

Date published: Sunday, March 1st, 2015
Latest update:

APPENDIX 20

HOW DID THE UN SPREAD UNFOUNDED CLIMATE ALARM?

This document is part of, and intended to be read in conjunction with,
all parts of and appendices to the document entitled *CSIROh!*

“Madness is rare in individuals but in groups, parties, nations, and ages it is the rule”
Friedrich Nietzsche

“A government which robs Peter to pay Paul can always depend on the support of Paul”
George Bernard Shaw

*“A government big enough to give you everything you want is strong enough to take
everything you have”*
Thomas Jefferson

***“It is difficult to get a man to understand something when his income depends on his
not understanding it”***
American author Upton Sinclair

Contents	Page
Broad explanation	2
Examples of specific behaviours and tactics	10

This document is most useful when read with the report entitled *False Claims Reveal Hidden Opportunities* and prepared for The Hon Bob Baldwin and Senator Simon Birmingham at <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/SBbboh.html>

Broad explanation: How did the UN drive and almost entrench unfounded climate alarm to push a political agenda?

In its campaign, the UN IPCC has contradicted and reversed the empirical scientific evidence and nature. It has emboldened politicians to call a colourless invisible gas a black solid. Its core theory contradicts empirical scientific evidence and established laws of science and is a mishmash of language and implied meanings misrepresenting reality. Everyday observations show climate alarm to be unfounded yet the public, influential journalists and some politicians have been deluded to think it's real. How?

<http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/SBbboh.html>

1992 UN Rio Declaration for the 21st Century – UN Agenda 21

Ros Kelly, Environment Minister in the Keating ALP government, introduced UN Agenda 21 to Australia in federal parliament on May 26th, 1993 describing it as a “massive document” and a “blueprint or set of guidelines” which will control individuals and countries around the world. *In the Foreword to Australia’s report to the UNCSD - 1995 on “Implementation of Agenda 21”* then Prime Minister Paul Keating said the report is a scorecard of our activities relevant to (UN) Agenda 21 and emphasised Australia’s diligence in complying with the UN’s Agenda 21 implementation requirement.

<http://parlinfo.aph.gov.au/parlInfo/search/display/display.w3p;adv=yes;orderBy=customrank;page=3;query=%22Agenda%2B21%22%20%20-%20%3A%20Decade%3A%221990s%22;rec=10;resCount=Default> leading to http://parlinfo.aph.gov.au/parlInfo/download/chamber/hansardr/1993-05-26/toc_pdf/H%201993-05-26.pdf;fileType=application%2Fpdf#search=%22Agenda+21%20%20%201990s%22

Ros Kelly said: “*It is a blueprint or set of guidelines, not just for individual countries but, importantly, for the entire United Nations system as well as for individuals and organisations of every size and type. Australia contributed significantly to its preparation and negotiations.*”

<http://www.environment.gov.au/archive/commitments/uncsd/publications/csd1995/foreword.html>

CSIRO scientists’ use of taxpayer funding to support global governance deepened my interest in exploring UN connections. Canadian Maurice Strong is the father of unfounded climate alarm and conjured global warming when he was the UN Environmental Program’s first Secretary-General in 1972 and reinforced it by forming the UN IPCC in 1988. He then initiated, designed and introduced the 1992 *UN Rio Declaration Agenda for 21st Century* global governance, UN Agenda 21. He has repeatedly publicly stated that his two main aims are creation of unelected global socialist governance and de-industrialisation and collapse of western democracies by ending national sovereignty. On learning this I initially felt incredulous yet after researching many texts by credible authors from diverse and independent backgrounds and after studying UN documents and behaviours it’s verified. Paul Keating’s ALP government was one of the first to sign the declaration in December 1992 yet the UN campaign to usurp Australian sovereignty has never been debated much less passed by any Australian federal or state parliament or referendum. It’s in the Greens party platform and documented in 2004 as part of the ALP platform yet not discussed publicly. On 9th October 2012 Greens senator Larissa Waters’ staff confirmed to Graham Williamson quote: “*Larissa had a quick through of your question and wanted to let you know that the concepts in Agenda 21 are imbued through all of the party’s policy platform, whether explicitly outlined or not.*”

Appendix 14 Pages 60-72 <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html> and http://www.galileomovement.com.au/australia_democracy.php

From Australian parliament

http://parlinfo.aph.gov.au/parlInfo/download/library/partypol/1024534/upload_binary/1024534.pdf;fileType=application%2Fpdf#search=%22library/partypol/1024534%22
and Graham Williamson
<http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/gw/PoliticalResponsestoAG21V2.pdf>

On April 13th, 2013 The Liberal National Party (LNP) branch in current Deputy Prime Minister Warren Truss’ Wide Bay electorate passed a motion opposing UN Agenda 21 and on July 21st, 2013 the Queensland state LNP passed the motion overwhelmingly, quote: “*That, the LNP opposes laws and/or regulations being made by Local, State,*

and Federal governments that enact the policy objectives of United Nations Agenda 21.” Supporting explanation included, quote: “Agenda 21 was accepted and subsequently promoted by most Western governments of all political persuasions. While understandably consistent with the policies of socialist (particularly Fabian) controlled governments, it is logically incomprehensible that any politically conservative government could support and promote a well documented scheme that sets objectives to achieve abolition of property rights, redistribution of wealth from western democracies, and implementation of global governance. That has been and continues occurring in Australia without legislation. The Liberal –National Coalition has never formally advised the public of the grave potential hazards of Agenda 21 (commonly called Sustainable Development). Rather, it has given particular support for implementation of ‘Local Agenda 21’ (LA21) by municipal councils through their progressive introduction of regulations guided by the ‘A21 sustainable development manifesto’ – revolution by regulation.” Prime Minister Tony Abbott has accurately defined the UN IPCC scheme as ‘Socialism masquerading as Environmentalism’ and he did stand up when it counted to defeat Malcolm Turnbull’s alliance with Kevin Rudd on the ALP-Greens socialist CO₂ Emissions ‘Trading’ Scheme. South Australian independent state Member of Parliament Anne Bressington has spoken out against UN Agenda 21’s stealthy implementation. Until recently, The Institute of Public Affairs (IPA) seemed reluctant to publicly discuss global governance and UN Agenda 21 although two recent articles discuss global governance threats to Australian democracy and values.

Hannah Pandal *‘The Death Throes of Democracy’* IPA Review November 2014 and
Simon Breheny *‘The International Law Delusion’* IPA Review, December 2012
<http://www.ipa.org.au>

Prominent Liberals have supported UN Agenda 21 (see URL links at end of paragraph) including Senator Ian Macdonald who heads the LNP senate ticket in Queensland and former federal Liberal Environment Minister Robert Hill who reported “by 2003 ... there were approximately 61 councils in Australia with Local Agenda 21 programs in place.” (Foreword by Liberal Senator Robert Hill <http://www.parksleisure.com.au/documents/item/1189>) Like the UN’s climate alarm campaign, the facts are the opposite of its claims and attractively worded labels and acronyms. For example, UN Agenda 21 initiatives rely on subsidies and are not sustainable. They are instead aimed at stealing private property rights in the name of pseudo-environmental issues putting bugs, plants and animals on a higher priority than humans in claimed protection of ‘biodiversity’. These are joined, entrenched and enforced by ‘sustainability’ legislation designed to eventually control every aspect of people’s lives while professing to make our cities ‘resilient’ by thwarting the engine of resilience: human creativity and initiative.

<http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/gw/Agenda21Facts.pdf> and
<http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/gw/AustralianGovernmentSupportsAgenda21.pdf>

The International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives (ICLEI) is a UN body headquartered in Bonn, Germany with offices in Melbourne directing local government implementation of UN Agenda 21 across our country. It reports progress back to the UN Council for Sustainable Development (UN CSD) ‘head office’. The UN bureaucrats pushing global control accelerate UN Agenda 21 using its third leg - climate alarm - designed to take control of this issue beyond national borders, thereby implementing unelected global governance. Some local council members admit their council agenda: “*we were aware that we were having an impact but we never thought*

of a direct relationship between local government and the UN which is an organization of countries.....What we have found since Rio, the Earth Summit, is that so many of the agenda items in Agenda 21 actually cannot ever be implemented without local governments and communities taking action. So that is what we are about today making sure this agreement among nations actually will get implemented after all the rhetoric is spent.” Many councilors seem unaware of the bigger agenda in which they’re UN pawns.

<http://oceania.iclei.org> and
http://oceania.iclei.org/fileadmin/user_upload/Oceania/Documents/How_ICLEI_was_created_-_Joan_Veon.pdf

The UN already controls much of our coastal zones directly through UN World Heritage Areas or indirectly through parks and reserves legislated by state or federal governments implementing UN controls. Councils are being forced by state legislation on coastal development, for example, to implement UN Agenda 21 often unwittingly stealing private property rights. Appendix 14 of my report entitled *CSIROh!* (Appendix 14 <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>) provides an overview with links to Henry Lamb’s excellent videos and book showing the coordinated approach by the UN and linked agencies including WWF, America’s EPA and the privately controlled World Bank and International Monetary Fund (IMF). Professor Antony Sutton’s works present material from archives proving involvement by major international bankers who own America’s Federal Reserve Bank. (See Antony Sutton’s works below) The international bankers seek to gain trillions of dollars in almost risk-free profits via schemes such as the ALP-Greens CO₂ tax and ‘trading’ scheme supported by former head of Goldman Sachs in Australia and now Liberal MP Malcolm Turnbull.

The Hoover Institute’s Prof. Antony Sutton’s books including *Wall Street and the Bolshevik Revolution*, *Wall Street and the Rise of Hitler*, *Wall Street and FDR*

A major driving force behind the failed League of Nations that later spawned the United Nations was America’s Colonel Edward Mandel House who served major international bankers – most notably the Rockefellers and Rothschilds – to enact the privately-owned USA Fed and subsequently federal income tax whose constitutional validity remains dubious. Maurice Strong enlisted former Soviet communist boss Mikhail Gorbachev to push UN Agenda 21 along with Fabian socialists in senior levels of many governments. He used attractive words like ‘sustainability’ and ‘biodiversity’ to camouflage Agenda 21 as a supposed environmental program by preying on humanity’s innate care for the environment. The reality is that the UN has enlisted ruthless, brutal murderous tyrants and dictators to impose its will on people.

http://www.galileomovement.com.au/australia_democracy.php

Texas’ Post-Sustainability Institute opposing UN Agenda 21 says: “(The Agenda 21) the plan calls for governments to take control of all land use and not leave any of the decision making in the hands of private property owners ... (Agenda 21) is a whole life plan ... It involves the educational system, the energy market, the transportation system, the governmental system, the health care system, food production, and more. The plan is to restrict your choices, limit your funds, narrow your freedoms, and take away your voice” following UN instructions through the public service pushed by federal funding. Fortunately, American state Alabama has banned UN Agenda 21 from being implemented. Other states including Texas, Missouri, Tennessee, Arizona, Maine, New Hampshire, Kansas and Oklahoma are active in the process of legislating similar bans. Local city and county

governments across America are awakening to UN Agenda 21 and banning it. Alabama's citizens drove the ban through their House of Representatives, Senate and Governor while facing the loss of \$150 million dollars in annual subsidies from the federal government that pushes UN Agenda 21 implementation despite UN Agenda 21 never passing America's congress. The people of Alabama recently revised their constitution to prevent any implementation of foreign "law" to enable enforcement provisions against those implementing UN Agenda 21 and to maintain the authority of American and Alabama constitutional provisions.

