adviser, Maurice Newman, recently described AG21 as a UN system of control & wealth

redistribution under the guise of environmentalism.

Recently, due to the **threat to democracy & property rights posed by AG21**, the Queensland LNP passed a [resolution to incorporate opposition to Agenda 21 into official LNP policy](#).

HAVE YOU APPROVED THIS UN DRIVEN AGENDA IMPLEMENTED OVER THE PAST 20 YEARS?

DID THE POLITICIANS SEEK YOUR VOTE TO ENABLE UN CONTROL OF PROPERTY RIGHTS & ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT IN AUSTRALIA? OR, LIKE MOST, HAVE THE POLLIES KEPT YOU UNAWARE OF THE FACTS?

DEMAND DEMOCRATIC CHOICE FROM YOUR POLITICIANS BEFORE IT IS TOO LATE!

This year, 2015, the UN is moving ahead with [attempts to obtain money from all countries, in July](#), as it seeks to lock the world into its agenda through the [SDG summit in September](#), & the [Climate Change Conference in December](#). To do this it is using the [UNSDSN](#), the [UN Data Revolution Group](#), & the [UN Statistical Commission](#), to develop ways of monitoring people and expanding the [role of law](#) and the [international legal system](#) to enforce compliance.



The Southern Sydney Think Tank.

Email: SSTT@politician.com

INTRODUCING THE UNITED NATIONS [2015 - 2030 AGENDA](#)

After 23 years of implementing [AG21](#) with no democratic mandate, with the help of our politicians the UN is now moving to the next stage, the [Sustainable Development Goals \(SDG\)](#) **UN estimates annual cost of \$2-3 trillion indefinitely.**

Earth Charter Promoted Global Interdependence Intended to Cause Global Fragility & Need for Global Administrator

All 3 levels of government, in all Australian States, have been implementing the supposedly ‘voluntary’ United Nations Agenda 21 program, at enormous cost, for more than 2 decades without giving the people a democratic say. Agenda 21, was intended to be *the “Plan of Action” for the Earth Charter*. The *Earth Charter was expected to create ‘global fragility’* and the ‘need’ for a global administrator as weak interdependent nations would be no longer capable of independent existence.



Keating Introduces AG21 & Tells UN Australia is Complying with UN requirements

Then Environment Minister Ros Kelly introduced Agenda 21 [to parliament on 26th May 1992](#) saying: *“Agenda 21 is a truly massive document ... It is a blueprint or set of guidelines.....for the entire United Nations system as well as for individuals”* In the [Foreword to Australia’s report to the UNCSO in 1995](#), then Prime Minister Paul Keating reinforced again how diligently Australia was complying with the UN’s Agenda 21 implementation requirements, even though the people had been given no choice:



Howard Liberal Government Continues AG21 & Issues Instruction Manual for Local Councils

In the [February 2000 edition of Local Government Focus Magazine](#), Senator Macdonald emphasised that the Commonwealth was driving the implementation of [LA21](#) at the local council level by providing funds. In 1999, Environment Minister **Robert Hill** issued an instruction manual or [Local Agenda 21 guide for councils](#), & in the [May 2003 edition of Local Government Focus](#), then environment Minister **David Kemp**, confirmed his satisfaction with the implementation of Agenda 21 by Councils around Australia.



Julia Gillard renews Australia's commitment to UN Agenda 21 & the SDG's in 2012

At the [Rio +20 Conference](#) Julia Gillard further committed Australia to implement AG21 through the [SDG's](#) & the [UN post-2015 agenda](#). Details were recorded in the outcomes document – *"The Future We Want"*.



In 2013 Environment Minister Greg Hunt declared AG21 "is a 20 year old non-binding declaration"

Hunt said he *"never heard of the issue.....it should not be misused by others to justify local council decisions...we have no powers over local Governments."* But in 2003 Greg was part of the [Employment in the environment: Methods, Measurements and Messages](#) enquiry which discussed methods used by the Commonwealth to coerce Councils into implementing AG21, the program he had never heard of!



Successive Australian Governments force Australians to comply with undemocratic 'voluntary' UN agreements.



As the Australian government admits in their "Road to Rio+20" fact sheet: