

From: Malcolm Roberts <catalyst@eis.net.au>
Subject: Reply to your e-mail (Dis-ease with Julia is engulfing ALP MP's)
Date: 18 January 2013 12:27:16 PM AEST
To: Roberts1 Malcolm <malcolmr@conscious.com.au>

Begin forwarded message:

From: Malcolm Roberts <xxxxxxx@xxxxxx.net.au>
Date: 26 July 2011 6:06:28 PM AEST
To: Wendy Carlisle <Xxxxxxxx.Xxxxx@abc.net.au>
Cc: Brinsmead Bob, Wishart Ian
Subject: Re: Reply to your e-mail (Dis-ease with Julia is engulfing ALP MP's)

Dear Wendy:

Thank you for your e-mail.

Your request to no longer make contact with you is acknowledged.

This is to advise that I will, of course, honour your request.

Please note that you initiated all discussions with me whether by phone or by e-mail. In those discussions you made requests seeking information and/or support and/or service from me.

In response to comments in your latest e-mail please note:

(1) Firstly, you have not addressed all the questions I raised in my earlier e-mails. My questions were in response to your comments and questions raised in your earlier e-mails.

Some of my questions that you failed to address require only simple, short answers of fact. eg, which rallies did you attend?

(2) Secondly, you allege that I have distorted and misrepresented your questions. Please advise which questions you allege I distorted and misrepresented and explain how I am allegedly distorting and misrepresenting.

(3) Thirdly, you allege that I '*continue to make personal attacks on*' you and that those alleged attacks are '*unfounded*'. Please identify those alleged unfounded attacks.

If I do not receive from you an accurate list detailing and explaining your claims I will dismiss your claims as unfounded.

When I see that you are apparently neither willing to stand by, nor be held accountable for, your work and your dishonesty associated with our conversations I feel disappointed and sad. When I think of the time and energy freely given to you in fulfilling your requests for assistance I feel hurt and annoyed. What I see as your lack of responsibility has damaged my perceptions of the ABC because your actions have failed to meet my need for integrity, openness and accuracy.

Malcolm

On 26/07/2011, at 12:27 PM, Wendy Carlisle wrote:

Malcolm,

I answered your last email as truthfully as I can, and thank you for your reply.

I see no value in continuing out correspondence when you continually distort and misrepresent my questions.

You continue to make personal attacks on me, and they are quite unfounded.

I would appreciate it if you no longer made contact with me

Regards

Wend Carlisle

From: Malcolm Roberts [mailto:xxxxxxx@xxxxxx.net.au]
Sent: Monday, 25 July 2011 9:07 PM
To: Wendy Carlisle
Cc: Brinsmead Bob; Wishart Ian
Subject: Re: Reply to your e-mail (Dis-ease with Julia is engulfing ALP MP's)

Dear Wendy;

Thank you for your e-mail.

Lets take your statement, quote: "I notice you make reference to God in your writings and I couldn't help but think you sounded and looked like a preacher at the rallies I attended" and consider my writings.

I separately searched each of my writings using the 'Find' function on my Mac computer.

My web site:

www.conscious.com.au

Neither 'God' nor 'god' was found. No reference to God or god.

Basic questions & requests for discovering climate reality ourselves:

http://www.conscious.com.au/_documents/Basic%20Questions.pdf

No reference to God or god.

Two Dead Elephants in Parliament:

http://www.conscious.com.au/_documents/dead%20elephants.pdf

No reference to God or god.

The Eco Fraud, Part 1:

http://www.conscious.com.au/_documents/The%20Eco%20Fraud_part%201.pdf

No reference to God or god.

My search revealed these though:

- NASA's **Goddard** Institute of Space Studies

- Kyoto Protocol. Maurice Strong is reportedly **godfather** of the Kyoto Protocol driving introduction of carbon trading despite no scientific evidence linking human production of CO2 as cause of global warming.

The Eco Fraud, Part 2:

http://www.conscious.com.au/_documents/The%20Eco%20Fraud_Part%202.pdf

No reference to God or god.

