

Complaint ID No.

(ARC use only)

1. Details of (Primary) Person alleged to have committed the integrity breach(es)

Title:	Professor
*Family name:	Hoegh-Guldberg
*Given name:	Ove
*Organisation:	Global Change Institute, University of Queensland
Postal address:	University of Queensland St. Lucia QLD 4072
Contact number(s):	07 3443 3112
Email:	oveh@uq.edu.au

2. Details of (Secondary) Person alleged to have committed a research integrity breach (if applicable)

Complete this section if applicable; if further persons are implicated, please add details in additional comments section

Title:	
Family name:	
Given name:	
Organisation:	
Postal address:	
Contact number(s):	
Email:	

3. Research project details

Please provide a brief outline of the research project and/ or a title.

Professor Hoegh-Guldberg has been involved with numerous ARC projects and projects on climate change and/or the effects of climate change that he assumes to be caused by carbon dioxide from human activity and its claimed effects on the Great Barrier Reef

ARC Grant ID(s)	If applicable, please list the ARC grant the alleged integrity breach is associated with.
-----------------	---

4. Has funding to the individual or ARC funded project been suspended by the institution?

Institutions must complete this field

- Yes
 No
 Unsure

5. *Institution at which the integrity breach is alleged to have occurred:

University of Queensland (Global Change Institute)

This allegation has also been lodged with the institution above

- Yes
 No
 Unsure

Institutional reference number for the investigation/ complaint (if applicable/known):

Initial responses from University of Queensland in 2010 & 2015 did not address the specific issues & were unsatisfactory. My formal complaint was submitted in October 2015 as part of the university's complaint management system.

6. Nature of alleged integrity breach:

*Please indicate all that apply (minimum of 1 must be selected)

- Fabrication of results
 Falsification or misrepresentation of results
 Plagiarism
 Misleading ascription of authorship (including in an application)
 Fraud - being the presentation of something as it is not with personal gain -
 Failure to declare and/or manage serious conflicts of interest - in this case financial & academic status gain
 Falsification or misrepresentation to obtain funding - possibly & apparently so
 Conducting research without ethics approval(s) as required (human and/or animal)
 Avoidable failure to follow research proposals as approved by a properly constituted research ethics committee
 Risking the safety of human participants, or the wellbeing of animals or the environment
 Deviations from the Australian Code for the Responsible Conduct of Research that occur through gross or persistent negligence
 Wilful concealment or facilitation of research misconduct by others
 Other- please specify: Making representations contrary to empirical evidence & without supporting evidence. Misrepresenting the state of climate & of climate science.

7. Details of alleged integrity breach:

Please provide a brief outline of what is alleged to have occurred (500 word limit).

Please refer to attached sheet - 2x pages

8. When did the alleged breach(es) occur?

Please provide date(s) of the alleged breach(es), or as close an approximation as possible, and their frequency/duration.

*Date(s) 29 October 2010 through to 7 April 2015

*Frequency/ Duration

- Single incident
 Repeated incidents
 Continuous (approximate amount of time) _____

9. Details of Person lodging the allegation

To be able to provide you with any feedback, notify you of the outcome, within the limits of privacy and confidentiality constraints, or if ARC requires any more information, please provide your contact details below. Institutions- please provide the name and details of the central contact person you wish the ARC Research Misconduct Officer to deal with regarding this matter.

Title:	Mr.
Family name:	Roberts
Given name:	Malcolm Ieuan
Organisation:	Independent. (Employer: Conscious Pty. Ltd)
Postal address:	180 Haven Rd Pullenvale QLD 4069
Contact number(s):	04 1964 2379
Email:	malcolmr@conscious.com.au.

10. Was the alleged integrity breach identified as part of ARC business?

Yes No

If yes, please provide details here, ie identify whether you are an ARC employee, or engaged on ARC business such as a peer reviewer, ARC College member, Selection Advisory Committee members or contractor, and note what ARC business you were undertaking when you identified the alleged integrity breach/ misconduct.

