

FALSE CLAIMS REVEAL

hidden opportunities



A REPORT PREPARED FOR

**The Hon Bob Baldwin, MP and
Senator Simon Birmingham**

This report is in response to Senator Birmingham's apparent reliance on false claims by the Australian Government's Bureau of Meteorology (BOM) in the Senator's letter dated December 18th, 2014 to Malcolm Roberts

Myths exposed

Myth 1: the claimed consensus

Over the last eight years, ALP-Greens politicians and prominent academics they funded, established myths that led many people, including some MPs, to be confused about science. A minority of prominent ALP-Greens MPs and some agencies including the UN IPCC aided by popular media promoted the perception of an overwhelming consensus among climate scientists that human CO₂ causes catastrophic global climate variability.

The 97% consensus
is really a 0.3%
smattering

Analysis of the data shows that the paper by the University of Queensland's Climate Communication Fellow John Cook and co-authors fabricating a 97% consensus of climate scientists claiming catastrophe is a gross distortion. John Cook's fabricated 97% consensus is actually a 0.3% smattering and in written responses from John Cook he repeatedly failed to identify any empirical scientific evidence provided by that tiny smattering of academics for their claim of humans causing catastrophic climate variability.

As revealed below, the empirical scientific evidence on CO₂ confirms that smattering contradicts empirical scientific evidence. Despite this, John Cook's misrepresentations have been spread internationally after being publicly cited by President Obama's staff. Another now-infamous widely cited study falsely claiming a consensus is by Naomi Oreskes who omitted 11,000 papers to conjure an unfounded consensus used to make unsubstantiated and false claims.

In 2008, UN IPCC Chair Rajendra Pachauri and former Prime Minister Kevin Rudd repeatedly spread across Australia the notion that 4,000 (anonymous) UN IPCC scientists claim human CO₂ caused global warming. UN IPCC figures though reveal that the 2007 UN IPCC science report's chapter 9, the sole chapter claiming warming and attributing it to human causation, was endorsed by only five UN IPCC reviewers.

Myth 2: UN IPCC's claimed reliance on peer review

Rajendra Pachauri, Kevin Rudd and other prominent ALP-Greens MPs and prominent academics dependent on ALP-Greens taxpayer funding spread the claim that UN IPCC reports rely on "100 percent" peer-reviewed scientific journals. Among many investigators, Canadian investigative journalist Donna Laframboise reveals the UN IPCC cited and relied upon 5,587 articles not peer-reviewed, including political activists' campaign material, newspaper articles and bushwalkers' stories. The notorious Climategate scandal and many other examples illustrate the UN IPCC and some prominent academics have manipulated, bypassed and actively prevented peer-review.

The ultimate decider of science is not peer review or claims of consensus. Instead, science is decided by empirical scientific evidence. From my investigations, those with such evidence confidently share it while those lacking the evidence understandably hide that fact with irrelevant claims.

UN Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, UN IPCC

The epicentre of climate alarm is the UN IPCC that made five reports to national governments and media in 1990, 1995, 2001, 2007 and 2013. UN IPCC Expert Science Reviewer Dr. Vincent Gray reviewed all five reports and personally confirmed that they contain no empirical scientific evidence of human CO₂ causing warming. He says UN IPCC reports contain scant, if any, evidence of (statistically) significant warming. His review comments on the 2007 report are available in their entirety and demonstrate his fastidiousness and understanding of science after more than 60 years as a research scientist including more than 22 years on climate. He publicly confirms the UN IPCC is unscientific.

Unvalidated and failed UN computer models are not empirical evidence.

Each of the last three UN IPCC ‘science’ reports contains only one chapter claiming unusual warming and attributing it to human CO₂: 2001/chapter 12, 2007/chapter 9, 2013/chapter 10. My detailed analysis of each chapter reveals that the UN IPCC has no empirical scientific evidence that human CO₂ changes global climate. Each chapter carefully and imaginatively implies evidence yet stated ‘observations’ are in reality output generated by unvalidated computerised numerical models whose projections have already been proven wrong empirically. The UN IPCC’s 2007 Table 2-11 admits that more than 80% of factors on which the models are based are of low or very low level of understanding. The models failed to predict the current ongoing absence for two decades of any warming. Failing so soon in predictions made in 1990 and more recently confirms UN IPCC models cannot accurately predict 100 years ahead. In responses to my requests for empirical scientific evidence of human CO₂ causing warming, the Lead Author for 2001/chapter 12 and Review Editor for 2007/chapter 9, David Karoly has always and repeatedly failed to provide such evidence.

