Responses from federal MPs

Statistical and Qualitative Summary

MPs responses lack empirical scientific evidence of human causation of global warming

Please refer to responses from specific MP's on the same web site page hosting this summary. http://www.conscious.com.au/letters.html Please note in particular Ministerial letters by Greg Combet and Chris Bowen with their failure to provide empirical scientific evidence for their core claim that https://www.conscious.com.au/letters.html Please note in particular empirical scientific evidence for their core claim that https://www.conscious.com.au/letters.html Please note in particular empirical scientific evidence for their core claim that https://www.conscious.com.au/letters.html Please note in particular empirical scientific evidence for their core claim that https://www.conscious.com.au/letters.html Please note in particular empirical scientific evidence for their core claim that https://www.conscious.com.au/letters.html Please note in particular empirical scientific evidence for their core claim that https://www.conscious.com.ntml Please note in particular empirical scientific evidence for their core claim that https://www.conscious.com.ntml Please note their reliance on claims to authority. These are logical fallacies and confirm both Ministers' lack of scientific evidence.

In almost all their responses MPs failed to take responsibility

Please refer to MPs' responses and decide for yourself. Is parliament fulfilling its responsibility to serve the people?

All MPs failed to acknowledge concern about documented proven overwhelming corruption of climate science

Many of those who responded used their response to justify their party's position and tarnish their political opponents. It seems MPs are not effective listeners and empathisers. None took responsibility for investigating let alone ending the corruption.

That's not one MP in 226.

Numerical summary of Registered Post letter collection by MPs' offices

Past experience with Penny Wong revealed that it required more than four (4) weeks for her office's staff to collect Registered Post letters from the Post Office within Parliament House. Australia Post staff advised me that it had taken her staff up to seven (7) weeks to stroll across the building to collect mail.

Thus collection time for my 226 Registered Post letters to MPs was tracked.

For the purpose of this analysis, collection time is the time elapsed from my letter's arrival in the Parliament House Post Office until collection by each MP's office.

Many MPs' offices collected their Registered Post letter the same day it arrived in the Parliament House Post Office. That's a collection time of one (1) day. Many MPs offices had a collection time of two (2) days.

Mail to senators seems to incur a delay as none had a collection time of one (1) day. The minimum was four (4) days. I suspect this to be due to Australia Post and not the fault of senators' offices. No senators' offices' collection time exceeded three (3) weeks.

Some MPs' offices though were not lightning quick. There was no significant difference between the major parties. Coalition and ALP MPs with collection times of two (2) weeks or more were similar in number.

Collection time of Six weeks or more (1 x MP):

Windsor, Tony (Independent) 31 days

Collection time of Five weeks or more (14 x MPs):

Katter, Bob (Independent) 29 days
Roxon, Nicola (ALP) 28 days)
Fitzgibbon, Joel (ALP) 27 days
Ciobo, Steve (Lib) 26 days
Jones, Stephen (ALP) 26 days
Livermore, Kirsten (ALP) 26 days
Keenan, Michael (Lib) 26 days
Keenan, Michael (Lib) 26 days
Perrett, Graham (ALP) 26 days
Laming, Andrew (Lib) 25
Ley, Sussan (Lib) 25 days
Moylan, Judi (Lib) 25 days
O'Dowd, Ken (Nats) 25 days
Randall, Don (Lib) 25
Rishworth, Amanda (ALP) 25 days
No senators

Collection time of four (4) weeks or more (3 x MPs):

Rudd, Kevin (ALP) 24 days Thomson, Craig (Independent) 24 days Georganas, Steve 23 (ALP) days No senators

Collection time of Three weeks or more (2 x MPs):

Robb, Andrew (Lib) 16 days Slipper, Peter (Independent) 15 days No senators

Collection time of Two (2) weeks or more (11 x MPs):

Crossin, Trish (ALP) 14 days
Bernardi, Cory (Lib) 13 days
Bishop, Mark (ALP) 13 days
Boswell, Ron (Nats) 13 days
Brown, Carol (ALP) 13 days
Gallacher, Alex (ALP) 13 days
McKenzie, Bridget (Nats) 13 days
McKenzie, Bridget (Nats) 13 days
Singh, Lisa (ALP) 13 days
Truss, Warren (Nats) 12 days
Bowen, Chris (ALP) 11 days
O'Connor, Brendan (ALP) 11 days

14% of MPs' offices had a collection time of two weeks or more = 31 MPs.

Academics and agencies:

With the exception of Will Steffen, collection times by academics and government funded agencies and journalists were less than two weeks and overwhelmingly prompt or reasonable.

Ostrich Club members

Five MPs returned their letter to Sender. Were they afraid of the issue? Were they hoping that by ignoring it the corruption would go away?

Members of the Ostrich Club are mostly from the ALP:

- Bruce Bilson (Lib)
- Gai Brodtmann (ALP)
- Darren Cheeseman (ALP)
- Rob Mitchell (ALP)
- Deborah O'Neill (ALP)

All members of the Ostrich Club are in the House of Representatives.

Why would MPs Return letters unopened after a voter voluntarily paid around \$13 per letter in materials and postage plus his time to share research with MPs?

Academic Kurt Lambeck returned his letter to sender. Is he afraid of facts?

Tuesday, April 23rd, 2013