The Eco Fraud, Part 3:

http://www.conscious.com.au/_documents/The%20Eco%20Fraud_Part%203.pdf

One reference to god

*The Greens have carefully nurtured a media image of being caring. The reality is starkly different. For their **god** of control the Greens appear willing to sacrifice the indigenous. Combined with the nature of their socialist agenda it shows Greens are carelessly rushing us to disaster pushing yet another religion based on guilt and fear. The difference is that their religion is falsely camouflaged as science.*

The Eco Fraud, Climategate 'Inquiry' References

http://www.conscious.com.au/_documents/additional%20material/climategate%20references.pdf

No reference to God or god.

Personal declaration of interests:

http://www.conscious.com.au/_documents/additional%20material/Personal%20declaration%20of%20interests.pdf

No reference to God or god. Yes, none even in my own declaration of personal interests.

Summary of Climate Alarm's 12 points:

http://www.conscious.com.au/_documents/additional%20material/Summary%20of%20climate%20alarm.pdf

No reference to God or god.

Summary Findings:

http://www.conscious.com.au/_documents/academic%20experts/Notes%20for%20web%20site.pdf

No reference to God or god.

Acknowledgments:

http://www.conscious.com.au/_documents/additional%20material/Acknowledgements.pdf

No reference to God or god.

Thriving with Nature & Humanity:

http://www.conscious.com.au/_documents/Thriving%20with%20nature%20and%20humanity_single.pdf

Contains two mentions of the word God/god:

- NASA's **Goddard Institute of Space Studies**

- paraphrasing a well known American saying frequently used by internationally renowned statistician, the late W. Edwards Deming to stress the importance of basing decisions on solid data, sound analysis and considered judgment: *In **God** and Nature, we trust. All others, bring data.*

I added '*and Nature*' yet it seems you missed that.

Nature completely controls CO2 levels

http://www.conscious.com.au/_documents/additional%20material/Nature%20controls%20CO2%20levels.pdf

One use of the word God paraphrasing Deming and modified by adding '*and Nature*' as above.

Another fourteen (14) writings of mine have not been checked. From memory I'm confident they do not use the word god or God.

You seem to have missed my reverence for Nature. Were you lost in judgments and prejudices concerning two uses of the word God that you seem to have chosen to take out of context?

If so, was that deliberate or unconscious?

Let's search the entire Galileo Movement site, Wendy. One use of God was found, thus:

1. [The Galileo Movement. Why is it called the Galileo Movement?](#)

... since they would contradict the Bible. The lady quoted a passage in Joshua where **God** causes the Sun to stand still and lengthen the day. How could this ...

Checking that page reveals three uses of the word 'God'. All are in the text quoted from the reference book cited. See for yourself at:

http://www.galileomovement.com.au/why_galileo.php?zoom_highlight=god

Wendy, a simple reading of 'Who we are' on The Galileo Movement's web site will reveal all you need to know about the **non-religious** nature of The Galileo Movement. Have you bothered to read it? If so, why are you bothering to check any religious beliefs I may have?

More questions. Your responses trigger so many questions.

Were you lost in judgments and prejudices about climate realists/sceptics?

Wendy, do you think climate realists like I are nutters? Religious nuts?

I made my decisions on the myth of human causation of global warming based on extensive reading of the science and considerable investigation into solid, real-world data. That was triggered by my personal experience as someone statutorily responsible for people's lives based on rigorous statutory qualifications earned through rigorous examination of my knowledge of atmospheric gases including CO2.

I suggest you try doing the same—investigate the science objectively and truthfully.

Yet it seems you likely have a prejudged view of those who take my position. Why?

I suggest your prejudice and your leaping to conclusions disqualifies you from reporting on this subject.

Arguably one of the world's most well-known and loved climate realists/sceptics (Monckton) has been asked to speak to a religious group and you draw conclusions.

I suggest that you look beyond what you seem to want to see, Wendy and do some independent research.

I cannot speak for the groups you unearthed. I am wondering though whether their interest is in the core issue driving the corruption of science: the loss of freedom. I suspect it is.

I cannot speak for Viscount Monckton yet I know from his writings and our brief personal conversations that Christopher Monckton shares my passion for freedom: Freedom and integrity of science; Freedom of the human race; National sovereignty.

Wendy, our basic freedoms are under threat. Instead of conducting a witch hunt against individuals whose view differs from the government's perhaps you could truthfully and objectively investigate the topic. You may then begin to realise what is most threatening about the carbon dioxide tax. You may discover those pushing control of carbon dioxide and people, world-wide. The evidence is clear.

