

**From:** Malcolm Roberts <malcolmr@conscious.com.au>  
**Subject:** Fwd: Lord Monckton's citations  
**Date:** 18 January 2013 10:23:02 AM AEST  
**To:** Roberts1 Malcolm <malcolmr@conscious.com.au>

---

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Malcolm Roberts <xxxxxxx@xxxxxx.net.au>  
**Date:** 12 July 2011 8:55:01 AM AEST  
**To:** Wendy Carlisle <Xxxxxxxx.Xxxxx@abc.net.au>  
**Cc:** Semple Andy <xxxxxx@optusnet.com.au>

**Subject:** Re: Lord Monckton's citations

Good morning Wendy.

I previously advised you that neither I nor The Galileo Movement are organising the Monckton tour. It's on my recording of our phone conversation.

From memory I separately advised that Andy Semple is the organiser. I saw you interviewing Andy last Friday in Sydney. Did you not know the role of the person you were interviewing?

Andy is copied hereto.

Wendy, your many baseless assumptions and subsequent contradictions after being advised/corrected are needlessly hurting people and smashing your credibility.

Please focus on facts. And be open to people's answers. And try to get both sides of the story.

Malcolm

PS:

You have again failed to respond to my request of you, quote: "*Could I please inquire as to whether it may be too much trouble for you to do some objective, honest, open-minded research on the UN IPCC and the claims of messrs Flannery, Garnaut, Karoly, Steffen, England, Pitman, Lambeck and Hoegh-Guldberg?*"

I look forward to your response to my request.

On 12/07/2011, at 8:33 AM, Wendy Carlisle wrote:

Dear Malcolm,

Thankyou for your email.

Perhaps you could advise me who is co-ordinating Lord Monckton's tour so I can convey my questions to him more directly.

I assumed that you were a tour co-ordinator, but obviously this is an error.

regards

 Wendy Carlisle  
reporter, background briefing  
Radio National

---

P +61 2 8333 1384 E [carlisle.wendy@abc.net.au](mailto:carlisle.wendy@abc.net.au)  
M +0415 278 386 F +61 2 8333 2277  
twitter: Wendycarlisle  
[<image002.jpg>](#)

---

**From:** Malcolm Roberts [mailto:xxxxxxx@xxxxxxx.net.au]  
**Sent:** Monday, 11 July 2011 8:46 PM  
**To:** Wendy Carlisle; [Carlislewoo@gmail.com](mailto:Carlislewoo@gmail.com)  
**Cc:** Joe Gelonesi; Fierravanti-Wells Concetta; Williams John  
**Subject:** Re: Lord Monckton's citations

Thank you, Wendy.

You raise many points out of context and I am wondering why?

Firstly, you discuss my reply to your request regarding Viscount Monckton by replying to my earlier e-mail on another topic. Why?

Here is your latest reply copied and pasted onto the original e-mail thread so that we can openly and accurately discuss the topics you raise in your latest e-mail reply.

On 11/07/2011, at 7:17 PM, Wendy Carlisle wrote:

Dear Malcolm,

I refer to your email just now.

My correspondence with you has sought details of the citations Lord Monckton relied on for the claim that polar bears prefer warmer weather. I also asked for the scientific reference for the claim that polar bears drowned not because of reduced ice flows caused by human induced warming but because of bad weather and high seas, or as Lord Monckton would have it "shit happens"

In addition I have requested Lord Monckton provide names of the 'eminent scientists' he is working with at the Kings College in London in support of his claim that they are working on a cure for HIV, Malaria and MS. Lord Monckton said this to me in an interview I recorded for the Background Briefing program.

Thus far the Kings College scientists I have consulted do not have any knowledge of this, and I would like my report to be as accurate as possible, so the names would be helpful.

I note your claim that you advised me that you were recording our conversation. I have no recollection of this statement from you. Nor do my notes refer to this.

I do not believe that at any time I have misrepresented myself or the ABC in my research for this story.

We are running to a tight deadline, would you provide answers to the above information requests by COB tomorrow Tuesday July 12.

Regards,

Wendy Carlisle  
reporter  
Background Briefing  
ABC Radio National

[xxxxxxx.xxxx@abc.net.au](mailto:xxxxxxx.xxxx@abc.net.au)

Below is my response to your original request. Please note that in accordance with your original request I undertook to forward your request to Viscount Monckton. That was done as promised.

Please note your original e-mail requested, quote: "Would you be so kind as to ask Lord Monckton to confirm the citations he used in the slides for the 4 polar bears that drowned."