Christiana Figueres, UN FCCC Executive Secretary, oversees the UN IPCC operation aiming to convince nations that the '*threat of global warming*' can only be solved using unelected global governance. On February 5th 2015 she confirmed publicly (URL links below) to sympathetic audiences that the UN IPCC's agenda is global governance by gradually changing the world's economic model (to socialism) and confirmed her belief that communism is needed. The UN FCCC's latest draft Paris climate 'agreement' uses 1750 as the base year for CO₂ output: by doing so is it suggesting that 24 million people now living on the Australian continent should return to the Stone Age civilisation that existed across Australia in 1750? (UN FCCC draft agreement, URL below) UN Agenda 21 is an extension of, and worse than, the failing European Union's socialist model. My *CSIROh!* report's Appendix 12 documents the deceit and lies by ALP-Greens politicians Kevin Rudd, Julia Gillard, Penny Wong, Greg Combet, Christine Milne and others pushing this agenda.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=W8TtafXtiwc

45seconds: *I am very convinced that society as a whole, global society, is moving to the point where we are going to need more and more global governance muscle.....*

2min 47: *Climate change is only the first of the major, major planetary challenges that we are being given, almost as a playground.....to go into that playground and exercise our global governance capacity*

<http://www.unric.org/en/latest-un-buzz/29623-figueres-first-time-the-world-economy-is-transformed-intentionally> and <http://www.truthrevolt.org/news/un-climate-chief-were-intentionally-transforming-world-economy> and discussing global governance and climate change laying the template for global governance

<http://dailycaller.com/2014/01/15/un-climate-chief-communism-is-best-to-fight-global-warming/>

UN FCCC draft Paris agreement <http://wattsupwiththat.com/2015/02/18/the-un-climate-end-game/>

(XX32e) <http://www.unric.org/en/latest-un-buzz/29623-figueres-first-time-the-world-economy-is-transformed-intentionally> and <http://www.truthrevolt.org/news/un-climate-chief-were-intentionally-transforming-world-economy>

Before Kevin Rudd's national political prominence in 2007 the then Prime Minister John Howard spoke as a sceptic albeit with care to tiptoe the political line. When Kevin Rudd used climate to steal a lead in polls before the 2007 election he was quickly joined by then Prime Minister John Howard wanting to address climate and behaving as a socialist. After losing his prime ministership, John Howard's writing supported the work of climate sceptics. Subsequently, Liberal Opposition Leader Malcolm Turnbull vigorously pushed a CO₂ trading scheme without ever presenting empirical scientific evidence of cause by human CO₂. That is despite Mr. Turnbull being a barrister and presumably understanding the need for evidence. Could that be because Mr. Turnbull had previously been head of Goldman Sachs bank that seeks to gain trillions of dollars in profits from CO₂ '*trading*'. Current Prime Minister Tony Abbott became Opposition Leader by opposing Malcolm Turnbull's CO₂ '*trading*' scheme and has correctly stated that climate alarm is based on "*crap*" science. I have been personally and reliably

advised by senior Liberal and Coalition Members of Parliament (MPs) and officers that Tony Abbott remains strongly sceptical of humans causing climate variability, yet like John Howard, is trying to unite the party while hiding his real views on climate due to fear of a backlash from prominent journalists. His awkwardness is likely compounded by Malcolm Turnbull's unscientific position and influence within the Liberal party. Nonetheless, Tony Abbott's fear of the media and his timidity bely the tag he was given years ago of being the "*hard-man of conservative politics*". In essence, we are being governed by journalists with narrow uninformed views of our world, science and of nature. Until recently, climate alarm was entrenched politically due to a combination of political deceit and financial self-interest by ALP-Greens MPs and by weakness and ignorance by Liberal MPs with a possibility of some Liberals driven by conflicts of interest. Fortunately, thanks to nature and the work of thousands of sceptics worldwide this situation is changing

Appendix 12, pages 42-44 <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>

Nonetheless, we live now with John Howard's disastrous legacy on climate because he did not expose Kevin Rudd's misrepresentations and in acts of political expediency based on poor advice became the first Australian politician to include a CO₂ '*trading*' scheme in party policy. Appendix 12 shows Liberal Prime Minister John Howard implemented UN Agenda 21 by stealing farmers' private property rights without compensation through complicity with ALP state premier Peter Beattie and NSW state environment Minister Bob Carr. (Removing private property rights is a core aim of UN Agenda 21.) John Howard's government introduced the Renewable Energy Target that artificially raises energy costs destroying Australian prosperity, industry, exports and jobs. **Now in retirement John Howard reconciles his 2007 inconsistencies by saying he has always been agnostic on climate implicitly because of a lack of evidence yet despite that lack of evidence he is responsible for policies destroying Australia's economy. Similar campaigns are devastating European industry and employment.**

Appendix 12 <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>

Appendix 12, pages 42-44 <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>

Labor-Greens MPs have used the tactic of stifling debate by labeling sceptics as '*deniers*' with an implied association of being '*holocaust deniers*' and by implying ridicule through falsely claiming opponents as '*conspiracy theorists*'. Increasingly, the term '*conspiracy theory*' is used predominantly by people avoiding debate and implicitly smearing those whose empirical evidence they fear. When advocates of unfounded climate alarm fail to counter sceptics' evidence some dishonestly seek to denigrate sceptics. The term '*conspiracy theory*' now flags people lacking hard data and smearing or implying ridicule toward others as a way of avoiding the need to present scientific evidence. Fortunately, though, the public is awakening to the fact that use of such derogatory terms is really a way of diverting from a lack of empirical scientific evidence.

Various members of Australia's parliament and America's Congress have admitted to supporting the global governance agenda. For example, ALP MP Tanya Plibersek discusses and implicitly supports global governance: "Australia is taking its place in a world that is changing all the time. We see changing power-relations between the great powers. What is Australia's future in the New World Order? Joshua Kurlantzick Senior Fellow on the **Council of Foreign Relations**, said this, on the 5th February: *Is Tony Abbott the most incompetent leader of the any industrialised democracy?* He answered: *Abbott's policies are all over the map, the lack of coherence has often made the Prime Minister seem ill-informed and incapable of understanding complex policy*

issues.”

