

180 Haven Road
Pullenvale QLD 4069
Phone: 07 3374 3374
E-mail: analysis@cis.net.au

Wednesday, October 11th, 2009

The Hon Robert McClelland, MP
Attorney-General
PO Box 6022
House of Representatives
Parliament House
Canberra ACT 2600

Dear Mr McClelland:

Supplementary Materials to A Formal Complaint and Request to Investigate matters including apparent ministerial fraud or negligence

Further to my formal complaint dated October 27th, 2009 I now submit supplementary material to be included as part of my formal complaint.

Attached is a copy of correspondence dated November 2, 2009 from Senator Mark Furner, an ALP senator from Queensland. His letter was accompanied by a letter from Senator Wong, apparently in response to questions of her from Senator Furner.

A copy of my correspondence with Senator Furner is attached including my response to him yesterday and my letter of July 30th, 2009.

It is clear to me that Senator Wong's response to Senator Furner contains many serious errors, omissions and misrepresentations. Referring to my response to Senator Furner please note:

- Senator Wong, in her response to Senator Furner, contradicts data provided by the UN IPCC itself on its own report writing and review processes
- Senator Wong relies on partial truths and provides a lopsided and thus distorted view conceivably to deceive Senator Furner. That is understandable given she presented no measured scientific real world data as evidence to support her core claim that human production of carbon dioxide (CO₂) caused Earth's modest global warming that ended around 1998 and given she has repeatedly failed to provide any such evidence
- given serious deficiencies, falsities and misrepresentations in UN IPCC reports, and given apparent conflicts of financial and other interests among some UN IPCC report authors and in the UN IPCC's very existence and purpose, Senator Wong has no sound justification for using UN IPCC reports as support for her claim.

From Senator Wong's response to Senator Furner it is clear to me that Senator Wong's position in advocating her Carbon Pollution Reduction Scheme (CPRS) is based on, and relies on, UN IPCC advice that deliberately misleads governments and the media.

It is clear to me that Senator Wong lacks evidence to support her core claim that human

production of carbon dioxide (CO₂) was responsible for Earth's modest period of 'global warming' that ended around 1998.

Yet, just as clearly, her statements and omissions consistently exhibit an overwhelming preponderance of errors and omissions that exaggerate climate alarm. This is astounding because with topics containing uncertainty, an impartial advocate lacking motive for unreasonable bias would understandably produce some errors overstating the view or position advocated. These would usually be offset though by other errors understating the position. Yet with Senator Wong, her statements consistently overstate the case, and indeed fabricate the case. This indicates to me that her 'errors' are not errors but likely to be deliberate and deceptive. It is clear to me that, at best, she has not done her due diligence. Worse, it seems she has spread falsities in a calculated and fraudulent way.

It is clear to me that Senator Wong, by unsoundly and unjustifiably relying on UN IPCC reports, has been misleading parliament and particularly MP's in her own party. On the basis my conclusion is correct, in doing this she is driving a stake through the heart of parliamentary democracy.

It is clear to me there is no measured, observed, scientific real-world evidence supporting the government's core claim and stated reason for its proposed CPRS. The scheme needs to be nullified and immediately discarded from parliament.

It is clear to me that a full and impartial independent inquiry needs to be launched immediately into the means and manner by which the government's global warming (climate change) policy and CPRS were developed and advocated. This inquiry needs to be broad to include the role of various government agencies such as the Australian Broadcasting Corporation and CSIRO. Given the significance of distortions to date, this inquiry needs to be conducted independent from parliament.

The international evidence showing corruption by the UN IPCC is overwhelming. The UN IPCC has misled governments and media around the world although not all governments have accepted UN IPCC advice. Those with strong, informed leaders have rejected UN IPCC advice.

In my view, Senator Wong's reliance on UN IPCC reports is irresponsible and one-sided. As such Senator Wong has misled the parliament and the people of Australia. In that her position has been closed, unbending, formidably buttressed and seemingly impregnable, it seems ordinary MP's had little chance of countering what they had been led to believe to be beyond doubt. MP's of all parties would find themselves in a position of feeling intimidated. The currency of Senator Furner's letter shows Senator Wong continues to mislead MP's.