The final sentence of your original e-mail confirms that you expect answers on the citations from Viscount Monckton, quote: "Would it be possible to have this by tomorrow COB please (I think he should be able to check his powerpoint easily on these questions, so it shouldn't be too much trouble)".

Thus, your most recent e-mail falsely implies that you sought details from me of the citations relied upon by 'Lord' Monckton. Yet the truth is that you asked me to ask 'Lord' Monckton to confirm the citations he used.

Is your error sloppiness or deliberate mischief?

Need I remind you that you initiated this e-mail thread?

I replied to your request stating that I had tired of your abuse of my separate offer to assist you and that it is not my responsibility to do your research for you.

What is not clear about my reply?

Wendy, is your use of the wrong thread copied to your ABC colleague Joe Gelonesi, deliberately deceptive or simply unintentionally sloppy?

Thirdly, why are you now raising the issues of HIV, malaria and MS? They, like Viscount Monckton's polar bear citations, have nothing to do with me.

What connection do you suppose I have with Kings College?

What is it that you are trying to do, Wendy?

Fourth, you were notified that I was recording our conversation 33 seconds into our conversation. That was immediately after you explained your intentions for calling me. Your notes may not contain reference to it and you may not remember. Yet my recording confirms it.

Further, the recording confirms your acknowledgment of my advice that our conversation was being recorded when you immediately responded by saying, quote: "sure, sure".

Fifth, my earlier e-mail in our other e-mail thread explains adequately my reasons for concluding that you misrepresented your intent.

Sixth, again Wendy, I have already responded to your original request. Why would you now, or ever, consider it my responsibility to confirm or otherwise Viscount Monckton's citations?

Are you implying some connection? I assure you there is no connection.

If you are implying I have responsibility for verifying the citations used by a visiting British Viscount's slides I'd like to know the basis for your inferences and/or assumptions.

If you fail to justify your implied assertion I'll conclude that you acknowledge I am not responsible for a visiting pom's slides, references and words.

I take this opportunity to again state that my work is voluntary and that I am not your research assistant.

Seventh, Wendy, your deadlines have no bearing on me. I met your original request in full, voluntarily, by your original requested schedule, that being COB Monday, July 11th.

It seems that when that answer did not meet your expectations you now demand I meet your revised request to a new deadline.

Wendy, is this typical of what you do when you do not receive the answer you expect?

From discussions with scientists irate with your attacks in conversations I enabled for you, it seems so.

I refer you once again to the journalists' code of ethics sent you previously. Will you in future change your behaviour to comply with that code?

Having re-read your reply above, this e-mail responds to each of the points you raised.

I will be copying this to Viscount Monckton for his information and use.

I will be copying the other e-mail thread to your colleague Joe Gelonesi.

Please advise me of Joe's position and responsibilities within the ABC and your reason for copying to him.

Wendy, in good faith in my other e-mail thread I responded to your original phone request for assistance by going well beyond your original request.

As a result of your breaches of my trust and what I see as your abuse of scientists in Australia and overseas using the contact details I provided, I withdrew my support for you.

Please consider and take responsibility for your actions.

You have failed to respond to my request of you, quote: "*Could I please inquire as to whether it may be too much trouble for you to do some objective, honest, open-minded research on the UN IPCC and the claims of messrs Flannery, Garnaut, Karoly, Steffen, England, Pitman, Lambeck and Hoegh-Guldberg?*"

I look forward to your response to my request.

Malcolm Roberts  
BE (Hons), MBA (Chicago)  
Fellow AICD, MAIM, MAusIMM, MAME (USA), MIMM (UK), Fellow ASQ (USA, Aust)

Project Manager (voluntary)

The Galileo Movement (non-profit and in existence only until the carbon dioxide tax is quashed)

<http://www.galileomovement.com.au/>

Facebook: The Galileo Movement (link <http://www.facebook.com/pages/The-Galileo-Movement/101728306584541>)

Twitter: search for GalileoMovement or visit <http://twitter.com/#!/GalileoMovement>

[www.conscious.com.au](http://www.conscious.com.au)

My personal declaration of interests is at:

<http://www.conscious.com.au/documents/additional%20material/Personal%20declaration%20of%20interests.pdf>  
(manually go to [www.conscious.com.au](http://www.conscious.com.au) and look for 'Summaries' and then click on 'Aims, background and declaration of interests ...')

180 XXXXXXX Road  
XXXXXX QLD 4069  
Phone:  
Home 07 xxxx xxxx  
Mobile 04 xxxx xxxx  
E-mail: [xxxxxx@xxxxxx.net.au](mailto:xxxxxx@xxxxxx.net.au)

Please note: Apart from suburb and state, my contact details are not for publication nor broadcasting and are provided only for your own personal use to respond.

