

Page 1 of 5

TO: Robert-James-Murray: Oakeshott. (02) 6584 2922, (02) 6277 8403

FROM: Malcolm-Ieuan: Roberts. 180 Haven Road, Pullenvale QLD 4069  
04 1964 2379, [malcolmr@conscious.com.au](mailto:malcolmr@conscious.com.au)

DATE: Tuesday, October 11, 2011

SUBJECT: **Documenting recent interactions with your staff and you**

Dear Rob:

WITHOUT PREJUDICE

**Wednesday, July 06, 2011: Sent my email and Registered Post letter (with Delivery Confirmation) to you**

Email and Registered Post letter to you explaining your office is spreading misrepresentations of science by spreading the document entitled "*The Science of Climate Change: Questions and Answers*" published by the Australian academy of Science and funded by the Department of Climate Change. Offer to meet you in your office to produce solid evidence of corruption of climate science and of the real causes of climate variation

**Monday, July 25.07.11: Received your letter dated July 18, 2011**

Quote: "*While I appreciate your offer of a briefing, it may be of more value if you were to first meet directly with the Australian Academy of Science and if necessary Australia's chief scientist, Mr Ian Chubb. I would be happy to assist this if I can, as I rely on proper process and advice, and the advice of these eminent groups and individuals is important to me.*"

**Tuesday, August 02, 2011: Sent my letter to you by Registered Mail (Delivery Confirmation) and email**

Quote: "*I am delighted to accept your offer to arrange meetings for me with the Australian Academy of Science and Professor Ian Chubb, Chief Scientist prior to meeting with you.*"

Quote: "*I note that your letter fails to identify any real-world scientific evidence that human production of carbon dioxide caused global warming. Yet your office is circulating the document entitled 'The Science of Climate Change: Questions and Answers.'*"

**Monday, August 15, 2011: Received your letter dated August 09, 2011**

Quote: “*I acknowledge receipt of your recent correspondence. A request has been forwarded to Professor Chubb and Professor Cory to meet with you to discuss your concerns*”. And, quote: “*I have provided them with your contact details*”.

**Monday, August 15, 2011, approximately 12:30pm: Call from Martin Callinan, Science Policy Manager**

He agreed to provide specific evidence proving that human production of carbon dioxide caused global warming. He agreed to specify the location of such evidence in Section 4 of the Academy’s booklet entitled “*The Science of Climate Change: Questions and Answers*” and specify the location of specific evidence within specific references that provide specific evidence as proof of global warming.

We agreed that after receiving his specific evidence I would send him documents showing why human production of carbon dioxide does not control global climate.

He advised that sending the material would take him a “*day or so*”.

He indicated that arranging a meeting for me with the Academy would be discussed.

**Monday, August 21, 2011: My email to Martin Callinan**

I advised Martin that I’d received nothing signed by Martin Callinan.

I advised that an unsigned email note from a central Academy email address had arrived yet was not seen by me for one (1) week. It provided no specific evidence and a broad list of references described as providing the, quote: “*primary evidence you seek*”. I stated that the Academy’s email did not comply with my understanding of our arrangement made Monday, August 15. My email refuted a point in the Academy’s email.

**Monday, August 29, 2011: I called Martin Callinan**

I expressed disappointment that he had not fulfilled my understanding of our agreement. He gave me a suggestion that I have since carried out in regard to the reference list provide by the Academy. In response to my question about arranging a meeting, he advised there would be no meeting. He laughed at and dismissed your request to arrange a meeting. We discussed the Academy’s funding and the booklet’s funding by the government’s Department of Climate Change.

**Monday, September 06, 2011: Martin Callinan called**

Martin called briefly inquiring about my progress in reading the Academy’s list of references. I informed him that I was progressing through the Academy’s references very well.

**(Friday, September 23, 2011: Affidavit sent to you by Registered Mail (with Delivery Confirmation))**

This is separate from the other correspondence listed. It is listed for completeness. In my affidavit I sought clarification and proof of claim of nine items listed on the topic of ‘*global warming*’, otherwise known as ‘*climate change*’.)

**Saturday, October 01, 2011: My letter to you sent by Registered Mail (with Delivery Confirmation)**

I advised you that the Chief Scientist had not contacted me and that the Academy's Science Policy Manager had rejected any meeting as you suggested.

I said, quote: *"I now accept your earlier offer to meet personally with you. Please advise a suitable date next week. I am available at any time to suit you."*

Quote: "You have been misled. You have been placed in a difficult situation. That situation threatens you and Australia.

I will be able to present material that will support you and your family and our nation and enable you to recover your situation within the electorate of Lyne and across our nation. I look forward to being of assistance and support to you as you fulfil your responsibilities to Australia and to Australians and await your advice."

I advised that contrary to your previously stated written opinion that the Academy offered expert advice on climate change, it had not been able to provide any scientific evidence as proof that human production of carbon dioxide caused global warming. The booklet to which I referred in my email (and Registered Post copy) of July 6<sup>th</sup>, 2011, does indeed misrepresent climate science.

