

## Emails and events in chronological order

Some names and email addresses represented as Xxxxxx to protect privacy.

**From:** Andrew Bolt [mailto:xxxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxx.com.au]  
**Sent:** Saturday, 4 August 2012 10:14 AM  
**To:** xxxxxxxx@xxxxx.com  
**Subject:** malcolm roberts

Case,

I see Malcolm Roberts has reportedly said climate science is controlled by "some of the major banking families in the world" who form "a tight-knit cabal".

This sounds very much like one of those Jewish world conspiracy theories that I despise.

Can you tell me what Malcolm meant?

I see I am listed as an adviser to the Galileo Movement. In the circumstances, and until I receive an innocent explanation for Malcolm's reported comments, I'd ask that you remove my name.

Andrew Bolt

---

On 04/08/2012, at 11:49 AM, Case Smit wrote:  
Hi Malcolm,

What can we do to satisfy Andrew? He is asking for an "innocent explanation". It would appear that you are right, but do we have any proof to support the statement, or is it deduction and supposition that leads to your conclusion?

If there is no actual proof, maybe we can quote some of the references that lead to that conclusion and say that: "it would appear that .....".

Case

---

**From:** Malcolm Roberts  
**Date:** 4 August 2012 3:14:10 PM AEST  
**To:** Smit Case  
**Cc:** various  
**Subject: Re: malcolm roberts**

Hi Case:

We have proof Case.  
You know that when I make a clear statement it is based on evidence.  
If I lack hard proof I say *reportedly* or *it seems* or ...

Andrew's concern though is understandable. Just three years ago I would've laughed at my recent (misquoted) statement. And four years or so ago I wrote an article assuming the greenhouse effect to be correct. Then I started asking myself questions.

Andrew should know that SMH doesn't report sceptics accurately. Let's be clear that I said:

- The climate scam is driven by the UN;
- the UN is another global group that is working for the agenda dictated by groups including: Club of Rome, Bilderberg Group, Trilateral Commission, Council For Foreign Relations. Ross Garnaut is a member of the Trilateral Commission;
- the heart of those groups are the major international banking families. Warburgs, Rothschilds, Rockefellers, ...

Documented. See below for sources.

I was asked by SMH's Ben Cubby about the motives driving BS AGW. I discussed the usual: academics feeding off taxpayer grants, polities seeking to make an issue for their own political benefit, bankers harvesting carbon credits, ... and raised the UN and global governance.

Ben Cubby ignored my direct and clear responses to both core issues he said he called me to discuss: new papers by Anthony Watts et al and Richard Muller from BEST. Then he asked me about the motives.

When I mentioned global governance he lit up. He used that to try to smear us. He hasn't realised that he's done us a favour.

Relevant book titles and videos are provided below to give Andrew a taste.

Prominent American TV journalist Glenn Beck has exposed UN Agenda 21, the banking families, UN, Maurice Strong, ... He has laid out his research.  
[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=esJY2SK\\_4tE](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=esJY2SK_4tE)

By the way, he joined as one of TGM's FaceBook Friends.

I need to comment on the irony: The Galileo Movement cops a bashing from people

because we list Andrew Bolt as an adviser. That tarnishes us in alarmists' eyes.

Now Andrew's concerned about being associated with TGM. Gotta laugh.

I agree with Andrew in that I dislike discussing anything about groups of people based on religion, race, nationality, etc. Although it seems many of the international banking families are Jewish I never mention it. That is irrelevant. Raising that diminishes the core argument and leaves one open to being discredited.

Before giving Andrew the book titles though you could ask Andrew whether or not he is aware that the USA's Federal Reserve bank is privately owned and not a federal government department.

Then ask him whether or not he knows who owns the US Fed.

Then ask him if he knows how money is created out of thin air by keystrokes on computer keyboards.

Then ask him if he knows how government debt is created and saddled onto taxpayers.

By the way, Xxxxx Xxxxxx and XXXXXXXXXXXX Xxxxxx have researched this. They've written about it.