Perhaps you could discuss this with a real investigative reporter, Ian Wishart. Here's his e-mail address: ian@investigatemagazine.com.

Perhaps you could visit him. He's only a few hours away.

Have you read Vaclav Klau's outstanding work entitled '*Blue Planet, Green Shackles*'? It's easy and quick to read. It's a short work packed with scientific, economic, environmental and political facts and data. It's intelligently written in everyday language. Please read it.

I am a member of no formal religion. I do draw strength from the timeless teachings of wise people including Ghandi, Buddha, Jesus and philosophers of various periods in human development. A key common thread running through these people is truth and objectivity.

I learned from my reading that Buddha was objective and scientific in his approach. It's ironic that both Buddha and Jesus eschewed formal religion.

Are you drawing conclusions based on your perceptions of religions?

Dictionary definition of '*religion*':

1.

a set of beliefs concerning the cause, nature, and purpose of the universe, especially when considered as the creation of a superhuman agency or agencies, usually involving devotional and ritual observances, and often containing a moral code governing the conduct of human affairs.

2.

a specific fundamental set of beliefs and practices generally agreed upon by a number of persons or sects:

3.

the body of persons adhering to a particular set of beliefs and practices:

The myth of human causation of global warming has no supporting real-world scientific evidence. Investigating global warming and then applying the definition of religion will enable you to see that the myth of human causation is driven by a set of beliefs. In extreme greens, those beliefs drive persecution, intolerance and righteousness that characterises extremists.

The myth of human causation is not scientific. It is religious in its foundation and its expression.

Wendy, by your behaviour and your words, I see you as a clown. Your behaviour reveals you as unfit to report on global warming and unfit to investigate climate realists/sceptics. Yet the ABC gives you free license to misrepresent and smear people who have valiantly investigated the real-world data, run the gauntlet of the government's smears and derogatory labels and stood up to the intimidation of the hypocritical extremists.

You are fortunate one of us is not researching to expose your shortcomings as a reporter. Maybe we are.

Why is questioning one group's religious beliefs valid without balanced checking of the religious beliefs of those with opposing views?

Wendy, you say of me that you "couldn't help but think you sounded and looked like a preacher at the rallies I attended". Which rallies did you attend?

What does a preacher look like? Loin cloth, goatee beard, short, tall, fat, skinny, loud, softly-spoken, reverent, irreverent, Ghandi, Martin Luther King, Nelson Mandela, John the Baptist, Hitler, Buddha, Mohammed, Jesus, the host of ABC's program '*The Spirit of Things*', Mao, ...?

Wendy, you ask, quote: "I guess I am interested to know if your church or faith (if you do have one) are actively involved in the campaign against the carbon tax. And if so, which one?"

First, please advise me of '*your church or faith (if you do have one)*'. Then please explain what anyone's faith has to do with science? Do you understand science?

Dictionary definition of science:

1.
a branch of knowledge or study dealing with a body of facts or truths systematically arranged and showing the operation of general laws: *the mathematical sciences*.
2.
systematic knowledge of the physical or material world gained through observation and experimentation.
3.
any of the branches of natural or physical science.

An intelligent and inquisitive investigative reporter would surely have been able to guess my faith (if I have one) from my writings and especially from the way in which I write about Nature. And then deduced no need to inquire.

That you cannot tell me much. Or is it that you are too busy looking for what you want to find, that you cannot see the blindingly obvious?

Wendy, I'm not surprised that you are at a loss to understand why I am puzzled that you are interested in my past engagements with clients in the mining industry yet apparently not in my engagements in other sectors, nor my voluntary work in non-profit organisations nor my work, for example, for universities.

You have failed to answer this request I made of you: *I'm intrigued as to why you only seek details of my previous consultancies with reference to the mining industry and not other industries. Please advise.*

Wendy, the key to my position and to my voluntary work is in the science. I have unearthed the hard data on the

real-world science. I have exposed the misrepresentation of science by alarmists such as Flannery, Karoly, Steffen, Garnaut, the Climate Commission, the UN IPCC, the government, ... who distort science. From what I've seen, they are unscientific advocates with significant and glaring conflicts of personal interest.

Have you inquired about the religious leanings of advocates of human causation of global warming or of the positions of all parties in your 4 Corners' pieces?