Listen to Tanya Plibersek at the 1:48 minutes mark into this video

http://parlview.aph.gov.au/mediaPlayer.php?videoID=251242&operation_mode=parlive

Agenda 21 and climate alarm are unwittingly pushed by genuine well-intended local councilors and environmental groups who mean well but are duped by federal politicians, public servants and global organisations such as Greenpeace and WWF linked to the UN IPCC and Maurice Strong. As Environment Minister under John Howard, Robert Hill was involved in reporting to the United Nations Commission on Sustainable Development overseeing implementation of UN Agenda 21. In 1996 (<http://www.environment.gov.au/archive/commitments/uncsd/publications/csd1996/about.html>) The final report was approved by the following Ministers: the Minister for the Environment, Senator the Hon Robert Hill; the Minister for Foreign Affairs, the Hon Alexander Downer MP; the Minister for Primary Industries and Energy, the Hon John Anderson MP; and the Minister for Resources and Energy, Senator the Hon Warwick Parer. On 17th July 2001 Senator Robert Hill as Howard government Leader in the Senate and Environment Minister advised: “*There is currently Local Agenda 21 activity in every State and Territory in Australia. A recent survey by the International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives Australia/New Zealand identified 97 Councils in Australia which are engaged in LA21 programs....."In South Australia over 50 per cent of Councils are undertaking an LA21 program with their communities.*” After leaving parliament he continues pushing unelected UN global governance. (<http://www.kellehers.com.au/latest-news/global-oceans-governance-and-the-high-seas/>)

Robert Hill’s successor as Environment Minister Greg Hunt has been publicly identified as personally active in implementing UN Agenda 21 programs and promoting UN Agenda 21 yet when held accountable denies detailed knowledge of this globally most powerful and influential campaign camouflaged as an ‘*environmental*’ program. The public though has never been advised of the expenditure of hundreds of millions of dollars implementing UN global governance and subverting our national sovereignty and governance. In early 2015 Greg Hunt reported to UNESCO World Heritage Committee that issues orders to Australia based on its threats and unfounded alarms, contrary to science. (<http://www.theaustralian.com.au/national-affairs/greg-hunt-on-offensive-with-great-barrier-reef-ban/story-fn59niix-1227195205933>)

Australian public servants and MPs have signed over 7,000 UN treaties and amendments.

(http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/gw/SignatoriesTo_IGAE_AcknowledgeConstitutionIsOutdated.pdf and Appendix 14

<http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>) Some treaties automatically agree to future amendments made by the UN, influenced as it is by a large number of small nations including the likes of Mali, Nigeria, Yemen, ... that show little regard for people or our natural environment. Meanwhile North Korea, Iran and Libya were appointed to the UN Human Rights Council and economic basket case Zimbabwe was the former boss of the UN Commission on Sustainable Development overseeing Australia’s UN Agenda 21 compliance. (provided by Case Smit) UN bureaucrats buy the votes of such nations by promising to pay them billions of dollars in ‘reparations’ for climate variability falsely claimed to be caused by developed nations. Graham Williamson cites Vreeland “*Critics of the UN point to its endemic corruption, its*

domination by totalitarian governments, and its lack of positive accomplishments. ...The UN was constituted, right from its inception, to subordinate the interests of any one nation to the will of the majority of nations.”

<http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/gw/RightQuestionClimateChange.pdf>

Greg Hunt repeatedly ignores the scientific evidence and the documented extensive misrepresentations while endorsing a dishonest body pushing a stealthy agenda not discussed with the Australian people

Key steps in the UN’s campaign misrepresented natural climate variability to fabricate alarm and conjure a problem include:

- Creating perceived credibility for pseudo-science;
- Spreading false information and fomenting unfounded fear through co-opted academics, government agencies and university departments reliant on government funding;
- Feeding unscientific and politically sympathetic journalists and funding non-government organisations over four decades to create massive political pressure and public fear; while,
- Smearing sceptics to stifle dissent to create a perception among voters of a mythical ‘*consensus*’; and,
- Aligning vested interests by ensuring easy supplies of cash directly and indirectly through taxes, subsidies and grants.

Thee and other UN tactics over four decades to conjure a climate ‘*problem*’ are similar to tactics used successfully over decades leading to America’s 1913 legislation establishing the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank. The US Fed now monopolises control of America’s money supply and through control of the US Dollar as default global currency, manipulates the global economy. Other tactics shared with the UN include creating perceived academic credibility while spreading false information and fomenting unfounded fear through a very small core of co-opted academics, government agency staff, public servants, journalists and government media. On climate issues prominent ALP-Greens MPs using preference deals and vote-buying formed a cosy coalition and embraced and funded non-government organisations such as Greenpeace and WWF in fabricating imaginary catastrophes fomenting public fear generating political pressure and action. This was done while smearing sceptics to stifle dissent and aligning vested interests by ensuring easy supplies of cash directly and indirectly through tax deductibility, subsidies and grants. A small group of prominent ALP-Greens MPs falsified and misrepresented science to falsely label CO₂ as ‘*carbon pollution*’ while a small group of Liberal MPs assisted, often unwittingly it seems, with UN Agenda 21 and others engaged in political expediency because of fear of media backlash against sceptics.

Leaders focus people by modeling behaviours that drive people’s behaviours and since Kevin Rudd’s ascendancy as ALP leader in 2007 have caused extensive waste and damage. Vested interests such as alternative energy providers, complicit industry groups, academics, ... and many others received financial, political and/or career support. UN bureaucrats and complicit Australian MPs and public servants manipulated tangible and subtle intangible systems to drive people’s behaviour and thereby change attitudes toward CO₂. They misrepresented natural climate variability and preyed on public ignorance of science using taxpayer funding. They hijacked people’s care for the environment to demonise nature’s trace atmospheric *gas* essential for life on earth by misrepresenting it as a *solid* black pollutant. Taxpayers’

funds are being used against taxpayers to destroy our industry, jobs, economy, governance and sovereignty by giving money to people advocating cutting human CO₂ and endorsing scientific and/or illegal corruption despite their stance hurting humanity and our precious natural environment. Waste and misappropriation of taxpayer funds totals billions of dollars.

The respected Australian business leader Maurice Newman, Chairman of the Australian Prime Minister's Business Advisory Council says of the UN: "*The climate change movement is rooted in power, money and emotion — not science. It is indecently obsessive and authoritarian*".