XXXXXX XXXXXVANS has worked out how money is concocted out of nothing. So has XXXXXXXXXXXX Xxxxxx .

They've written about it publicly although keep a low profile on some aspects.

Then ask Andrew whether or not he is aware of the drivers in the formation of the UN.

Then ask Andrew whether or not he's aware of Maurice Strong and that man's intent and agenda.

We have some quotes on TGM's website.

Andrew may want to talk with retired Canadian climatology professor Tim Ball who in my experience is easily the most effective resource and speaker on climate science and the UN's drive on climate as a political strategy.

Andrew does realise that the climate con is politically driven for political goals doesn't he?

Tim is amazing. I've mentioned Tim to Andrew before. There is no one in the world better than Tim on climate, climate fraud, CO<sub>2</sub> and corruption of CO<sub>2</sub> measurements, corruption of temperature measurements, the UN IPCC, the roots of the climate fraud and the fathers of the real climate research and how their initiative was hijacked politically.

I have considerable regard for Fred Singer, John Christy, Richard Lindzen and many other internationally eminent scientists. Yet none can match Tim's breadth and depth of knowledge on climate and the climate scam.

Plus, Tim's highly effective with the media. And he's a helluva nice guy. It's all at his

fingertips. Calmly confident.

I've copied Tim in case Andrew wants to contact Tim directly.

Then ask Andrew whether or not he's aware of UN Agenda 21 and how it's smashing of property rights is already underway in Australia.

Is he aware that John Howard worked with Peter Beattie and Bob Carr (then NSW enviro minister) to steal farmers' property rights.

Ask him whether or not he's aware that the USA is awakening to UN Agenda 21.

Does Andrew know that the legislature in Alabama has passed legislation banning UN Agenda 21?

Then ask Andrew whether he's aware of a growing grass-roots movement in NSW aiming to stop UN Agenda 21.

They learned about it through smashing of property rights by coastal residents.

Is Andrew aware of the detailed, rigorous research by Graham Williamson on CSIRO and lately on UN Agenda 21?

If not would Andrew like to contact Graham?

Has Andrew heard of ICLEI? International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives.

Then ask him whether he's aware of the complex relationships between the major players in the BS AGW scam.

UN, WWF, ... and their links to World Bank, IMF, ...

He may want to consider a website developed by Peter Bobroff AM.

Peter is one of the most intelligent and genuine people I've met. Passionate about science.

He's a cousin. Receiving my emails a few years ago he started investigating climate for himself. That took him, like it did me, to the UN IPCC. That took him to the UN and Maurice Strong.

That took him to interest in the global financial system and the manufacturing of money.

<http://tome22.info/>

The entrance through Research is useful:

<http://tome22.info/Top/ResearchEntrance.html>

Then go to '*Organisations*' and click on '*Index*'. That'll take you to:

<http://tome22.info/TypeViews/Organisations-Index.html>

Look for whatever you want. eg, WWF and then chase that down.

Or go to '*Organisations*' and click on '*Summary*'. That'll take you to:

<http://tome22.info/TypeViews/Organisations.html>

Or go to '*Persons*' and click on '*Index*'. That'll take you to:

<http://tome22.info/TypeViews/Persons-Index.html>

Or go to '*Persons*' and click on '*Summary*'. That'll take you to:  
<http://tome22.info/TypeViews/Persons.html>

Andrew may find the site challenging to navigate because of the massive job that Peter has tackled. He's dealing with a massively complex challenge. It's becoming an amazing resource.

Peter will have mods up early-mid this coming week.

Andrew may want to talk with Peter: xx xxxx xxxx  
Peter has spoken with Andrew before seeking Andrew's advice. Peter followed his advice.