Have you asked Flannery, Steffen, Karoly and Garnaut about their financial and other interests in their unscientific and unfounded promoting of human causation of global warming?

Unanswered from my preceding e-mail: *Please advise a list of any groups to which you are in any way connected on the issue of climate or global warming or on politics.*

Also unanswered: *Please advise any assignments on which you have worked that challenge the global warming campaign. Have you held any proponents of human causation of global warming accountable? If so, please advise their names. Have you investigated any proponents of human causation of global warming? If so, please provide their names and provide information supporting your answer.*

Are you interested in what makes me tick? Are you interested in this quote from my declaration of personal interests: *"In preparation for bringing Clancy onto our block, we invested in extensive natural soil treatments (pesticide and chemical free) to prepare our land to eliminate the possibility of erosion that could otherwise be triggered by Clancy's hooves. That's expensive but we are stewards of the land for the future"*?

Wendy, please answer my questions from my earlier e-mails before this thread.

Are you interested in what makes me tick? Or are you merely interested in finding fault or smearing? That may explain why I rarely saw you smile in Sydney and why you triggered in others a very negative frame.

As individuals, when we attack others, we attack ourselves. There are better ways to live. If I may share what I have come to learn: replace the separation and pain by embracing the unity and wonder of life and Nature.

Can you recall my closing words as MC of the Hyde Park rally? Can you recall the audience's embrace and endorsement of those words?

Malcolm

On 25/07/2011, at 5:03 PM, Wendy Carlisle wrote:

Hi Malcolm,

I am sorry, it looked like a press release.

I ask about your involvement in the groups I mention because I am researching the connection between Lord Monckton, his supporters and some religious groups. Lord Monckton is speaking at a Catch the Fire event tonight. I have noticed the Christian Democrats Party has a presence at various anti-carbon tax events. Bob Brinsmead has a long and very interesting theological take on all this, Seventh day Adventist. His website is full of interesting material. I refer to "Bob Brinsmead's group" just in shorthand to you.

I think these are legitimate issues for a journalist to be interested in.

I notice you make reference to God in your writings and I couldn't help but think you sounded and looked like a preacher at the rallies I attended. I wondered the same about Lord Monckton. I guess I am interested to know if your church or faith (if you do have one) are actively involved in the campaign against the carbon tax. And if so, which one?

In relation to your previous employment, your website does not disclose very much about your prior consultancies in the resources sector although you claim full transparency.

I am at a loss to see why you find these to be unusual questions from a journalist. Clearly we are interested in finding and reporting if there are competing interests other than those disclosed. The first thing to do is ask. Then we verify.

I am not and have never been a member of any political party, or Get-Up. I think if you checked my record as a journalist you'll see I show no favour to any party. I just report the story I find.

Like you I have super. I've got about \$30K worth of shares in RIO and BHP. I don't have a car. I eat meat.

As a reporter at Four Corners I covered many stories which did not reflect well on the Labor Govt. I have put the url's below.

I hope this has answered your query

"Lethal Miscalculation" (about the pink batts scandal)

<http://www.abc.net.au/4corners/content/2010/s2881017.htm>

the overseas students scams

"Holy Cash Cows"

<http://www.abc.net.au/4corners/content/2009/s2637255.htm>

the shambles of the NSW state Govt

"Off the rails"

<http://www.abc.net.au/4corners/content/2009/s2702210.htm>

there are others, too. But I am sure you can find them if you wish

regards,

Wendy Carlisle

From: Malcolm Roberts [mailto:xxxxxxx@xxxxx.net.au]

Sent: Monday, 25 July 2011 4:12 PM

To: Wendy Carlisle

Cc: Brinsmead Bob

Subject: Reply to your e-mail (Dis-ease with Julia is engulfing ALP MP's)

Dear Wendy:

Thank you for your e-mail.

Firstly, my e-mail to which you presumably refer was not a press release. It was one of many e-mails, paper letters, Registered Post letters and facsimiles I've written to MP's during the last two years.

Almost all predated my voluntary involvement with The Galileo Movement.

Secondly, to my knowledge I have no connection with Rise Up Australia Party or Catch the Fire Ministry. I cannot recall ever hearing of them prior to you raising them. Please explain who they are and what they do. Please advise why you listed them specifically.

In recent years I've worked voluntarily and independently to expose the unscientific hoax driving the myth that

human production of carbon dioxide caused global warming.