(<http://www.theaustralian.com.au/opinion/alarmist-heat-as-poor-die-of-cold/story-e6frg6zo-1227209613566>) It's objective is unelected control. It's clear that the UN IPCC and a small group of academics, agency employees and politicians are not simply making false and unscientific claims based on unvalidated computerised numerical models, they're deliberately and repeatedly contradicting empirical scientific evidence to misrepresent climate and science for political purposes and to control energy, finances and people – and getting richer on taxpayer funding for doing so. My experience complements the reality that at its core climate alarm is not a scientific issue it is fundamentally political and behavioural.

The solution is to reduce government's control and influence because free elections with in Australia can still produce remarkably corrupt and incompetent governments such as the Rudd-Gillard-Greens government that created huge debt and subverted science and our constitution in barely two years with lasting effects entrenched by Liberal-National coalitions lacking the underlying understanding or lacking the will to do due diligence. The people pay the price.

The analysis of behaviours used to spread politically-driven unfounded climate alarm reveals many integrated and interwoven core factors. Including:

- Use by the UN of taxpayer funds to drive behaviours and reward accomplices;
- Use of tangible, direct systems and subtle intangible, indirect systems to control perceptions and drive behaviours that then change people's attitudes;
- Hijacking key MPs and bureaucrats to implement UN Agenda 21 while parliament unconsciously loses its way bogged in a morass of minutiae instead of governance;
- Preying on the Human Condition to manipulate people; and,
- Use of propaganda and many other direct forms of manipulating people and subtle ways such as co-opting language and changing meanings of words and terms.

Examples of specific behaviours and tactics

Specific tactics and behaviours include:

- Informally controlling academia essential through co-opting a small band of malleable academics to give the UN's claims false credibility, take control of discussion in key universities, stifle dissent, lead the media campaign to gain widespread coverage, falsely fabricate the perception of a large movement through a fabricated 'consensus', false 'claims' of peer-reviewed science and appeals to authority instead of providing evidence. That created a reluctance of people to disagree publicly and express dissenting evidence or opinion. That lack of opposition, and especially the lack of media publicity for opposing views reinforced the perception of consensus. The result was a cleverly concocted perception of a 'moral majority' supporting the UN's claims when it is really a very small minority combined with people unwittingly advocating alarm;
- Then set the political agenda. Many politicians are scientifically and technically illiterate and seemingly afraid to risk perceived embarrassment by asking questions. They're afraid of speaking out and being branded anti-environment. Silence though is consent. Both major parties are controlled by powerbrokers that stifle public debate and groupthink can pervade, particularly in the ALP and Greens where dissent is stifled;
- Entwining politicians internationally. eg, before becoming USA President, Barack Obama was reportedly a director of the Joyce Foundation laundering donations to socialist groups and through the Foundation was associated with Maurice Strong – the prime fabricator of unfounded politically-motivated climate alarm and the Chicago Climate Exchange (CCX) that Maurice Strong directed. The Joyce Foundation reportedly gave Goldman Sachs a \$10 million gift to join the CCX where Al Gore's company, Generation Investment Management, was reportedly one of the largest members;
- President Obama recently claimed a high profile climate agreement with China that captured headlines on the eve of the 2014 G20 conference and pressure the host Australian Prime Minister Tony Abbott. Yet the reality of his '*landmark agreement*' with China is that China made no changes and President Obama '*committed*' his country to industrial and economic destruction, albeit knowing that his move is hollow since it will not be endorsed by Congress. Later he tried to make a deal with India to cut CO₂ output that resulted in India ridiculing the President by doubling its coal production goals. Now President Obama's administration is advising the Pope for the latter's 2015 mid-year encyclical and pressuring other governments directly and indirectly through media propaganda in the lead up to this year's Paris Conference where the UN desperately wants to enact a global climate treaty as another step to global governance and control of energy. Yet President Obama's agencies have no empirical scientific evidence of human causation of climate variability and his climate adviser, John Holdren, continues contradicting empirical scientific evidence and fomenting unfounded and false alarm;
- In November, 2012 Bank of Italy governor Ignazio Visco told reporters: "The World Bank has gone back to being in charge of climate change," "For a certain period ..., it had stopped";
- This issue illustrates the bigger picture in which a few party powerbrokers from each of the main parties ensure the public perceives a debate about what to do about human CO₂ rather than the primary debate about whether anything need be done about CO₂. Former American Presidential candidate Dennis Kucinich (http://www.lewrockwell.com/2014/12/no_author/kangaroo-congress/) exposes derailment of congress with similar tactics responsible for legislating the privately-owned USA Federal Reserve bank in 1913. In an understandable contradiction and irony few members within parliament or congress seem able to 'see' such tactics from within. French philosopher Simone Weil succinctly explains that political parties are driven to grow the

party using tactics inherently opposed to the good of society. (Simone Weil trans Simon Leys *On the Abolition of All Political Parties*)