Back to Andrew's request. Please suggest to Andrew that he check for himself in the relationships documented in six books that explain the broader picture and the relationships:

*They Own It All (Including You)* by an American lawyer and an American Doctor. It independently pulls together many of the topics discussed by other authors MacDonald and Rowen

*None Dare Call it Conspiracy* by Gary Allen.  
(available on the net: [http://www.whale.to/b/allen\\_b1.html](http://www.whale.to/b/allen_b1.html))

*The Secrets of the Federal Reserve* by Eustace Mullins  
(available on the net: <http://www.whale.to/b/mullins5.html>)

*The Case Against the Fed* by acclaimed economist Murray Rothbard

*End the Fed* by US Congressman Ron Paul highly respected by all sides of American politics

*The True Story of the Bilderberg Group* by Daniel Estulin (investigative reporter)

The listed authors approach the core issues independently from varied backgrounds and professions. Yet they independently reinforce each other.

*Merchants of Despair* by Robert Zubrin identifies UN's damage yet that's not its main theme. It exposes the anti-human theme used by the UN for its despotic and inhuman practices and campaigns dressed in 'nice' words like '*sustainability*' and '*biodiversity*'.

Andrew may want to peruse our site for Maurice Strong's quotes illustrating his agenda.

*The Rational Optimist* by Matt Ridley shows how humans are making remarkable progress contrary to the nonsensical and unfounded fear and guilt peddled by extremist dark greens. This does not discuss UN/international banking families, yet it reveals

masses of data contradicting the underlying theme being peddled by the UN and WWF, etc

<http://www.city-journal.org/2012/bc0622bt.html>

Cloak of Green: The Links between Key Environmental Groups, Government and Big Business

By Elaine Dewar

Tim Ball recommended it. I've used it to learn more about WWF, Greenpeace.

On basic liberty and benefits of freedom and free enterprise, Ludwig von Mises:

- Liberalism: The Classical Tradition, 150 pages
- Profit and Loss: a 32 page explanation that explains so much
- Bureaucracy, 100 pages that explains what bureaucracy really is and why it hurts progress

Here are some YouTube video links on UN Agenda 21:

Ten minute intro:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OWKzhe1qVJY>

More comprehensive explanations. All are worth watching. Andrew's busy so I recommend the first:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qU5JLhOiz8M>

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pMfUvaow8QA>

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-tNqKh47bbk>

... [names removed from here to maintain privacy] ...

How ironic, because on this topic of bankers Xxxxx and Xxxxxxxxxx seemingly agree with me.

Last week Xxxxxxxxxx suggested we water it down because the public is not quite ready to hear the truth.

Quote: "Malcolm -- you might want to be careful about talking about the UN and world government. I think Cubby will try to make out you are a conspiracy theorist. I word my answers on those points very very carefully. Talk about global bureaucracy, talk about all bureaucrats wanting to have more power and status and funding."

I tested the public's readiness 12 months ago at a rally in Hyde Park. They're ready for it. They welcome it. Awareness os growing. I raise it at most rallies now and people appreciate it. It's coming.

**I can offer several people for Andrew to talk with. They've done their research.**

Hoping this answers your request.

Malcolm

---

Sunday, August 5<sup>th</sup>, 2012

Andrew posted his first comment on this topic on his blog. He said:

I haven't yet had a reply or reaction to my request to [the Galileo Movement](#), whose project leader is Malcolm Roberts, a man I have never met or spoken to and have never endorsed:

*I see Malcolm Roberts has reportedly said climate science is controlled by "some of the major banking families in the world" who form "a tight-knit cabal".*

*This sounds very much like one of those Jewish world conspiracy theories that I despise. Can you tell me what Malcolm meant? I see I am listed as an adviser to the Galileo Movement. In the circumstances, and until I receive an innocent explanation for Malcolm's reported comments, I'd ask that you remove my name. Andrew Bolt*

I note *The Age* has loved associating me with this movement and these comments, without going to the bother of asking me what my association really was. Only too delighted for the chance to smear.

---

Friends sent me links to Andrew's post.

I was not aware of Mike Carlton's falsely implied smear.

---

While waiting for Case to reply, one of Andrew's loyal subscribers (John) who circulates links to Andrew's blog articles sent the following email to Andrew and copied it to me. He provided Andrew with my home street address, mobile phone number, landline phone number and email address.

In previous years I'd given my contact details to Andrew many times in email exchanges between us.