This work has been at considerable expense to me and my wife and family. We have funded my investigations by selling assets set aside for our retirement.

As part of my investigations, in recent years I've met and interacted with many diverse people through the internet and blogs and through rallies and conferences. As such I could have met members of such groups as those you raise. I am though not aware any such contact.

From memory I first met Bob Brinsmead at an environmental conference last October. That meeting showed him to be sincere and pleasant with a genuine conviction to support science for the betterment of humanity and the environment. I subsequently bumped into him unexpectedly at two lectures. I spoke last week at the launch of Wes Allen's excellent book. Bob Brinsmead spoke there. So that I can answer your question more fully, please define what you mean by, quote: '*Bob Brinsmead's group*'. Please advise why you are interested in people's connections with '*Bob Brinsmead's group*'.

I have exchanged e-mails with Bob Brinsmead on the topic of global warming. I'll copy him on this response.

Thirdly, I'm intrigued as to why you only seek details of my previous consultancies with reference to the mining industry and not other industries. Please advise.

Fourthly, as previously advised by reference to my declaration of personal interests I have consulted to all industry sectors. My work encompasses a wide range of organisational and personal support. It has included troubleshooting and sensitive personnel matters involving executives in various organisations including companies, non-profit educational organisations (for whom I worked voluntarily) and universities. As such my client work is highly confidential. I respect and honour people's needs for personal and client confidentiality.

Given your dishonest behaviour in recent times I will not be sharing any client information with you, Wendy.

I suggest that you start to do balanced, objective and fair research.

Have you read my personal declaration of interests? Are you aware that my declaration was openly incorporated into my first publications. Unlike proponents of global warming alarmism, my declaration has been posted freely on the web.

http://www.conscious.com.au/___documents/Thriving%20with%20nature%20and%20humanity_single.pdf

http://www.conscious.com.au/___documents/dead%20elephants.pdf

http://www.conscious.com.au/___documents/additional%20material/Personal%20declaration%20of%20interests.pdf

Please advise the reasons for your inquiry about my management and leadership support services. I may then be able to meet your needs while maintaining client confidentiality.

I note that to date you have refused to provide answers to my previous simple questions asked of you. Why is that? Please check my previous e-mails to you and to which you responded without answering my questions.

Please provide your declaration of personal interests in global warming and climate change? Are you a member of GetUp? Please advise a list of any groups to which you are in any way connected on the issue of climate or global warming or on politics. Are you a member of any political party?

Please advise any assignments on which you have worked that challenge the global warming campaign. Have you held any proponents of human causation of global warming accountable? If so, please advise their names. Have you investigated any proponents of human causation of global warming? If so, please provide their names and provide information supporting your answer.

Wendy, in the past you have deceptively misrepresented your position, misrepresented my comments and dishonestly taken advantage of my support for science. You have not met my needs for honesty. I do not trust you.

Rebuilding trust can commence by you answering fully my questions in this and previous e-mails. Assuming you have nothing to hide and in the interest of open communication I look forward to your response.

Malcolm Roberts

On 25/07/2011, at 11:51 AM, Wendy Carlisle wrote:

Hi Malcolm,

Thanks for the press release.

Would you be able to provide me details of your previous consultancies with reference to the mining industry.

Also – do you have a connection with the Rise Up Australia Party or the Catch the Fire Ministry, or Bob Brinsmead's group?

Thanks

Wendy

From: Malcolm Roberts [mailto:xxxxxxx@xxxxxx.net.au]

Sent: Sunday, 24 July 2011 11:08 AM

Subject: Dis-ease with Julia is engulfing ALP MP's

From: Malcolm Roberts <xxxxxxx@xxxxxx.net.au>

Date: 22 July 2011 1:09:08 PM AEST

To: 3 Labor MHR's

Subject: Dis-ease with Julia is engulfing ALP MP's

To ALP federal MP's

250 words

The Rudd-Gillard-Brown government has held power under four years.

With Julia and Bob at Australia's tiller, their legacy is:

Unreliability: Julia said the **Rudd-Gillard** government had lost its way.

Uncertainty: on climate as Julia and Wayne dumped it, then promised no tax, yet try to force a tax PLUS 2015 rationing scheme. All this when the Aussie dollar is high, Europe and America teeter economically and business and consumer confidence plummet amid recession signs. Our main customer is China. Its main customers are Europe and America.