The UN has no empirical evidence of human CO₂ causing climate variability.

By charter under the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UN FCCC) the UN IPCC assumes human sources of climate change and ignores or downplays natural factors and hides natural climate variability thereby destroying science. The world’s peak academic scientific body, the Inter Academy Council (IAC) reviewed UN IPCC reporting processes and procedures and the body of its August 2010 report raises many serious issues including conflicts of interest, political interference, bias, failure to review reports scientifically, and vague statements and implied claims of certainty not supported by evidence. These undermine all of the UN IPCC science report’s 800 likelihood and confidence statements as unsupported conjecture. UN IPCC guidelines dictate that UN IPCC science reports are released after the Summary for Policy Makers (SPM) written by politicians and that science reports are modified to suit the political SPM previously released to media and governments. Each of its five reports is based on a fabrication. Each has progressively raised the claimed level of confidence from “confident” in 1990 to “95% certainty” in 2013 using labels selected politically to falsely imply appearances of scientific or statistical certainty. The UN IPCC has attracted a variety of criminal activities and vested interests. It was formed jointly by the UN’s World meteorological Organization (WMO) that corrupted selected national weather bureau and by the UN Environmental Program led initially by Maurice Strong who reportedly fled to China after being sought by American authorities investigating criminal activities.

Despite meeting with Greg Hunt and giving him this material and much more, he and the ALP-Greens publicly claim their position and policies are based on UN IPCC reports yet those reports contain no empirical scientific evidence of warming by human CO₂.

Carbon dioxide (CO₂) – empirical scientific evidence

Carbon dioxide is a naturally occurring colourless, invisible, odourless trace atmospheric gas essential for life on Earth. It is less than 0.04% of our air and is highly soluble in water with its solubility highly sensitive to water temperature so that like warm flat beer, warmer water absorbs less CO₂ than does colder water. In 1803 William Henry discovered this well recognised science since accepted into chemistry as one of our Gas Laws known as Henry's Law. The UN IPCC's 2007 science report admits Earth's oceans contain more than 50 times as much CO₂ in dissolved form than is in earth's entire atmosphere. Other sources claim 70 times. The dissolved CO₂ and the CO₂ in air are in a balance that varies with ocean temperature: as ocean surface temperature rises CO₂ is released into the air raising CO₂ levels in air and as the ocean surface cools CO₂ is absorbed reducing CO₂ levels in air. Earth's surface is almost three-quarters (71%) ocean with southern hemisphere ocean area 50% more than northern ocean area. Oceans have vast storage capacity for CO₂ and for heat and despite depths of up to 11 km ocean surface area is large relative to depth. During the southern hemisphere winter as the extensive southern ocean surface cools it absorbs huge quantities of CO₂ from the atmosphere and drives global CO₂ levels dramatically lower completely overpowering human CO₂ output. In southern hemisphere summers, warming ocean surfaces release huge quantities of CO₂ that raise global levels. That's confirmed empirically by seasonal variation obvious in measurements of CO₂ levels cited and relied upon by the UN IPCC (see Keeling Curve).

Empirical evidence proves human CO₂ cannot effect the level of CO₂ in air.

The late Prof. Lance Endersbee explains precise empirical correlation of CO₂ levels and ocean surface temperature concluding: "The correlation is remarkable and would be considered a perfect correlation in laboratory tests." He cites data from publicly available temperature and CO₂ levels, the latter being the same source as cited by the UN IPCC. If humans stopped producing CO₂ oceans would simply release a relatively minuscule amount more CO₂ to maintain the balance in air that depends on ocean temperature. If humans produced many times more CO₂ oceans would simply retain a relatively negligible amount of CO₂. This is confirmed by Henry's Law and by empirical evidence showing nature determines CO₂ levels in air proving that human CO₂ can have no effect on the global variability or level of CO₂ in air.