---

John XxXxxxx to Andrew and copied to Malcolm

On 05/08/2012, at 4:20 PM, "John XxXxxxx"

<[xxxxxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxx.com.au](mailto:xxxxxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxx.com.au)> wrote:

How about making the effort to contact him to confirm whether the smears and innuendo are in fact truthful?

Below are his contact details:

---

I took that as an opportunity to contact Andrew directly while waiting for Case's reply. I replying to John I copied it to Andrew.

**Subject: Re: No, I of course don't endorse this stuff**

**From:** Roberts Malcolm

**Date:** 5 August 2012 4:44:38 PM AEST

**To:** XxXxxxx John xxxxxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxx.com.au

**Cc:** Bolt Andrew, Smit Case, Smeed John

Thank you, John.

Andrew asked Case yesterday.

In response I immediately sent plenty of material for Case to send to Andrew explaining my comments as they were not accurately reported by SMH and Andrew jumped to a completely inaccurate assumption.

I'm wondering why he jumped to that assumption and why he chose to bring religion into climate.

I have publicly scolded people who have done that and counseled them against doing so. It is irrelevant and abhorrent.

Such is life. Nonetheless, Andrew has inadvertently created an opportunity for us to help the public become aware of the core of fraudulent climate alarm.

And it's got nothing to do with religion.

I hope Andrew will do his research using the solid material I sent to Case to forward to Andrew in response to Andrew's request.

And I hope Andrew will consider his raising religion and act accordingly.

I'll await Case's response - he's travelling. Then mull it over.

Andrew and I have exchanged email conversations in the past and i've given him tips that he said he appreciated. If Andrew wants to contact me that will be fine.

I'm available to talk. As always.

Malcolm

Sent from my iPhone

---

While awaiting Case's reply, a supporter of The Galileo Movement contacted through our web site.

At the time I was not aware that Mike Carlton had falsely smeared Andrew, Alan Jones, The Galileo Movement and me. I couldn't understand why Andrew had raised religion and conspiracy theories when I had not done so.

On 05/08/2012, at 5:08 PM, [xxxxx@iinet.net.au](mailto:xxxxx@iinet.net.au) wrote:

Name: XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXX

Email: [xxxxx@iinet.net.au](mailto:xxxxx@iinet.net.au)

Country: Australia

Message: Please tell me this isn't true?

[http://blogs.news.com.au/heraldsun/andrewbolt/index.php/heraldsun/comments/happy\\_to\\_help\\_those\\_who\\_ask\\_but\\_not\\_people\\_who\\_peddle\\_t\\_his/#commentmore](http://blogs.news.com.au/heraldsun/andrewbolt/index.php/heraldsun/comments/happy_to_help_those_who_ask_but_not_people_who_peddle_t_his/#commentmore)

XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXX.

---

My reply to The Galileo Movement supporter was copied to Andrew:

**From:** Malcolm Roberts

**Date:** 5 August 2012 7:14:30 PM AEST

**To:** [xxxxx@iinet.net.au](mailto:xxxxx@iinet.net.au)

**Cc:** Various, Bolt Andrew, Smit Case

**Subject:** **Re: Message from Galileo Movement web site contact form**

Thank you for checking Xxxxxxx.

It's not true that I raised religion, if that's what you're asking. I did not raise religion with the SMH reporter, Ben Cubby. Nor did Ben Cubby report anything to do with religion in his article. I cannot understand why Andrew raised religion.

I despise the raising of a group's religion and didn't do that and won't do that. I've reprimanded others publicly and privately for raising personal issues such as religion, race, gender, ... Those and others are irrelevant to climate.

In response to Ben Cubby's question as to the motives driving BS AGW, I mentioned various motives and then raised the UN as one of the drivers of BS AGW. And discussed the cabal of narrow financial and political interests driving the UN's Agenda 21 campaign as part of the push for global governance.

That's nothing new. It's widely and reliably documented.

I've provided many references for Case Smit to forward in response to Andrew.

(Andrew wrote to Case. That's why so I did not go behind Case's back by replying directly to Andrew.)