11. In the space below, please provide any additional information or comments.

(1) Professor Hoegh-Guldberg misrepresents information & results from climate change research. (2) His behaviour appears to have breached 2 pieces of Queensland legislation. (3) I seek suspension of all ARC funding to the University of Queensland on climate and related topics until the university and Prof. Hoegh-Guldberg can prove with empirical evidence and logical reasoning showing that carbon dioxide from human activity causes damaging global warming and climate change. (4) I am concurrently lodging formal complaints with the Board of Professional Engineers of Queensland, the University of Queensland and with the UQ Chancellor and Senate.

12. Attach copies of any relevant documents. Please list the documents that you have attached:

ARC complaint Item 7 details • List of accompanying documents • My qualifications • Land & Resources Tribunal ruling • Summary of relevant empirical evidence • Written interactions • Email correspondence 2015 • Letters to UQ 2010 • CSIROh! Appendices 2, 6, 6a, 7, 9, 4, 19 • Report to Senator Simon Birmingham & Bob Baldwin MHR • Comments on (Land Court) Exhibit 50 • Exhibit 50 • Comments on Exhibit 51 • Exhibit 51 • Comments on Exhibit 12 • Exhibit 12 • Comments on Hoegh-Guldberg's (Land Court) testimony • Testimony transcript • Excerpt Alan Longhurst book • Walter Starck article • Second Walter Starck article

The ARC is committed to the principles of procedural fairness, which involves informing the people being complained about of the allegations against them and then giving them the right to respond. Procedural fairness also requires that the investigating parties will approach your case without bias, will not have a personal interest in the outcome and will only take action based on evidence.


What this means is that we would normally send a copy of the allegation to the institution responsible for investigating the issue, which is likely to be communicated to the person having the allegation made against them to ask for their side of the story. If there is any reason why you do not want us to do this please tell us. If we are unable to send a copy to the institution where the research integrity breach/ misconduct is alleged to have occurred there is a possibility that the matter may not go any further.

*Do you consent to the ARC providing the details of the allegation to the institution where the incident(s) is alleged to have occurred? Yes ~~No~~

*Do you consent to the ARC providing your contact details as provided at Section 8 to the institution responsible for investigating the alleged incident(s)? Yes ~~No~~

Declaration

I hereby declare that the above information is true and correct.

Name: Malcolm Ieuan Roberts
Signature: 
Position: Independent Date: We. 14.10.2015

Please return this form by either:

Email: researchintegrity@arc.gov.au or

Mail: The Research Integrity Officer
Australian Research Council
GPO Box 2702
CANBERRA ACT 2601

9. Notification form for an allegation of research integrity breach or misconduct

Instructions

This form is provided to assist you in determining what information to report. Whilst the use of this form to report allegations of research integrity breaches or misconduct is not mandatory, the information specified in the fields is required for the ARC to be able to adequately and accurately identify the issues, the parties involved and the appropriate action.

To report issues through other means, please see the **Contacts** section of the policy (page 14).

This form is for:

- Making a complaint or allegation about a **breach of research integrity or research misconduct (hereafter all referred to as an “integrity breach”)**. For more information on the *ARC Research Integrity and Research Misconduct Policy*, please see www.arc.gov.au/general/research_misconduct

This form is not for:

- Reporting other areas of concern unrelated to the conduct of research itself, such as workplace bullying and harassment. Please contact the responsible institution to report these matters.
- If the institution has already completed an investigation on the breach of integrity, and you are dissatisfied with the processes that were undertaken, this is a matter for the Australian Research Integrity Committee (ARIC). For more information on ARIC and how to register a request for review by the Committee, please see www.arc.gov.au/general/research_integrity_committee

What to complete

To be able to pursue an allegation a minimum amount of information that is required: **please complete all fields marked with an asterisk***. Please note that if these required fields are not completed, or there is inadequate information to be able to identify the researcher or project which it is alleged the incident is associated with, it may limit what action or referral the ARC or an institution is able to take.

What happens next?

Under the *Australian Code for the Responsible Conduct of Research* (ARC, NHMRC, AVCC, 2007) institutions are responsible for investigating breaches of integrity. In most instances ARC will refer the matter to the institution, and request that they provide a report of the outcome.

Individuals/ complainants:

If you have provided your contact details, we may be able to provide you with a summary of the outcome, within the constraints of privacy and confidentiality.

Institutions:

Thank you for reporting the allegation you have received. The Research Integrity Officer will provide you with a receipt and will be in contact to discuss the next steps.