

180 Haven Road
Pullenvale QLD 4069
Phone: 07 3374 3374
E-mail: catalyst@eis.net.au

Tuesday, October 27th, 2009

The Hon Robert McClelland, MP
Attorney-General
PO Box 6022
House of Representatives
Parliament House
Canberra ACT 2600

Dear Mr McClelland:

A Formal Complaint and Request to Investigate matters including apparent ministerial fraud or negligence

After reading your list of responsibilities as Attorney-General shown on your department's web site, I am writing to formally lodge a complaint and to request that you investigate four matters including apparent committing of fraud and apparent breaches of ministerial oaths.

All matters are in relation to the government's position and/or statements on global warming. In recent times this topic has been extended to be known as 'climate change'. Despite that, the government's core claim remains unchanged: that human production of carbon dioxide is causing abnormal and dangerous global increases in Earth's temperature.

The government's prosecution of global warming, as led by Senator Wong and the Prime Minister is, according to Senator Wong and the Prime Minister, based on reports produced by the United Nations body known as the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (UN IPCC). In researching global warming (climate change) it has become clear that key UN IPCC political reports (for policy makers) have been fabricated using unscientific processes. Such reports include falsities and inferences that misrepresent and falsely imply human production of carbon dioxide causes global warming. The reports lack measured scientific data supporting the UN IPCC's core claim that human production of carbon dioxide caused Earth's most recent period of modest global warming that ended around 1998. There is no evidence of any person holding data proving this outlandish, unfounded claim of human causation of global warming.

I cite five reports by John McLean:

- The IPCC can't count its "expert scientists": Author and reviewer numbers are wrong
- An Analysis of the Review of the IPCC 4AR WGI Report
- Prejudiced authors, Prejudiced findings: *Did the UN bias its attribution of "global warming" to humankind?*
- Why the IPCC Should be Disbanded
- Peer Review? What Peer Review? Failures of scrutiny in the UN's Fourth Assessment Report

Copies of all the above-listed reports accompany this letter. A Google search of each of these titles will easily provide all these publicly available reports. The reports cannot be sensibly refuted since they simply present UN IPCC data provided by the UN IPCC itself.

A paper copy of each of the first four listed reports has been provided to Senator Wong via Australia Post Registered Post (posted July 31, 2009). Additionally, a paper copy of each of the five reports has been provided by facsimile to Senator Wong. For your information, Facsimile Transmission Verification Reports and an Australia Post Registered Post 'Delivery Confirmation - Advice Receipt' accompany this request.

On July 31, 2009, one copy of each of the first four listed reports was sent by Australia Post Registered Post to the Prime Minister. For your information, a copy of the Australia Post Registered Post 'Delivery Confirmation - Advice Receipt' accompanies this request.

Accompanying this letter and reports by McLean are copies of correspondence with Senator Wong and the Prime Minister. This correspondence has been via Australia Post Registered Post and facsimile. Copies of the Australia Post Registered Post 'Delivery Confirmation - Advice Receipt' and Facsimile Transmission Verification Reports accompany this request.

I make the following complaints and request that you investigate these matters:

- firstly, that government legislation known as 'Carbon Pollution Reduction Scheme' (CPRS) is not substantiated by science and is contrary to climate science and for this reason should be immediately nullified;
- secondly, that in having been supplied with clear evidence in the form of data from the UN IPCC that invalidates UN IPCC reports cited as the basis for the CPRS, the Prime Minister and Senator Wong continue to promote and support the CPRS and are thus apparently acting either fraudulently or negligently and thereby misleading parliament;
- thirdly, that in continuing to promote and support the CPRS the Prime Minister and Senator Wong are apparently contravening Executive Council oaths and/or ministerial oaths and thus may be committing treason;
- fourthly, that in labelling carbon dioxide as a pollutant and spreading that misrepresentation to mislead the public via an expensive advertising campaign, the government is acting without necessary foundation and substantiation.

I draw to your attention my conclusion that in supporting and promoting CPRS legislation, the Prime Minister and Senator Wong are acting contrary to the national interest.

In my written correspondence with current federal government ministers, it is clear that at least two (2) ministers are relying on Senator Wong's guidance on the CPRS. That she continues to advise her ministerial colleagues to support the CPRS despite having in her possession two (2) hard copies of each of four (4) reports revealing UN IPCC falsities and misrepresentations is troubling in that she appears to be misleading ministers.

In addition to McLean's outstanding papers, in support of my conclusion I enclose:

- a copy of a document I prepared entitled UN IPCC Science Scrutinised; and,
- a book entitled 'Air Con: The Seriously Inconvenient Truth About Global Warming' by New Zealand investigative journalist Ian Wishart. Mr Wishart's book cites 432 scientific and other references.⁷

Please refer to page 269 of Mr Wishart's book. His book is more up to date on global warming (climate change) science than the most recent UN IPCC report published in 2007. Indeed, the UN's date for cutting off data for its 2007 report was two (2) years earlier in 2005. Mr Wishart's data analysis was closed off just this past April, 2009.