I did not raise the word '*conspiracy*'. I don't know why Andrew did. Even though their deliberations are secretive, the cabal is working quite openly. Documented.

I've never spoken to or met Andrew. I've enjoyed email correspondence with Andrew. I've given him tips for which he has expressed appreciation.

I'm copying this to Andrew.

Xxxxxxx, if I've missed any of the points raised by Andrew, please advise.

Malcolm

---

I posted the following response to Andrew's blog on Sunday afternoon/evening, recorded on Andrew's blog at 9:36 (delayed presumably awaiting moderation). It was copied onto The Galileo Movement's FaceBook page.

Only a few minutes prior to posting my comment I learned of Mike Carlton's fabrications falsely smearing Andrew, Alan Jones, The Galileo Movement and me.

My comment on *Aging* is a reference to The Age newspaper's criticism of Andrew.

Andrew is referring to an article by Ben Cubby in SMH. In Ben's interview I did not raise or discuss religion nor any religious sect. I did not imply any religious affiliation to be associated with the drivers of BS AGW. In reporting our conversation, Ben Cubby's article did not mention religion.

I'm wondering why Andrew now introduces religion into the climate discussion.

In the interview I was asked by SMH's Ben Cubby about the motives driving BS AGW. I discussed the usual: academics feeding off taxpayer grants, pollies seeking to make an issue for their own political benefit, major international bankers harvesting carbon credits, ... and raised the UN and global governance.

Facts: Sa.04.08.12, 11:49am my computer received an email from The Galileo Movement's co-founder Case Smit. Around 2:00pm I checked emails and immediately started drafting a response for Case to send to Andrew. (I wouldn't go behind Case's back by replying directly to Andrew.)

Sa.04.08.12, 3:14pm I sent a response to Case for forwarding to Andrew. Yes, it was a long and detailed email. It provides plenty of references for Andrew. Case is travelling across Australia so I don't know when/whether he's collected emails yet.

Among many points, I advised Case that:

1. quote: "I agree with Andrew in that I dislike discussing anything about groups of people based on religion, race, nationality, etc." As I advised Case, religion is irrelevant. Raising it diminishes the core argument and leaves one open to being discredited.

2. I provided Case with plenty of solid books and other references supporting my comments on the cabal of narrow political and financial interests pushing global

governance.

3. I offered to arrange for Andrew to talk with people who've done their research on global governance. (They will discuss the erosion of Australia's sovereignty and competitiveness with him too if he wants.)

4. Miscellaneous points such as prominent courageous American TV journalist Glenn Beck identifying UN Agenda 21, the banking families, UN, Maurice Strong, ... Glenn has laid out his research publicly. [http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=esJY2SK\\_4tE](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=esJY2SK_4tE)

Is Andrew aware that one of the world's most powerful entities, the USA Federal Reserve Bank, is not a government agency? It's a private entity controlled by the major international banking families, mainly European. Documented.

The Fed's owners and controllers are much more powerful than the Fed.

Is Andrew aware of how money is created? David Evans and Jo Nova are. They discuss it publicly and have written fabulous material about it.

I did not raise the word 'conspiracy' with Ben Cubby. I don't know why Andrew did. Even though their deliberations are secretive, the cabal is working quite openly. Documented. Not a conspiracy.

I agree with Andrew and have publicly and privately objected to people discussing religion in the manner to which Andrew refers. I've counselled them against it. Some of my friends and those who I respect, admire and value enormously for their achievements are Jewish. Some are Christians. Some Buddhist, Some Muslim, Some Hindu. Some atheists. Some agnostic. Some not interested.

I've never spoken to or met Andrew. I've enjoyed email correspondence with him. I've given him tips for which he has expressed appreciation.

Andrew has my street address, my email address and my phone numbers. I'm wondering why he didn't discuss it with me?

I'd be happy to discuss any of these topics with him privately or publicly on air. Preferably after he's done the research.

It's ironic that climate alarmists criticise The Galileo Movement for listing Andrew as an adviser (on media). They say TGM's listing of Andrew Bolt discredits TGM. (We disagree.) Now Andrew seems worried about being being discredited by association with TGM. Gotta laugh.

