

Date published: Monday, February 4th, 2012

Latest update:

APPENDIX 11

PROMINENT UNIVERSITIES FUNDED BY GOVERNMENT

This document is part of, and intended to be read in conjunction with, all parts of and appendices to the document entitled *CSIROh!*

“Intelligence plus character-that is the goal of true education.”

Martin Luther King, Jr

“Education is the most powerful weapon which you can use to change the world.”

Nelson Mandela

*“Education without values, as useful as it is,
seems rather to make man a more clever devil.”*

CS Lewis

Personal declaration: I am a graduate of The University of Queensland with an Honours Bachelor’s Degree and a graduate from the University of Chicago Graduate School of Business with a Master’s degree. My studies at the latter university resulted in receiving a personal award in 1990 for achievement among business students in the USA. One of my clients includes an Australian university internationally prominent in research.

Prominent Australian academic advocates and members of Tim Flannery’s Climate Commission misrepresenting climate hold positions at prominent Australian universities. Accordingly universities deserve scrutiny.

Universities were originally supposed to be bastions of free thought challenging the orthodoxy and stimulating free, independent, critical thinking. There are doubts this applies to today’s universities dependent upon government grants and burgeoning bureaucracies. In the scandal exposed by Brisbane’s Courier-Mail newspaper it was revealed that the previous University of Queensland Vice-Chancellor’s remuneration package was over one million dollars per year. His Deputy Vice-Chancellor’s package was almost one million dollars.

Based on comments received, it’s likely that many Australians would share the belief that these salaries are exorbitant and not consistent with Aussie values of fairness.

Prominent Australian universities fail to provide empirical scientific evidence for their claim that human CO₂ caused global warming

The University of New South Wales, University of Melbourne, University of Queensland and the Australian National University all vigorously promote the claim that human CO₂ caused global warming. They do so in their publications and on web sites promoting their respective climate change science centres. These centres were reportedly formed largely to tap lucrative government grants in the global warming industry.

All these universities fail to provide any empirical evidence or logical scientific reasoning that human CO₂ caused warming.

Two Queensland philanthropists have advised the University of Queensland that they and their colleagues have cancelled their plan to donate tens of millions of dollars to UQ science programs. They are disgusted with what they see as the university's sloppiness in science. Their concerns and subsequent inquiries were triggered by university staff making false claims about human CO₂ causing global warming.

Supposed *investigations* into climate at prominent overseas universities

Prominent universities in Britain and in America known to be closely associated with the global warming *industry* have failed to transparently and independently investigate serious complaints. Britain's University of East Anglia was at the centre of the scandal that has become widely known as Climategate. America's Pennsylvania State University in recent years has been reported as mired in two scandals that have resulted in dismissal of senior executives.

Both the University of East Anglia and Penn State inquiries failed to:

- Conduct independent investigations;
- Call witnesses having views opposing the university's defence;
- Conduct any statistical analysis of the scientists' data;
- Investigate the science claimed to have been in breach.

University whitewashes only delay the inevitable unearthing of blatant hiding of reality by universities. These are eventually exposed:

<http://thegwpf.org/images/stories/gwpf-reports/Climategate-Inquiries.pdf>

And page 4, '2010 Inquiries into ClimateGate':

http://www.conscious.com.au/documents/The%20Eco%20Fraud_part%201.pdf

And:

<http://www.conscious.com.au/documents/additional%20material/climategate%20references.pdf>

Further, by relying on whitewashes instead of genuine inquiries, the rot spreads to the possible extent of infecting senior university leaders. The delay raises the penalty of conducting a whitewash. American university Penn State's position in failing to independently investigate corruption of climate science and instead prefer a whitewash is symptomatic and illustrative:

<http://johnosullivan.wordpress.com/2012/07/17/official-probe-shows-climategate-whitewash-link-to-sandusky-child-sex-case/>

with:

<http://www.independent.org/newsroom/article.asp?id=3285>

And:

<http://www.openmarket.org/2012/07/13/the-other-scandal-in-unhappy-valley/>

And:

<http://www.nationalreview.com/corner/309442/football-and-hockey-mark-steyn>

And:

<http://johnosullivan.wordpress.com/2012/07/21/breaking-climate-scientist-michael-mann-lawyers-up-after-penn-state-child-sex-link/>

And summarising this headline into my appendix:

<http://thetimes-tribune.com/news/ex-penn-state-president-charged-in-sandusky-case-1.1397126>

The same university is embroiled in a paedophilia scandal that reportedly was initially quashed by the university's reliance on major donations through its football program. Universities become dependent on those who bring in funding. These include footballers and advocates of UN or national government policies using computerised numerical models that contradict empirical scientific evidence and biologists masquerading as *climate scientists*.

When football programs and financially lucrative climate alarm campaigns bless huge funds on universities, could it be that such funds cause universities to compromise their standards and breach ethical guidelines and sacrifice integrity? It seems likely to be so.