In particular, I draw to your attention pages 34, 56, 83, 84, 94, 95, 103, 109, 114, 117, 118, 154-162 (being chapter 12), 176-180, 184 and 188-215 (being chapter 15). Chapter 16 (pages 216-231) and page 271 provide related contextual material.

I draw to your attention page 158 of Mr Wishart's book which quotes the conclusion of the expert Wegman Panel in its report to the USA Senate, quote: "The public policy implications of this debate are financially staggering and yet apparently no independent statistical expertise was sought or used." Mr Wishart comments on the report to the USA Senate, quote: "It's an

extreme note of warning to governments around the world as they consider carbon taxes and emission trading schemes based on IPCC reports that are scientifically incorrect, and academic studies that are badly flawed.“ My independent research has led me to the same conclusion.

I feel deeply disappointed and alarmed that our government appears to be deliberately ignoring or neglecting expert advice readily available in the public domain and that our Prime Minister and Senator Wong apparently continue to fail to do their due diligence as part of their responsibility as members of the Executive Council and/or ministers. I request that you, in your role as the ‘first law officer of the Commonwealth of Australia’, formally investigate these matters I raise.

Please note that the expert advice to which I am referring is not only the **scientific advice** including that of UN IPCC panel scientists that states UN IPCC political reports (for policy makers) misrepresent climate science. Importantly, I am also referring to data showing UN IPCC political reports (for policy makers) are not scientific and contain falsities, as **highlighted by UN IPCC data provided by the UN IPCC itself.**

Please refer to the enclosed copy of a letter received from Mr Rudd’s office, on his behalf. The second paragraph clearly confirms that Mr Rudd continues to operate on the unfounded and false belief that the UN IPCC (quote) “is a scientific” body and on the false assumption that the UN IPCC (quote) “provides comprehensive reports that” (accurately) “summarise the current information, data and future projections of climate change”. Based on objective assessment and UN IPCC data, it is clear that these conclusions by Mr Rudd’s office are unfounded, false and contradict reality, thereby misleading the Australian nation and its parliament.

Significantly, the UN IPCC’s political reports (for policy makers) contradict UN IPCC scientific reports and UN IPCC scientists. Many internationally eminent climate scientists, including UN IPCC scientists, are publicly exposing the UN IPCC’s falsities. I refer you to the following, among many, publications:

- Michaels, P J, PhD, Editor, 2005. Shattered Consensus - The True State of Global Warming. (Rowman & Littlefield: Plymouth, UK). Containing chapters by internationally eminent climate scientists on specific topics in climate science. Includes three (3) UN IPCC scientists (one being a Lead Author) and a consultant to the UN IPCC. References 729 sources;
- Singer, S F and Avery, D T, 2007. Unstoppable Global Warming - Every 1,500 Years. (Rowman & Littlefield, Plymouth, UK). Comprehensive, reader friendly book on all aspects of climate alarm. S F Singer is an internationally renowned Professor emeritus of Ecology and Environment, respected climate scientist, physicist, first director of the USA's National Weather Satellite Service and former vice-chairman for five years of the US National Advisory Committee on Oceans and Atmospheres, member of UN IPCC panel of advisory scientists. References 534 sources;
- NIPCC, Singer, S F, (Ed) 2008. Report entitled “Nature, Not Human Activity Rules the Climate”. http://sepp.org/publications/NIPCC_final.pdf [Accessed: June, 2009] Produced by a group of internationally eminent scientists, including scientists on the UN IPCC panel. Prepared by the Nongovernmental International Panel on Climate Change (NIPCC) 2008 as a Science and Environmental Policy Project and published by The Heartland Institute, page 24. Edited by Prof S F Singer. References to 168 sources;
- Michaels, PJ and Balling, R C, 2009. Climate of Extremes - Global Warming Science They Don't Want You to Know. (Cato institute, Washington, USA). Michaels is a member of the UN IPCC and Balling a consultant to the UN IPCC. References to 278 sources and reading;
- NIPCC, Singer S F, PhD and Idso, C D, PhD, (Editors) 2009. Climate Change Reconsidered. <http://www.nipccreport.org/index.html> [Accessed: June, 2009] The Nongovernmental International Panel on Climate Change (NIPCC) is an international panel of nongovernment scientists and scholars including UN IPCC scientists who came together to understand the causes and consequences of climate change. Unlike the UN IPCC, the NIPCC is not prevented from exploring natural causes of climate change.