I provided links for you to access some relevant material.

That included: [http://www.galileomovement.com.au/political\\_scam\\_exposed.php](http://www.galileomovement.com.au/political_scam_exposed.php)

**Tuesday, October 04, 2011: Called your electorate office and spoke with Clarissa**

I left a message with Clarissa and referred her to your letter dated July 18. I said I would call again. Clarissa said that you would be in Canberra all week and not in your electorate office.

**Thursday, October 06, 2011: Called your offices (Canberra and electorate) No answer at either**

I left a message at your electorate office to call me to arrange a meeting with you as per your offer in your letter dated July 18, 2011. I expressed my willingness and ability to meet at any time convenient to you.

**Friday, October 07, 2011: Called your Canberra office**

I spoke with a lady with a name sounding like Zeda. She advised you were in your electorate office.

**Friday, October 07, 2011, 9:43am: Called your electorate office**

Sally answered. I advised her of your letters dated July 18, 2011 and August 09, 2011. I offered to drive down to meet with you. Sally advised someone who knows about the situation was writing her a note as we spoke. Sally said she'd call back shortly.

**Friday, October 07, 2011, 11:56am: Sally called from your office**

Sally advised that the person who is handling this matter is Bill Frewen, who will be in the Canberra office the next week. Sally said she would advise Bill the matter is urgent.

**Saturday, October 08, 2011: My facsimile to you and copied to Bill Frewen. Both were sent to both your electorate office and your Canberra office**

In it I advised you that the IAC report on the UN IPCC's 2007 report made it impossible to have any confidence in the UN IPCC, the basis of the government's climate policy.

I advised that the PM's own MPCCC was apparently misled.

I advised that neither CSIRO's Chief Executive, nor CSIRO's Group Executive—Environment, nor The Chief Scientist, nor The Academy of Science had any evidence proving human production of carbon dioxide caused global warming. I provided you with easily accessible publicly available material supporting my statements.

Again, I offered to meet with you and, if desired by you, bring experts investigating the corruption of science.

**Sunday, October 09, 2011: My facsimile to you on the subject "Proven deliberate misrepresentations of climate science"**

This was similar in content to a facsimile sent to all House of Representatives Independent MP's, ALP MP's, the Greens MP and the WA Nationals MP.

**Monday, Canberra 10, 2011, 9:13am: Called your Canberra office and spoke with Peter, then Michael at your electorate office, then Peter in Canberra, then Clarissa in your electorate office**

I requested to speak with Bill Frewen. Peter advised Bill is in the electorate office.

Michael in your electorate office advised Bill was not in the office due to his job sharing.

On calling your Canberra office again, Peter asked if he could assist. I explained the sequence of events and expressed the urgency to arrange a meeting as you offered. I confirmed the fax sent to you Saturday, October 08, 2011. Peter advised me that Clarissa would get back to me. I said I'd call Clarissa in the electorate office.

Clarissa had not spoken with you. I expressed the urgency and the opportunity to assist you and support you.

Rob, I was impressed with your responses to your early morning interview on ABC-Radio around Friday, September 16, 2011. You discussed the important role of independent MP's. One your statements stood out. In it, from memory, you stated that MP's should vote in Canberra in a way that is representative of their electorate's views.

I hope that you will fulfill your responsibility to your electorate that voted in the last federal election on the basis that Julia Gillard would not tax carbon dioxide. To the public's knowledge that was the basis on which you formed an alliance with Julia Gillard.

More significantly, I raise important evidence to which you are entitled. Your role is significant to our nation. If you vote for the carbon dioxide tax without being aware of this evidence, your reputation in the electorate and across the nation will surely be damaged. That material is already in the public domain from many sources and is spreading.

By deferring any vote on taxing carbon dioxide until you have had an opportunity to study the evidence in full, you will be honoured for your courage and integrity.

Once informed of the evidence you will likely take action that will lead to you being respected across the nation. To receive that information simply requires honouring your commitment to meeting with me to scrutinize the documented evidence of subversion of climate science.

As previously offered, I can arrange for you to be briefed by reputable scientists and by experts investigating the fraud and misrepresentations that are the basis of the government's climate policy.

Trusting you will see that I have done as much as possible to assist you since starting this offer to support you and since you offered to meet with me as expressed in your letter dated July 18, 2011.

Please feel welcome to call at any time on 04 1964 2379.

Yours sincerely,

***Original personally  
signed***

Malcolm-Ieuan: Roberts.  
BE (Hons), MBA (Chicago)  
Fellow AICD, MAIM, MAusIMM, MAME (USA), MIMM (UK), Fellow ASQ (USA, Aust)

This is being sent by facsimile to your Canberra office and by Express Mail (CQ9311439) to your Canberra office.

It is being copied to your electorate office.