Quote: *"The stunning report, that took eight months to compile with over 400 interviews and which cost in excess of \$4 million, pointed to the university's overriding motive: money and prestige."*

The University of East Anglia breached its solemn commitment given to the British parliament to conduct thorough and independent investigations. British Members of Parliament expressed anger that they were powerless to hold the university accountable.

When universities are beyond public accountability it questions the role of parliament and government.

Note the University of Virginia's apparently variable standards. They enable outsiders to access work of climate sceptics yet prevent access to work of advocates of climate alarm.

When organisations compromise themselves that can usher in and condone compromises among staff. Penn State's prominent climate advocate Michael Mann falsely claimed to have been awarded a Nobel Prize. The UN IPCC subsequently contradicted his claim:

<http://www.nationalreview.com/corner/331829/mikes-nobel-trick-mark-steyn#>

And:

<http://johnosullivan.wordpress.com/2012/10/28/michael-mann-retracts-false-nobel-prize-claims-in-humiliating-climbdown/>

And:

<http://johnosullivan.wordpress.com/2012/10/26/breaking-michael-mann-in-perjury-sensation-nobel-committee-affirm-he-lied/>

And

<http://www.examiner.com/article/professor-mann-claims-to-win-nobel-prize-nobel-committee-says-he-has-not>

And

[http://www.nationalreview.com/corner/331497/nobel-mann-takes-revolting-peasants-mark-steyn?utm_source=Climate+Depot&utm_campaign=3f6fb1abbc-Michael Mann s Nobel Prize10 26 2012&utm_medium=email#](http://www.nationalreview.com/corner/331497/nobel-mann-takes-revolting-peasants-mark-steyn?utm_source=Climate+Depot&utm_campaign=3f6fb1abbc-Michael+Mann+s+Nobel+Prize10+26+2012&utm_medium=email#)

Clicking on the text from Mann's submission here is revealing:

<http://www.australianclimatemadness.com/2012/10/mann-claims-he-was-awarded-nobel-peace-prize/>

Australian Universities failing to independently & transparently investigate complaints about behaviour and/or ethics

Appendix 9 includes reference to the lack of suitable responses from both the University of Melbourne and the University of Queensland to my formal complaints about documented misrepresentations made by their publicly prominent employees acting as their representatives. Within Appendix 9 please to Appendix 9a discussing David Karoly's statements, claims and behaviour and to Appendix 9f discussing Ove Hoegh-Guldberg's claims, statements and behaviour.

Both universities failed to independently investigate my separate complaints. This raises questions of those universities and their officials and governors: are they condoning and thereby complicit in corrupting climate science and misrepresenting climate to the public and/or parliamentarians?

Without independent investigation, UQ's Vice-Chancellor dismissed my complaint. The university Senate later reportedly suspended him and his Deputy Vice-Chancellor for unrelated serious breaches of ethical standards. Their employment was subsequently terminated. Yet to my knowledge the University has still failed to independently investigate my complaint.

The University of Queensland has since sacked the whistleblower that revealed the scandal engulfing and claiming its Vice-Chancellor.

<http://www.couriermail.com.au/news/queensland/cmc-approves-axing-of-whistleblowers-post/story-e6freoof-1226417264479>

According to the reporter the University of Queensland had not revealed the inquiry's findings.

And:

<http://www.couriermail.com.au/news/opinion/opinion-state-of-secrecy-over-university-of-queensland-job-loss/story-e6frerc6-1226434096573>

At the University of Melbourne the then Chancellor Alex Chernov reportedly had a distinguished law career. Yet as Chancellor he was apparently placed in the position of relying on internal advice that seemingly condones and thereby encourages implied falsities spread by a publicly prominent senior representative of that university.

One wonders about university complicity in the non-existent death-threats discussed by Australian National University Vice-Chancellor Ian Chubb and Director of ANU Climate Change Institute, Will Steffen.

http://www.australianclimatemadness.com/2012/05/anus-will-steffen-speaks-on-death-threat-emails/?utm_source=rss&utm_medium=rss&utm_campaign=anus-will-steffen-speaks-on-death-threat-emails

And:

<http://www.quadrant.org.au/blogs/doomed-planet/2012/05/death-threat-fictions>

Do the behaviours discussed above as revealed by the media reflect people's needs for integrity, fairness, transparency, accountability and value? I say not.

Taxpayers face an open-ended, upward-ratcheting carbon dioxide tax and *trading* scheme without future compensation. Do they approve of their hard-earned money funding institutes advocating heavily penalising taxpayers? Do they approve of taxes being used to spread or imply falsities contradicting empirical science?

On the topic of climate some major universities appear to be part of an *industry* misrepresenting climate while sucking funds from taxpayers.

