

Big thinkers sprout big ideas at the World Economic Forum in Davos
By: Peter Holmes A Court

From: The Australian January 23, 2013 12:00AM

<http://www.theaustralian.com.au/business/economics/big-thinkers-sprout-big-ideas-at-the-world-economic-forum-in-davos/story-e6frg926-1226559596663>

Quotes from the article are provided below.

Italicised statements are my comments and/or questions in response.

Every major non-government organisation is represented, from the International Monetary Fund to the World Wide Fund for Nature,

We know about the WWF. It works with the UN and pushes global governance policy. It's been outed for antihuman behaviour. As has the IMF.

Most concerning is the fact that all the big risks -- income inequality, fiscal imbalances, impacts of global warming, water supply mismanagement, systemic financial system failure, and global governance failures -- have all increased in both severity and likelihood. To make matters worse, these are the ones we have been concentrating on.

In so far as it applies to global warming this statement contradicts empirical scientific evidence. That undermines confidence in the other claimed scares.

Which brings us to why all these people are here. At its core, this conference is about idea exchange. Policymaking, legislation writing, investment decisions, are done elsewhere. This makes accountability hard. No one can draw charts that explain what caused the consensus to move in any one direction.

Such settings with low accountability are perfect for claiming ideas were discussed and agreed to. It's easy to engineer an outcome that is then published as endorsed by the high profile participants. This is standard UN operating procedure as developed and instituted by Maurice Strong from 1972 onwards. It's used in every major UN conference.

If change is just the actualisation of what started as ideas, then the forum seeks to create change by pushing forward the best ideas. The ingredients are lots of high-quality research, plenary sessions that start just after sunrise and extend long after sunset.

The preceding statement on global warming reveals that the core of the preceding quote is nonsense. Yet UN Secretary-General Ban Ki Moon is flying to Davos after saying that he will be pushing with all his might for action on climate change. This perpetuates the contradiction of empirical scientific evidence and perpetuates UN climate fraud and unfounded fear.

What was spoken was exhaustively focused on the state of the world, relentlessly researched and data-based, earnest in a desire to communicate to their opponents that their solution is right.

This is presumably based on the previous false statement that climate change's impacts are now seen to be worsening. Knowing the fundamental fraud of global warming (aka climate change) this statement reveals itself as unfounded.

Each year the forum's founder, Klaus Schwab, opens the conference with his personal reflections and his organisation's goals for the gathering. Last year, he started with: "Capitalism as we know it has failed -- we (the global business community) have sinned."

Where is capitalism operating in the world today? It's not. We have government interference. We are living in socialist states that are failing under ever-widening government control driving rapidly rising tax plunder. Economies are deteriorating just as every preceding socialist state has failed and all will fail.

The leader of the world's biggest consumer products company echoed Klaus, saying: "People in the West are realising that our model is not sustainable, it is time to change."

See response to preceding quote.

Are these attempts to blame climate change and other unfounded scares on capitalism to provide the pretence for growing regulation to suit the major international bankers? And to justify yet another UN attempt to install global taxation to provide the UN with its own massive income? Notice sustainability entering the article. Presumably this is the UN's definition of sustainability that cannot exist without massive ongoing subsidies.

That's idea transfer. That's what Davos is about.

It's certainly not transfer of ideas based on empirical scientific evidence. It's transfer of ideology.

Making the changes, however, is the responsibility of all of us.

That's clever. The small group of elite will be told what to do cloaked falsely as "hard data". They will then be led to discuss certain topics and arrive at predetermined outcomes thinking that they created the outcome. Then we will be told what we must do.

But the reality will disappoint the conspiracy theorists.

Now why is conspiracy theory raised? We've seen in Appendix 14 that raising this tired and discredited phrase is now increasingly seen as a distraction and as a way of discrediting those who reveal what is occurring around us.

Hmmm