**For care to be effective, care needs to be informed**

On 11/07/2011, at 6:40 PM, Malcolm Roberts wrote:

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Malcolm Roberts <[xxxxxx@xxxxxx.net.au](mailto:xxxxxx@xxxxxx.net.au)>  
**Date:** 11 July 2011 2:37:08 PM AEST  
**To:** Wendy Carlisle <[Xxxxxxxx.Xxxxx@abc.net.au](mailto:Xxxxxxxx.Xxxxx@abc.net.au)>  
**Subject: Re: Lord Monckton's citations**

Dear Wendy:

Thank you for your e-mail yesterday, Sunday, and for your voice mail message at 11:38am this morning.

Firstly, there are no polar bears in Antarctica. Could it be that the penguins cleaned them out?

Secondly, I will not be contacting Viscount Monckton to do your research for you.

I have made considerable effort to support you. And regret having done so.

My regret is based on our conversation and on feedback from three people you contacted after I provided you with their contact details. Your subsequent approach to them seems to have been unprofessional and seemingly dishonest.

I will though forward your e-mail to Viscount Monckton and his Australian tour organiser. I'll leave it entirely to Viscount Monckton as to whether or not he responds to you.

His decision will possibly be influenced by your previous approach to him.

He remains a busy man whose hectic schedule in Australia is devoted to working voluntarily to protect freedom. He cherishes freedom for all peoples.

You judge it's not too much trouble for Viscount Monckton to attend to your needs. Could I please inquire as to whether it may be too much trouble for you to do some objective, honest, open-minded research on the UN IPCC and the claims of messrs Flannery, Garnaut, Karoly, Steffen, England, Pitman, Lambeck and Hoegh-Guldberg?

Perhaps you could start by identifying what specific, scientifically measured real-world evidence they have for their claim that human production of carbon dioxide caused Earth's latest period of modest, cyclic global warming that ended around 1998.

To date they have failed to present any such evidence. If you find such evidence, I look forward to you sharing it with me.

Please advise when you'd like to arrange the interview with me for Toowong studio.

Malcolm

On 10/07/2011, at 3:02 PM, Wendy Carlisle wrote:

Dear Malcolm,

Would you be so kind as to ask Lord Monckton to confirm the citations he used in the slides for the 4 polar bears that drowned.

For the polar bear drowning he referred to Monnett – was this the paper he cited? *C. Monnett and J. Gleason, Observations of mortality associated with extended open water swimming by polar bears in the Alaskan Beaufort Sea, Polar Biology, 29, 681-687, 2006*

He referenced a *Norris et al 2002* paper on Polar Bear populations increasing in warmer regions of the Antarctic, and decreasing in the cooler parts. Can you confirm that this was the *Norris and Rosentrater 2002* report on polar bears

And finally, he mentioned a study on Beaufort Sea Ice in which he said that sea ice may have in fact increased. Would he be able to confirm a citation for this study please? Was it this one? *H. Melling, D. Riedel and Ze'ev Gedalof, Trends in thickness and extent of seasonal pack ice, Canadian Beaufort Sea, Geophysical research letters, 24, 1-5, 2005*

Would it be possible to have this by tomorrow COB please (I think he should be able to check his powerpoint easily on these questions, so it shouldn't be too much trouble)

Regards,

[<image001.gif>](#) Wendy Carlisle  
reporter, background briefing  
Radio National

---

P **+61 2 8333 1384** E [carlisle.wendy@abc.net.au](mailto:carlisle.wendy@abc.net.au)  
M **+0415 278 386** F **+61 2 8333 2277**

[<image002.jpg>](#)

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

The information contained in this email and any attachment is confidential and may contain legally privileged or copyright material. It is intended only for the use of the addressee(s). If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are not permitted to disseminate, distribute or copy this email or any attachments. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete this email from your system. The ABC does not represent or warrant that this transmission is secure or virus free. Before opening any

attachment you should check for viruses. The ABC's liability is limited to resupplying any email and attachments.

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

The information contained in this email and any attachment is confidential and may contain legally privileged or copyright material. It is intended only for the use of the addressee(s). If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are not permitted to disseminate, distribute or copy this email or any attachments. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete this email from your system. The ABC does not represent or warrant that this transmission is secure or virus free. Before opening any attachment you should check for viruses. The ABC's liability is limited to resupplying any email and attachments.

At stake is human freedom, your freedom, *our* freedom