- Members of parliament and Congress are kept swamped with detailed legislation on many topics and lack the time and resources to check the details of legislation.
- Fabricating an absurd and scientifically dismissed greenhouse effect to target efficient high-energy-density hydrocarbon fuels containing carbon (C) and hydrogen (H) as the basis for controlling and taxing energy. When such fuels are burned in power stations and vehicles for personal mobility and transport of food and essentials they produce CO₂ and H₂O (water). It's difficult to demonise H₂O, water that is plainly abundant all around us and known to be harmless. Because CO₂ is invisible in earth's atmosphere it is easier to demonise it by falsely labelling it '*carbon pollution*' and showing pictures of billowing water vapour while implying CO₂ is abundant despite being minuscule in earth's air and essential to life. In that way fuels that are essential to modern life and livelihood and essential for lifting billions of people from poverty can be used to control energy and raise taxes. Ironically, water vapour absorbs far more longwave radiation than does CO₂ yet in the open atmosphere like CO₂ cools earth's surface. The UN IPCC reversed reality to conjure an unavoidable tax on energy, an indispensable part of our lives;
- Misrepresenting climate, science and nature and then falsely claiming it as science. Actively destroying science and substituting appeals to authority, opinion and false claims of consensus:
 - Co-opting science agencies and presenting unqualified academics as climate scientists. A small group in each key government science agency controls communication outlets. Some of these scientists are dishonest, some simply naively toe the line to receive government grants;
 - ALP-Greens MPs have intentionally misled Australians by corruptly misrepresenting science and nature through distortions such as '*carbon pollution*' and labelling normal weather events as evidence of unusual climate change attributed to human CO₂, the basis for their unscientific tax;
 - Hiding from genuine public debate. Some of these academics have been challenged by radio stations and by me to debate. All declined. As did Greens senator Melissa Waters. I'd welcome a debate on the empirical scientific evidence and corruption of climate science with someone who advocates cutting human CO₂;
 - Narrow specialisation of scientists has led to some being easily misled by assuming and then endorsing climate alarm;
 - Use of many unscientific tactics to give the appearance of being scientific. Yet science is not determined by Greg Hunt's oft-stated "belief", nor by claims of consensus on opinions or beliefs or religious faith, nor by appeals to authority citing proclaimed experts, nor by implicit or explicit opinion, nor by inference of having evidence, nor by unsubstantiated claims, nor by scary projections based on unvalidated computerised numerical models proven erroneous, nor by labelling and name-calling, nor by diversions onto scientists' occupations and ad hominem smearing to discredit those who disagree, nor by lies and false statements regardless of their clever repetition, nor censorship of facts, nor by suppressing discussion, nor by preventing or refusing debate that is a cornerstone of science, nor by use of glossy emotional pictures, cartoons or stories, nor by implied unvalidated claims, nor by corrupt tampering with data, excluding contrary data, omissions of data, cherry-picking selective data out of context, and misrepresentations of data and events. These are not evidence of human causation of climate variability. These are commonly and revealingly used by people lacking empirical proof of causation. These tactics are used by a small group of media-savvy,

- politically-driven academics and agency staff funded by the previous ALP-Greens government to shape public perceptions of science;
- Falsely implying or stating misrepresentations of real scientists who disagree by claiming they are peddling ‘old science’ or are tainted by ‘big oil’ money or are dishonest. Yet the only significant money involved is from ‘big government’ because corporate executives have been afraid of being seen to support sceptics;
 - Governments manufacturing pseudo-academic bodies such as the Climate Commission which failed in science yet succeeded in splashing alarming headlines pushing climate alarm across media networks;
 - Preying on the general population’s ignorance of CO₂ and of natural life and weather events and weather cycles. David Karoly, Will Steffen, Tim Flannery, Christine Milne and Bob Brown use nature’s cyclones, droughts and floods and other natural events to incite fear by falsely claiming these will be common in the future. Concerted, consistent misrepresentations contradict empirical scientific evidence;
 - Preying on people’s ignorance of variation and falsely claiming inherent and cyclical variation as process change. This ignorance is rife among scientists, including sceptics. Inherent natural variation is presented - sometimes deliberately - as process change blamed on human CO₂ to foment unfounded fear;
 - Ignorance of science and corruption, twisting and reversing of science combine to bypass empirical scientific evidence by using appeals to authority and consensus, use of false terms such as ‘carbon pollution’, disparaging those who are sceptics and use of fear;
 - Misrepresenting CO₂ as ‘carbon pollution’ and fabricating perceptions of it being a large part of the atmosphere by showing steam from power stations and implying it is CO₂ or showing it at sunset giving the appearance of dark, dirty ‘pollution’;
 - Use of government funded propaganda dressed as science and use of paid mass media. I’ve dealt with stupid and dishonest behaviour from journalists employed by companies such as The Guardian, Fairfax Media and our taxpayer-funded ABC making money or ratings from pushing climate alarm smearing people and contradicting empirical scientific evidence. Some are incompetent, others dishonest;
 - The taxpayer-funded ABC has been quantified as biased and misrepresenting climate and science. (See Appendices 13 and 13a-g <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>). It spread BOM misrepresentations with impunity by stating it is not the ABC’s responsibility to check accuracy. It misrepresented CO₂ as steam or water vapour and continued to do so after admitting the error. ABC programs have contradicted empirical scientific evidence explicitly, implicitly and by omission. ABC comperes and commentators such as Wendy Carlisle have told lies when broadcasting climate programs and the ABC has worked with prominent academic advocates of alarm misrepresenting climate while suppressing or excluding sceptic scientists. The ABC’s handling of documented complaints submitted by a wide variety of people including me indicate ABC management is not interested in investigating complaints into climate reporting. As ABC Chairman, Maurice Newman spoke out about ABC groupthink and subsequently stated: “a small but powerful group has captured the corporation, at least on climate change”. The ABC has prevented debate on the topic in Australia. The BBC has excluded sceptics and prominent staff have conspired to exclude sceptics. The ABC has never provided specific empirical scientific evidence of human CO₂ causing climate variability and has repeatedly contradicted empirical scientific evidence on climate. Thousands of scientists opposing climate alarm have been rarely heard and at times the ABC attempted