Academic reportedly receives \$1.7 million of taxpayer funds to denigrate people who disagree with him

Science writer Jo Nova raises many serious questions about grants totalling \$1.7 million awarded to Stefan Lewandowsky. Her article is available here:

<http://joannenova.com.au/2012/09/lewandowsky-gets-1-7m-of-taxpayer-funds-to-demonize-people-who-disagree-with-him/>

Jo Nova raises eight (8) flaws in Stephan Lewandowsky's work.

She continues, quote: *"In response to claims that the "faked data" neutralized his conclusions, Lewandowsky retroactively deleted references to it in comments on his publicly funded site, wrote attempted parody instead of an answer, and then finally claimed he was right because he could find at least three examples of people who say things that (without any investigation) appear to be nutty, despite evidence that some believers of man-made global warming espouse equally nutty things. The truth or not of a theory and influence of a group will not be decided by analyzing the fringe extreme. He cannot find a single leader of the skeptic movement who espouses any of the conspiracies he claims are important. There are no blog posts among the "greatly involved" climate skeptics about Diana being murdered, HIV being manufactured nor moon-landings being faked."*

Quote: *"This kind of unscientific poor standard work would not get attention or have any credibility if it were not funded by the Australian Government. According to his 28 page CV he claims to have been a part of \$4.4m in grants."*

Nice work if you can get it.

If we do not demand higher standards and turn off the tap filling this well of personal bias dressed as research, we're letting good scientists down, we're letting

hard working tax-payers down, and we're letting our children down."

Jo Nova provides details on Stefan Lewandowsky's funding.

Appendix 9 discusses claims by Professor Stefan Lewandowsky. In publishing his wild claims Stefan Lewandowsky exposed himself to peer-review that revealed glaring deficiencies in his data, methodology and apparent lack of objectivity. Statistician Steve McIntyre revealed Stefan Lewandowsky's *methodology* as highly questionable and seemingly dubious. Details are in links provided in Appendix 9j.

As Jo Nova asks, quote: *"If you wonder, like I do, whether the Australian taxpayer gets value for money, ponder that somewhere a cancer researcher was denied funding in order for Lewandowsky to do his work?"*

Contradicting empirical scientific evidence universities divert limited funding from real environmental and humanitarian challenges

By omission the UNSW CCRC misrepresents the Arctic ice situation:

http://www.crcr.unsw.edu.au/news/news/2012-11-02_recordicemelt.html

Refer to Appendices 4a and 10 for more details.

Researchers into real environmental and humanitarian challenges reportedly complain of a lack of funds resulting from money being diverted into global warming and climate change. This has been openly discussed for many years.

Here's an example of money being splashed on a topic associated with global warming despite not having fundamental evidence justifying the grant:

http://www.real-science.com/cool-million-diligence?utm_source=twitterfeed&utm_medium=twitter

Meanwhile real environmental and humanitarian challenges wither for lack of funds.

During the UNSW Climate Change Research Centre's first year UNSW Vice Chancellor Fred Hilmer publicly stated that one of the reasons for the centre was to secure grants for researching global warming (aka climate change).

Despite an estimated \$79-100 billion having been spent internationally on researching global warming no university or government agency or international body has any empirical scientific evidence that human CO₂ caused global warming. Yet many falsely imply such evidence.

Every dollar spent on misrepresenting or corrupting climate science and contradicting empirical scientific evidence is a dollar not spent on medical research, or environmental research, or humanitarian challenges such as HIV, or ... We cannot afford to ignore such real needs for research funding.

Conclusions

My conclusion is that prominent universities are dependent on government funding for their flagship climate change institutes that were established to take funds from taxpayers on this politicised area.

As a result of their government dependency and high public profile some universities appear locked into operating in a way that supports the political agenda. That support appears to be in the form of comments supporting the political agenda and discrediting those with opposing views. That the universities lack any empirical scientific evidence or logic for their support of a political agenda contradicting empirical evidence is damning.

If universities and scientists do not provide adequate evidence and/or fail to exclude unscientific behaviour they risk destroying their credibility. For their future's sake, universities need to apply the highest of standards to themselves and to their staff.

Just as the UN IPCC relies on a tight-knit cabal of scientists to supervise their own work without external accountability, it seems that Australian and international universities prominent in supporting the claim that HUMAN CO₂ caused Earth's latest modest cyclic global ATMOSPHERIC warming that ended in 1998 are relying entirely on a close-knit cabal of *scientists*. In doing so they are likely undermining their future and the future of science.

It seems that government funding corrupted the culture of major universities with their snouts in the taxpayer-funded global warming trough.

In what is being labelled by some as the Asian Century, education will be vital for Australia's future success and welfare. The quality of education and the survival of universities will in turn depend on institutions maintaining their brand and reputation intact and not smashed by lapses in integrity:

<http://www.theaustralian.com.au/higher-education/only-elite-to-survive-slump-in-university-funds/story-e6frgcjx-1226501913522>

*“Whatever the cost of our libraries,
the price is cheap compared to that of an ignorant nation.”*
Walter Cronkite