Maybe Andrew is Aging. Or maybe he's upset with another part of Fairfax, Mike Carlton who did raise religion in association with climate:

<http://www.smh.com.au/opinion/anthems-to-stir-the-common-man-20120803-23knh.html>

Until learning of Mike Carlton a few minutes ago I was at a loss as to Andrew's reason for raising religion. It seems Andrew didn't. Mike Carlton did the day before.

I wonder if Mikey's the same Mike Carlton who ran from me when I inadvertently sent him facts in response to emails received in a conversation with some alarmist 'scientists' funded by government. Mike doesn't seem to like facts. He runs from them. Either directly as he did by email on 08.03.2010 or by cleverly implied smear on August 4th, 2012.

Notice the pattern in Fairfax Press? And they wonder why circulation plummets and losses grow?

Malcolm Roberts

**Malcolm Roberts of Brisbane (Reply)**

Sun 05 Aug 12 (09:36pm)

---

Andrew replied:

On 05/08/2012, at 7:45 PM, Bolt, Andrew wrote:

Which "major banking families" do you assert control the climate science debate, Malcolm? Could you please name the most prominent?

Andrew Bolt

Herald Sun

---

**From:** Malcolm Roberts

**Date:** 5 August 2012 9:38:17 PM AEST

**To:** Bolt Andrew

**Cc:** Xxxxxxx Xxxxxxx, Various, Case Smit

**Subject: Re: Message from Galileo Movement web site contact form**

Thank you, Andrew.

I did not assert "major banking families" control the climate science debate.

I asserted that unfounded and fabricated climate catastrophism (the climate debate) is driven by the UN IPCC as part of the UN's drive for global governance. I then asserted that the UN is acting on behalf of major international bankers.

Delighted to name the most prominent. Here they are according to sound reference books:

Rothschilds

Rockefellers

Morgans - although these were apparently absorbed into the Rothschilds empire late nineteenth century

Warburgs

Less powerful:

Harrimans

Browns

Prescott Bush's bank (from memory Browns). Yes the daddy of George Bush sen and granddaddy of GW Bush

Others whose names I've forgotten.

If you want more names of major international bankers please advise and I'll check my references tomorrow.

By the way, Andrew, you do know don't you that Dr. Megan Clark, CSIRO Chief Executive was a director of Rothschilds Bank Australia and is now on the Advisory Board of a major American international bank?

Case forwarded me your email to him yesterday. I replied to him immediately asking him to forward to you. Case is travelling though and it sounds as though you didn't receive it.

I've just called Case and he's OK with me forwarding it directly to you.

It contains references for you to check.

I'll do that next.

Then forward my comment posted on your blog half an hour ago and on The Galileo Movement's FaceBook.

Malcolm

---

After sending my long email to Case I waited for his reply. Due to his travelling in remote areas of Western Australia I called and obtained permission to reply directly to Andrew. He agreed immediately.

The following email was sent to Andrew followed by forwarding to him my earlier long reply to Case.

My forwarded email provided considerable detail including credible book references and YouTube video clips as references.

**From:** Malcolm Roberts  
**Date:** 5 August 2012 9:49:07 PM AEST  
**To:** Bolt Andrew  
**Cc:** Smit Case and various  
**Subject:** Fwd: malcolm roberts

Hi Andrew:

Called Case. He's comfortable with me sending directly to you.

He's been travelling across top and west of Australia.

(I may not have mentioned the four groups Club of Rome, Bilderberg, etc) to Ben Cubby by name.  
I'll check my recording tomorrow.)

Contact if you want to discuss. With me or with people who've done more research.

I recommend you contact Peter Bobroff. I doubt he's done as much research as the others on the bankers specifically. He is though amazing in collecting associated groups. His tome22 is a stunning resource for you to get to the core of BS AGW.

Malcolm

---

Note:

In my answer to Andrew's question I immediately gave him the names of six international banking interests and offered more names if needed.