- to silence or misrepresent their evidence. The ABC fails to report key news items on climate such as BOM/NASA corrupting temperature data;
- Scientists have been hit with SLAPP suits funded by non-government organisations with hundreds of dollars in annual income and benefitting from tax-free status and government funding. Pacific-Hydro windfarm company – owned by the union movement’s superannuation fund and with a guaranteed income from government thanks to its former director Greg Combet as ALP-Greens Minister for Climate Change – initiated action against one of our volunteers, a single mother. We stood up and used facts. They dropped their case. Intimidation failed with us yet succeeds with many people;
 - The GetUp! propaganda organisation is funded by George Soros and politicised unions such as the CFMEU who put politics ahead of truth, their members’ interests and the national interest;
 - Telling lies – Al Gore told many blatant lies advocating to national governments for CO₂ ‘trading’ despite being a major beneficiary of CO₂ ‘trading’. His Hollywood movie *An Inconvenient truth* reversed the truth and was declared by the British High Court to be a political work containing many significant factual errors and not scientific. (Appendix 3) Three independent and objective quantified numerical assessments expose his movie as dishonest emotive propaganda orchestrating deception using Hollywood tricks. It drove fear and guilt, ingrained false public perceptions, legitimised the UN IPCC, created political pressure and by smearing and falsely accusing sceptics prevented debate and dissent by dressing fabricated global warming as an environmental movement and dissenters as anti-environment. Al Gore has a startling conflict of interest as part-owner of the Chicago Climate Exchange ‘trading’ CO₂ credits while advocating governments adopt the UN’s CO₂ trading;
 - Political leaders lying and other members of parliament being ignorant or weak. At various times and particularly 2009/2010 I have written to every then federal MP, often by Registered Post with Delivery Confirmation. All have failed to provide any empirical scientific evidence for the claim of human causation. Some such as government Ministers Greg Combet and Penny Wong lied in public statements and the latter’s statements misled her party. Some MPs agreed with me that CO₂ is not causing global warming climate change and are frustrated that the government-funded ABC suppresses their views;
 - Excluding dissenters and preventing debate
 - Establishing websites to discredit sceptics. Reportedly, DesSmogblog was set up and is funded by the scientifically unqualified public-relations man John Hoggan. He owns one of the largest PR companies in Canada but more importantly has been the Chair of the David Suzuki Foundation for over 14 years. You can read how proud Hoggan is of his creation in a book titled *Climate Cover-Up*. Wikipedia’s climate moderator, William Connolly, was found to be biased and was dismissed after establishing Wikipedia entries on climate and other topics with comments misrepresenting science. He is, together with NASA-GISS’s James Hansen and academics involved in the Climategate scandal attempting to hide the decline in global temperatures and is a co-founder of RealClimate.org the website notorious for misrepresenting climate and nature. (<http://sppiblog.org/news/the-truth-about-desmogblog-and-sourchwatch> and <http://wattsupwiththat.com/2009/12/19/wikibullies-at-work-the-national-post-exposes-broad-trust-issues-over-wikipedia-climate-information/#more->

[14314http://article.nationalreview.com/?q=NjU1ZDBhOGExOWRINzc5ZDcwOTUxZWU2Mjc5MGE=\)](http://article.nationalreview.com/?q=NjU1ZDBhOGExOWRINzc5ZDcwOTUxZWU2Mjc5MGE=)

- Extremist nongovernment organisations such as WWF and Greenpeace disrespecting nature while pushing anti-environment and antihuman policies. These are unelected bodies deliberately and fraudulently coercing economic destruction and killing Australia's future;
- Preying on the Human Condition in members of parliament and the population at large by preying on weakness, ignorance and people's desire for belonging. What I've seen is that many people in business, politics and relationships are often plagued by sub-conscious fears and guilt that can be released and removed and people freed by understanding the Human Condition and the Laws of nature. By giving people knowledge and understanding of climate science and corruption we can provide people across Australia with reassurance and ease of mind because the UN's political campaign is designed to appeal to people's need for belonging by creating the false perception of a massive majority of scientists agreeing with climate alarm;
- As humans we can be prone to believing what we've been conditioned to believe and what we want to believe – especially when conditioned to do so over many years or decades and particularly by influential people. History and culture show humans are vulnerable to contradicting basic science and rational thinking. Generations have developed under the delusion that humans are irresponsible environmental vandals despite history showing that once people become aware of environmental issues we take responsibility and today people anticipate and proactively prevent environmental damage;
- After then Prime Minister Julia Gillard and federal Treasurer Wayne Swan promised to not implement a CO₂ tax they broke their promise in 2012 and told lies about saving the planet in their attempt to buy government by buying a coalition with the Greens;
- After falsely claiming an overwhelming consensus among scientists and members of his party, Kevin Rudd later admitted there were many sceptics in the ALP government – yet none spoke out in public. We know the identities of some yet they didn't and don't speak out. As ministers some presided over Rudd-Gillard-Milne policies in conflict with their ministerial responsibilities yet failed to do their duty on behalf of electors;
- Pressuring prominent and once-strong journalists such as Andrew Bolt sceptical of human causation into abandoning colleagues and disowning colleagues because of lies and smears about the journalist and colleagues. See Appendix 13, pages 30-40 discussing Andrew Bolt's reaction to smears and lies by Fairfax Media journalists <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>;
- Co-opting language to cajole support for climate alarm by falsely framing it as an '*environmental*' issue and preventing dissent by labelling dissenters as deniers, "anti-Semitic", ... As an example language was mangled to create a common villain using terms such as '*fossil fuels*' producing '*carbon pollution*' instead of using the accurate classification as high-energy-density *hydrocarbon* fuels producing beneficial CO₂ and H₂O (water);
- The herd mentality takes over rational reasoning. It pervades boards, parliaments, and scientific agencies – and is rife when people are driven by the fear of being left out or rejected by expressing dissent;
- Some corporate and business executives seem afraid of speaking out while others supporting government policy hurting industry and are rewarded by appointments to key government positions. The BHP-Billiton board was reportedly afraid in 2013 and 2014 of climate

activist Ian Dunlop being elected by shareholders as a director and seemingly to counter his campaign the board endorsed the UN IPCC's reports on climate 'science'. Richard Le Blanc shows boards and large companies are all-too-often led by people not willing to speak up. Did BHP fear, for example being penalised by government, or is it afraid of the publicity of being painted and perceived as anti-environment? Regardless, the result is that one of the world's largest coal producers and exporters has endorsed the UN IPCC reports that contain no specific empirical scientific evidence of human causation of climate variability. In essence, it seems Ian Dunlop is deciding BHP-Billiton board policy. Such behaviours convert executives and directors from being leaders into meek followers. By the way, despite Ian Dunlop's personal commitment on 18 November, 2009 to send me evidence of human causation in the five years since he has repeatedly failed to do so;