Ice core data show that the overall trend in the air's CO₂ levels rises 1,000 years after a rise in earth's temperature. The delay is considered to be due to the time required to warm earth's entire ocean after changes in solar activity. A thousand years ago earth was warmer than today and oceans are now still outgassing CO₂. As with seasonal variation, longer-term temperature changes drive changes in CO₂ trends. This is the opposite of the UN IPCC's core claim that human CO₂ drives temperature and climate. Compounding this are variable quantities of geothermal heat released at the ocean bed with activity correlating empirically with weather patterns.

The UN IPCC deliberately excludes 90,000 reliable measurements of atmospheric CO₂ levels recorded over the last 200 years, including those taken by Nobel science prizewinners. Some show CO₂ levels up to 40% above current levels contradicting UN IPCC claims of unusually high current CO₂ levels based on measurements from only the last 60 years. Current CO₂ levels are not unusually or abnormally high, they are close to the lowest in earth's history.

Despite receiving empirical scientific evidence on CO₂, ALP-Greens MPs and Greg Hunt publicly make unfounded claims contradicting empirical evidence. Why?

Earth's temperature – empirical scientific evidence

The second factor in the UN IPCC's core claim is temperature with the UN IPCC implying earth is experiencing unusual ongoing warming. The lower atmosphere, or troposphere, contains most of the mass of Earth's air and extends up to around 17,000 metres in altitude with satellite measurement using NASA satellites since 1979 providing accurate temperature measurements through the Earth System Science Center at the University of Alabama in Huntsville (UAH) and Remote Sensing Systems (RSS). Canadian statistician Ross McKittrick confirms that the data shows almost 20 years without any warming trend. This is supported by weather balloon radiosonde temperature measurements from 1958.

Both methods are ignored by the UN IPCC that instead uses ground-based measurements at a height of 2 metres on a tiny portion of earth's 29% landmass to represent global atmospheric temperature. These are discredited though due to non-compliance with standards (the USA network is the world's best yet 90% of its stations fail to meet standards) and due to documented deliberate fabrication of data by NASA-GISS and our BOM. Nonetheless, Dr. Phil Jones, former head of the UN IPCC's temperature database admitted no ground-based warming trend since 1995.

From the start of atmospheric temperature measurement in 1958 it has been cooling or flat (no warming) for almost 70% of the time. From the UN IPCC's formation there has been no warming for 70% of the time. Australian summer temperatures measured by satellite reveal only natural variation with no warming at all since the start of measurement in 1979, 36 years ago. Our earth's atmosphere and surface are not warming.

The rooster crowing at sunrise is not evidence the rooster caused the sun to rise.

Paraphrasing Aung San Suu Kyi

Since the industrial revolution in 1850, purely natural warming, cooling, warming, cooling, warming cycles with varying periods of stasis have prevailed. Australian Bureau of Meteorology (BOM) temperature data reveals Australia was warmer from 1860 to 1890 when heatwaves were far more severe than today and empirical data reveal cooling in rural weather stations over the last 120 years. Globally and in Australia, three warming cycles in the late nineteenth century, early-mid twentieth century and late twentieth century were similar in amount and duration of warming.

The world's longest continuous thermometer measurement is the Central England Temperature record revealing several warming, cooling and stasis cycles similar to those of the last 120 years with the warming from 1690 to 1720 being longer and greater than any warming cycle during industrialisation over the most recent 160 years. Earth is currently cooler than the historically recorded and scientifically verified Medieval Warming Period 1,000 years ago and far cooler than the Roman Warming Period 2,000 years ago and the earlier and warmer Egyptian and Minoan Warming Periods. The Northwest Passage over the Arctic was open in 1906 and in the 1940's when the Arctic was warmer than today. *There is currently no warming in the atmosphere, land surface or oceans. There is nothing unusual or unprecedented in climate variability during the last 160 years. There is no process change in temperatures, only natural variability.*

Despite receiving empirical scientific evidence on temperature, ALP-Greens MPs and Greg Hunt publicly make unfounded claims contradicting science. Why?

[Extract from a report by Malcolm Roberts](#)