Rothschilds

When Andrew Bolt replied by email asking for names of international bankers my direct response to Andrew provided the names of six international banking and financial interests. Of these, four are American, one German and one headquartered in the City of London. Quote:

“Delighted to name the most prominent. Here they are according to sound reference books:

Rothschilds

Rockefellers

Morgans - although these were apparently absorbed into the Rothschilds empire late nineteenth century

Warburgs

Less powerful:

Harrimans

Browns“

---

Andrew never did contact me to discuss.

Instead he posted the following update to his blog on Monday, August 6<sup>th</sup>, 2012:

UPDATE

On now receiving an email from Malcolm Roberts, I've sent this reply:

*Malcolm,*

*Your conspiracy theory seemed utterly stupid even before I knew which families you meant. Now checking the list of banking families you've given me, your theory becomes terribly, shamefully familiar.*

*Two of the three most prominent and current banking families you've mentioned are Jewish, and the third is sometimes falsely assumed to be. Yes, this smacks too much of the Jewish world conspiracy theorising I've always loathed.*

*Again, I insist: remove me from the list of people you claim are prepared to*

*advise you. I've never advised you, Malcolm, and would never want to. I am offended to be linked to you.*

*Andrew Bolt*

---

Re-reading his Update, I feel puzzled and somewhat concerned. My concerns are:

- Andrew's excellent work on exposing unfounded climate alarm indicated over the years that he is competent in arithmetic. How did he make my six banks' names into his four and then cut it to three?
- Why did he claim that two of his three are Jewish? I never have mentioned that. I have never bothered to check bankers' religions as it's irrelevant, isn't it? I've not seen any evidence documenting that international bankers are of a particular religion. I'm confident from my reading and research that bankers come in all shapes, sizes and denominations. Wouldn't they include among their ranks Christians, Muslims, Hindi, atheists, agnostics, members of various sects, possibly Buddhists and others? Isn't it irrelevant?
- Four of the banks I listed are American, one German, one headquartered in the City of London. I do not know the religions of any;
- Andrew mentioned the word *conspiracy* yet. Yet earlier in our email thread and in my post on his blog I advised him that I never used the word;
- James Delingpole had featured on Andrew's TV program *The Bolt Report* three months earlier and reportedly discussed his book that devotes the whole of chapter to the "*New World Order*". In it he mentions the Club of Rome, Maurice Strong, the UN, UNEP, UN Agenda 21 and its implementation and ICLEI, Mikhail Gorbachev's role in pushing global governance, "*one world eco-fascist government*", population control, the fact that it's not a conspiracy because it's shouted about by the proponents, ... It's lucky Mike Carlton didn't hear about it;
- Why did Andrew Bolt contradict my emails to him and instead publicly continue discussing *conspiracy theorising and the Jewish people*?
- Andrew Bolt is rightly seen as a champion of free speech in Australia. Yet his blog refused to publish my response that was mild and factual.

Andrew seems to persist in associating the word *conspiracy* with me despite my advising him more than once that I did not use the word. Mike Carlton fabricated its use in the article that smeared Andrew, Alan Jones, The Galileo Movement and me. Just as I never mentioned the word *Jewish*.

Indeed, in Andrew's first email to Case, see start of this document he said in response to my statement about an international banking cabal, quote:

*"This sounds very much like one of those Jewish world conspiracy theories that I despise.*

*Can you tell me what Malcolm meant?"*

I'm wondering what Andrew meant by raising both words and persisting in repeating them even after I had corrected his understanding apparently erroneously based on Mike Carlton's fabricated and false smear.

---

James Delingpole is correct about the New World Order. For much more detail on that topic pushing fraudulent unfounded climate alarm please refer to Appendix 14.

*Welcome to the New World Order* is the title of Chapter 8 in James Delingpole's book *Killing the Earth to Save It*. It's available here:

\*\*1409 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX Delingpole

The book is available at:

[http://www.connorcourt.com/catalog1/index.php?main\\_page=product\\_info&products\\_id=197#.UOnrZI4WzRo](http://www.connorcourt.com/catalog1/index.php?main_page=product_info&products_id=197#.UOnrZI4WzRo)