Dishonesty: Julia's lies destroyed people's faith in government and the ALP.

Ineptitude: pink batts, '*Building the Education revolution*', aboriginal housing, knee-jerk banning of all live cattle exports, ...

Denial: 80-90% of Australians don't want her tax on Nature's trace gas essential to all life on Earth. Yet she's not listening.

Unease: David Jones' 12% slump.

Waste: '*Building the Education revolution*'.

Complexity: massive needless CO2 bureaucracy.

Lies, myths, evasions, omissions, distortions, misrepresentations: constitute her climate '*science*'.

<http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/freedom1-CO2only.pdf>

http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/freedom_exposing.pdf

Deteriorating economy descending into recession?

Caution among Australian consumers and non-mining investors.

Sovereign risk perceived by foreign investors.

Hypocrisy: Combet, Rudd, Blanchett and Gore recently bought oceanfront property.

Polling nightmare for ALP MP's.

These words are imprinted in voters' minds.

Julia spun wasting billions on school halls and overpriced dunnies into '*education revolution*'.

Endlessly masking a dishonest tax as '*reform*' is seen as treating people like mugs.

This is no longer uni politics, juvenile slogans and socialist theory. It's about real people.

Hawke, Keating, Howard, Costello are fading memories.

Malcolm Roberts

PS:

Terry McCrann, in *The Weekend Australian*, Sa.16.07.11:

"Over the next decade, China is going to increase its coal fired power generation and so its CO2 emissions by about 10 times Australia's total generating capacity.

That will be 250 times—not 250 percent, but to stress, 250 times—the 2GW (2000 megawatts) of coal-fired generation capacity that the Gillard government's (CO2 tax) package aims to retire over the same period.

Despite what he keeps saying, Combet surely knows this.

...

And this doesn't address the core insanity: the attack on our basic and all-pervasive national comparative advantage: cheap and abundant coal (energy)."

Malcolm Roberts

BE (Hons), MBA (Chicago)

Fellow AICD, MAIM, MAusIMM, MAME (USA), MIMM (UK), Fellow ASQ (USA, Aust)

Project Manager (voluntary)

The Galileo Movement (non-profit and in existence only until the carbon dioxide tax is quashed)

<http://www.galileomovement.com.au/>

Facebook: The Galileo Movement (link <http://www.facebook.com/pages/The-Galileo-Movement/101728306584541>)

Twitter: search for GalileoMovement or visit

<http://twitter.com/#!/GalileoMovement>

www.conscious.com.au

My personal declaration of interests is at:

<http://www.conscious.com.au/documents/additional%20material/Personal%20declaration%20of%20interests.pdf>

(manually go to www.conscious.com.au and look for 'Summaries' and then click on 'Aims, background and declaration of interests ...')

180 XXXXXXX Road
XXXXXX QLD XXXX

Phone:

Home 07 xxxx xxxx

Mobile 04 xxxx xxxx

E-mail: xxxxxxx@xxxxxx.net.au

Please note: Apart from suburb and state, my contact details are not for publication nor broadcasting and are provided only for your own personal use to respond.

For care to be effective, care needs to be informed

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

The information contained in this email and any attachment is confidential and may contain legally privileged or copyright material. It is intended only for the use of the addressee(s). If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are not permitted to disseminate, distribute or copy this email or any attachments. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete this email from your system. The ABC does not represent or warrant that this transmission is secure or virus free. Before opening any attachment you should check for viruses. The ABC's liability is limited to resupplying any email and attachments.

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

The information contained in this email and any attachment is confidential and may contain legally privileged or copyright material. It is intended only for the use of the addressee(s). If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are not permitted to disseminate, distribute or copy this email or any attachments. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete this email from your system. The ABC does not represent or warrant that this transmission is secure or virus free. Before opening any attachment you should check for viruses. The ABC's liability is limited to resupplying any email and attachments.

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

The information contained in this email and any attachment is confidential and may contain legally privileged or copyright material. It is intended only for the use of the addressee(s). If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are not permitted to disseminate, distribute or copy this email or any attachments. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete this email from your system. The ABC does not

represent or warrant that this transmission is secure or virus free. Before opening any attachment you should check for viruses. The ABC's liability is limited to resupplying any email and attachments.