NIPCC scientists are able to look at evidence the UN IPCC ignores. Because the NIPCC does not work for any governments, NIPCC scientists are not biased toward the assumption that greater government activity is necessary. Had the UN IPCC been a scientific body, this is the report it would likely have produced.

Please note that, like all federal MP's, your office and those of the Prime Minister and Senator Wong were sent my series of e-mails exposing UN IPCC falsities and misrepresentations. The Prime Minister's office acknowledged receipt via the PM's web site. Your office acknowledged receipt through return e-mails stating: "Thank you for your message to the Attorney-General, The Hon Robert McClelland MP and for bringing your views to the Government's attention. If you have supplied a postal address, a reply may be sent to you via Australia Post. Your message may also be forwarded to other Federal Ministers for their consideration".

Given the lengths to which I have gone in diligently drawing UN IPCC falsities to the attention of Senator Wong and Mr Rudd, I feel dismayed and concerned to conclude that the Prime Minister and Senator Wong are either acting negligently or fraudulently. Their actions fail to meet requirements for due diligence, responsibility, accountability, security and the truth.

It is clear that UN IPCC political reports (for policy makers) provide no basis for policy or legislation and that continuing to promote any emissions trading scheme (ETS) such as the CPRS is contrary to the national interest. As you are our nation's 'first law officer' whose responsibilities include law enforcement with primary responsibility for fraud control and national security and with ministerial responsibilities including legislative drafting, I now turn to you to conduct a thorough, independent investigation to protect our nation.

Yours sincerely,

Malcolm Roberts
BE (Hons), MBA (Chicago)
Fellow AICD, MAIM, MAusIMM, MAME (USA), MIMM (UK), Fellow ASQ (USA, Aust)

In June, 2009 as part of a series of e-mails exposing the UN IPCC I sent all federal MP's a declaration of my interests. Note: I receive no remuneration for my entirely voluntary work exposing climate alarm falsities. If requested I will be pleased to again send you my declaration.

Enclosures:

(1) Five, 5, papers by McLean; (2) Document: UN IPCC Science Scrutinised; (3) Copies of correspondence with Mr Rudd and Senator Wong; (4) Ian Wishart's book 'Air Con'.

cc:

Senator Fielding
Senator Barnaby Joyce
Dr Dennis Jensen MP
Senator Nick Minchin
Senator Julian McGauran
Senator George Brandis
Senator Cory Bernardi
Queensland Council of Civil Liberties
Ian Wishart

Correspondence with Mr Rudd

Page 1 of 19

Note that facsimiles were sent to two (2) of Mr Rudd's facsimile numbers - one in Canberra, the other in Queensland. Both numbers were obtained from the Prime Minister's web site.

All facsimiles were acknowledged as received.

The following two (2) pages were sent as a cc within a package of four papers by John McLean.

All senators received the first paper referred to in the letter to senators. Mr Rudd and other select MP's including Senator Wong received hard copies of all four (4) papers referred to in the letter.

The cc letter and the four (4) accompanying papers were sent to Mr Rudd by Australia Post Registered Post and receipt was acknowledged.

Correspondence with Senator Wong

This letter was mailed to ALL senators and some senior MHR's in the ALP, Liberals and Nationals and to some Independents.

It was accompanied by McLean's paper entitled: 'The IPCC can't count its "expert scientists": Author and reviewer numbers are wrong'.

Senator Wong's copy of the letter was accompanied by all four (4) papers by McLean as referenced in the letter. Her letter was mailed by Registered Post. Her office eventually collected the letter and papers more than four (4) weeks later, after I had sent facsimiles drawing to her attention the Registered Post package awaiting her staff in the parliament house post office.

Facsimiles sent to Senator Wong

Plus 17 pages being remainder of McLean's paper

Plus 26 pages being remainder of McLean's paper

Plus 29 pages being remainder of McLean's paper

Plus 13 pages being remainder of McLean's paper

McLean Papers exposing UN IPCC falsities and misrepresentation

The Hon Robert McClelland, MP was sent all five (5) McLean papers in their entirety. As almost all of the recipients being copied on this package sent to Mr McClelland have previously been sent a copy of at least four (4) of the McLean papers, in the interest of conservation of resources and funds, what follows is simply the title page of each McLean paper. The complete papers are readily available by inserting their titles into a Google search.

Note the first McLean paper on the list forms part of the copy herein of correspondence to both Mr Rudd and Senator Wong.

Mr Wishart's book entitled 'Air Con'

Mr Wishart's book was included in the package sent to Mr McClelland. It is likely people being copied on this package already have copies of Mr Wishart's outstanding book.

Regrettably, I cannot afford to buy everyone copies of 'Air Con'.

For those who do not have Mr Wishart's book, it is sold by Angus & Robertson and Dymocks book stores although many of those stores are apparently already sold out. It is available from Amazon.com.