Unlike MP's, Senator Wong is in a position with privileged access to funds, scientists and travel. She leads a department that would almost certainly be a collecting point acting as the hub of information. Yet she has not disclosed UN IPCC deception.

Nonetheless, Senator Steve Fielding was able to unearth reality and objectively declare his opposition to the CPRS on the basis of his own self-funded Australian and international investigations.

In an attempt to develop Senator Wong's understanding of climate and UN IPCC falsities, scientists have made representations to her, to no avail. Combined with my own experience diligently providing credible documented information over five months, it is apparent Senator Wong ignores advice questioning her position and her policy. This is to Australia's detriment.

The government's reach into CSIRO appears extensive and strong. Given the climate frenzy developed by Senator Wong and the Prime Minister and their power on this issue over Labor MP's, the issue of climate deception has become a very serious issue.

I'm confident that you, as Attorney-General, agree that actions fomenting unfounded climate alarm through parliament are very dangerous to society. Given the unprecedented scale, scope and depth of the climate scandal I see an independent inquiry as essential to restoring people's confidence in their parliament and in government. Given the extraordinary lengths to which Senator Steve Fielding went in courageously doing his own due diligence and in daring to ask Senator Wong for proof, to no avail, it is clear Senator Wong ignored Senator Fielding's findings from his international investigations. The inquiry needs to be independent.

People's attempts to protect Australia from the government's unfounded climate alarm are extensive. Many people, including internationally eminent scientists, are frustrated by the government's unfounded intransigence and apparent deception driving its CPRS. People are working to protect Australia's sovereignty from being supplanted by a Copenhagen treaty and to protect Australia's economy from a needless huge tax that cannot and will not have any impact on climate. People are working to prevent the government negatively impacting the environment through its diverting people's focus and resources from real environmental challenges to the UN IPCC's fabricated non-problem. At stake are the very core of scientific review and science, objective policy formulation and people's freedom. The CPRS needs to be immediately nullified.

It is ironic that on Remembrance Day I am writing to Australia's Attorney-General asking him to ensure Australians are protected from two ministers in Australia's parliament. Our soldiers died that Australians be free. As you are our nation's 'first law officer' whose responsibilities include law enforcement with primary responsibility for fraud control and national security and with ministerial responsibilities including legislative drafting, I turn to you to conduct a thorough, independent investigation to protect our nation. I request you to please ensure Australians remain free.

Yours sincerely,



Malcolm Roberts
BE (Hons), MBA (Chicago)
Fellow AICD, MAIM, MAusIMM, MAME (USA), MIMM (UK), Fellow ASQ (USA, Aust)

In June, 2009 as part of a series of e-mails exposing the UN IPCC I sent all federal MP's a declaration of my interests. Note: I receive no remuneration for my entirely voluntary work exposing climate alarm falsities. If requested I will be pleased to again send you my declaration.

Enclosures:

- Copy of letter from Senator Furner including copy of his response from Senator Wong. Paragraphs in copy of this document have been numbered in the margin by hand to ensure clarity in my response to Senator Furner
- Copy of reply to Senator Furner including:
 - Copy of e-mails sent to him between July 13th and 22nd, 2009
 - Document entitled UN IPCC Science Scrutinised
 - Paper by McLean, J D, de Freitas, C R and Carter, R M, entitled "Influence of the Southern Oscillation and tropospheric temperature". Published by the Journal of Geophysical Research on July 23rd, 2009 in Volume 114, D14104, doi:10.1029/2008JD011637, 2009.
- Copy of previous correspondence to Senator Furner dated July 30th, 2009

cc:

Senator Fielding

Senator Barnaby Joyce

Dr Dennis Jensen MP

Senator Nick Minchin

Senator Julian McGauran

Senator George Brandis

Senator Cory Bernardi

Queensland Council of Civil Liberties

Ian Wishart