

From: Malcolm Roberts <catalyst@eis.net.au>
Subject: Meeting on Corruption of Climate Science
Date: 17 December 2012 5:00:21 PM AEST
To: Roberts1 Malcolm <malcolmr@conscious.com.au>

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Gordon Alderson" <xxx@xxxx-xxxx.com.au>
Date: 4 May 2012 9:15:56 AM AEST
To: "Mark Dreyfus" <mark.dreyfus.mp@aph.gov.au>
Cc: "Malcolm Roberts" <catalyst@eis.net.au>
Subject: Meeting on Corruption of Climate Science

Dear Mark:

Thank you for agreeing to meet with us. Your promptness is appreciated.

Malcolm Roberts and I welcome the opportunity to brief you about corruption of Climate Science.

Our desire is to restore integrity to science on this issue of crucial political and practical importance to all Australians.

Below is the Background Briefing requested by **Xxx Xxxxx (Dreyfus staff member)**.

Please advise your own needs so that we can tailor our presentation accordingly.

We look forward to receiving suggested dates for our meeting.

Regards

Gordon Alderson

Background Briefing

Our Aims

- Explain corruption of climate science by presenting documented evidence and its context;
- Restore scientific integrity and present you with a platform of verifiable honesty for sound climate policy;
- Free the Labor Party from its current enmeshment in what the public perceives as lies;
- Present our case devoid of personal beliefs by sticking to verifiable evidence.
- Give you the opportunity to cross-examine the data and context of our evidence.

Your Aims:

Please let us know your aims. What do you want from our discussion?

Outline of our Briefing Material and Draft Agenda:

1. Fundamentals: hard verifiable facts and empirical scientific evidence presented in lay terms. Briefly present fundamental data on carbon dioxide and answers to four fundamental questions on climate;

2. Timeline on the corruption of climate science since 1972.

3. Verifiable facts, with evidence, on corruption.

We will present correspondence with prominent Australian academics who advocate cutting carbon dioxide and who misrepresent scientific truths about climate. We will show and prove these people have no empirical science. Their

position contradicts science.

Some scientists demonstrate willingness to mislead. Others reveal ignorance of ethical scientific processes. Some scientists innocently perpetuate corruption of climate science. Some appear clearly to be orchestrating corruption of climate science.

We will present specific examples as irrefutable evidence of corruption of climate science:

- The world's peak scientific body, the Inter-Academy Council produced a scathing review of UN IPCC processes and procedures. This review destroys the credibility of IPCC Reports. Yet the IAC Review's Executive Summary overseen by a prominent Australian scientist misrepresents the body of the IAC Report;
- Specific examples of scientific misrepresentations by another prominent academic now resident in Australia who led preparation of the sole chapter claiming human causation of global warming in UN IPCC's 2001 and 2007 reports. His chapter has no scientific validity. He has been funded by both Liberal and Labor governments;
- Case study revealing how the MPCCC was apparently misled by its sole 'Expert Adviser' on climate science;
- In responses to various independent individuals' inquiries, the CSIRO and Bureau of Meteorology repeatedly failed to provide any empirical evidence for their core claim about human carbon dioxide. These responses include those from the heads of CSIRO and BOM;
- Corruption of peer-review processes, the falsely claimed scientific bases for government and opposition climate policies;

Overview of the corruption. The corrupting process was orchestrated by a close-knit academic cabal with financial interests. As often occurs in a corrupting environment, others have been misled and hence become enmeshed in the corruption. We will explain how academics and politicians have been hoodwinked and how funding is perpetuating corruption. We can provide correspondence with academics by way of evidence.

4. Theft of farmers' property rights and false claims about sea levels at the hands of Coalition and Labor governments, state and federal. We will provide verifiable and peer-reviewed evidence of corruption. Australians are incurring needless severe disruption, uncertainty and massive financial cost as a consequence of these false and fraudulent claims.

5. After this meeting we will attend to any of your requests asking us to provide additional information. We will also voluntarily attend any further meetings in the company of anyone you require including parliamentary colleagues and/or academics.

Our Backgrounds:

We both have engineering degrees in applying science. We both have MBA's.

Malcolm Roberts is a Fellow of the Australian Institute of Company Directors. He has worked in executive management and as Chairman of the Board of a closely-held public company. He has advised executives and directors of Australian and Fortune-500 companies here and internationally. Hundreds of people's lives have depended on his knowledge of atmospheric gases including carbon dioxide and associated statutory qualifications. He has worked voluntarily and unpaid on this topic for five years.

I am known in Geelong as an environmental activist although in recent years my energies have been focused on seeking the truth about climate science. I am a former Member of Engineers Australia and founder and sole operator of a recruitment consultancy. I am developing an innovative software module for recruitment services.

Suggested Guidelines:

We will only present documented facts and fundamentals that can be independently verified.

We commit to not personally attacking any person.

We expect to present our evidence and have it received in the spirit of mutual respect, openness and goodwill.

We commit to confidentiality regarding any statements you make during our meeting;

We are unpaid volunteers. We seek no fee or reimbursement of travel or other expenses;

We need 75 minutes of presentation time with the opportunity to go beyond if you require;

We will not meet at parliament house during normal hours because doing so is prone to disruption. We suggest that

we meet at your Keysborough office or a venue nearby where we would not be disturbed.
We will provide at the meeting a hard copy of our documentation for you and your staff.
We will follow our meeting by sending you an electronic copy of our documentation together with answers to questions you raise relevant to our evidence during the presentation so that your office can independently research those answers and confirm their validity to you.

Yours sincerely

Gordon Alderson

Personal contact details removed to maintain privacy