- Business organisations being co-opted to push government's agenda. Despite the fact that adding a CO₂ tax would add uncertainty to business, Heather Ridout as Chief Executive Officer of the Australian Industry Group advocated for the Gillard-Milne government's CO₂ tax on the basis it would reduce uncertainty. Soon after she was appointed by Julia Gillard as a Reserve Bank of Australia director
- Instead of empirical scientific evidence, celebrities are employed by government to sway or hijack public opinion;
- Telling lies – in my own experience Ben Cubby and Mike Carlton implied and told lies about me and about The Galileo Movement in a campaign that had the hallmarks of a concerted newspaper and social media campaign to silence The Galileo Movement and me. Labelling people is not empirical scientific evidence;
- Prominent parliamentary members of the ALP and Greens have told blatant lies about climate;
- Use of emotions that suspend rational thought, especially fear and guilt – as shown by Al Gore's movie, politicians' speeches, extremists' cries of doom, and UN IPCC predictions in Summaries for Policy Makers that contradict empirical scientific evidence and contradict the UN IPCC's 'science' reports. Fabricating calamities drives fear that causes people to bypass rational thought and frightens them into action;
- The number of lies, misreporting and the use of fear and guilt, increases in the months leading to UN climate conference. eg, the false claim that 2014 is the warmest year began in early 2014 and sets the stage for the UN's 2015 Paris conference;
- Indoctrinating school children by including Al Gore's movie *An Inconvenient Truth* in school curriculum and repeatedly showing the movie to children as they progress through the grades. This has developed a belief pervading schools and some journalists that humans are inherently greedy, irresponsible, uncaring. This followed decades of propaganda by the Club of Rome – affiliated with the UN and global governance agencies - and its sponsored authors and has developed into anti-human sentiments. Under this onslaught of political propaganda and indoctrination via schools people become believers without critical thinking or consideration of facts. When pushed for evidence many dig in rather than confront their error. Inadvertently they support anti-human and anti-environment actions yet seem unable to do their due diligence and think for themselves – another casualty of 'modern' education;
- Politicians, journalists and academics making unfounded statements and who lack the courage and integrity to admit error subsequently dig in to protect ego;

- Subtle yet powerful ways to suppress thought and prevent challenges to authority positions are used in groups as shown by my experience on boards and with boards, and with federal MPs beholden to parties, and especially in unions where subtle intimidation was rife in manipulating members;
- These and many tactics have been used by Maurice Strong to trap and push the UN along his path;
- A small band of academics, agency employees and MPs deliberately misleading the people to fabricate a tax on CO₂. Others are not doing their due diligence yet jump onto a perceived environmental bandwagon. Many are not consulting the empirical scientific evidence and simply seek acceptance and ease;
- Align vested political, financial and academic interests. Beneficiaries of climate alarm include major international banks, UN organisations, prominent politicians in Australia's federal ALP-Greens government from 2007-2013, individual MPs, recipients of taxpayer funds, nongovernment organisations such as Greenpeace and WWF, government funded networks and commissions and boards, ...
 - The UN's Kyoto Protocol pushed global trading in carbon dioxide credits to benefit international bankers enabling them to fabricate windfall profits out of thin air from CO₂ 'trading' and the lure of trillions of dollars in easy profits without risk drove banks to push CO₂ 'trading';
 - UN bureaucrats seek 10% of national CO₂ taxes as a source of revenue for their unelected global governance bureaucracy;
- Politicians and some sceptic scientists who initially assumed parts of the greenhouse effect are real have been afraid of admitting their error or even exploring their error. They then vigorously protect their ego by reinforcing unscientific claims. Many are simply ignorant or meekly silent. What I've seen is that many people in business politics and relationships are often plagued by subconscious fears and guilt that can be released and removed and people freed by understanding the Human Condition and the laws of nature. Instead:
 - Kevin Rudd used unfounded climate alarm to set the agenda to become Prime Minister. John Howard responded to remain PM. Julia Gillard introduced a CO₂ tax to buy coalition with the Greens to remain PM. Commercial interests gain from massive government subsidies for unaffordable wind and solar power;
 - The ALP-Greens CO₂ tax and 'trading' scheme was passed using votes from 'independents' Rob Oakeshott and Tony Windsor despite both having extensive contrary empirical scientific evidence and documentation of corruption of climate science. (Appendix 12, pages 45-47 <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html>) Their electorates received millions of dollars of taxpayers' funds from the Gillard-Milne government desperate to pass the tax with both MPs overriding our national interest for personal electoral kudos;
 - Tying government grants to supportive outcomes for government;
 - Use of non-government organisations such as WWF, Greenpeace and GreenCross dependent on government funding and tax deductions;
 - Many federal MPs appear genuinely not aware of UN Agenda 21 and seem to be supporting UN Agenda 21 initiatives naively thinking they're supporting sustainability, biodiversity and protection of our planet's climate when in reality they are supporting subsidies for unsustainable initiatives that hurt the environment and destroy national sovereignty and governance by implementing unscientific UN rules, regulations and restrictions. Similarly a majority of local government councillors appear to think they're doing the 'right thing' environmentally by implementing 'sustainability' regulations without question;

- Due to the Human Condition, many MPs appear afraid of rocking the boat and put needs for acceptance ahead of integrity. In doing so they become followers, not leaders;
- Manipulating systems to drive corrupt behaviours such as manipulating or omitting data and putting party goals before the national interest leads to changed behaviours that in turn change attitudes. Examples of systems driving behaviour and then changing attitudes include political parties, academic grants, CO₂ stickers/labels on cars and appliances, UN Agenda 21 campaigns, Coastal Protection Legislation, CSIRO's systems, BOM's systems, ABC, ...
- A central strategy is to bring everything under central control by placing it under central government making it easier to control instead of sovereign states. See Richard Court's booklet entitled *Rebuilding the Federation* (http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1401_RichardCourt.pdf)

We need to acknowledge honest and strong behaviour by past and present political representatives such as former Labor Queensland Treasurer Keith De Lacy, former Queensland LNP Environment Minister Andrew Powell, former LNP Infrastructure Minister Jeff Seeney who rightly used science to stop local councils stealing private property rights from coastal residents and business leaders such as former Chairman of the ABC Maurice Newman who stood up to ABC journalists bullying the public or captured by groupthink. These and a growing number of people have publicly exposed deficiencies in climate claims with Keith De Lacey writing publicly to express the stupidity of unscientific and ideological restrictions on mining, agriculture and fishing destroying his state's wealth and resources.

Facts reveal a very small core group of UN bureaucrats, academics, ALP-Greens politicians and public servants joined by a small group of mostly unwitting journalists and members of parliament failing to do their due diligence and joined by a mythical large group in support of the war on human CO₂. The small cabal bypassed the Westminster system of parliamentary governance and usurped our national constitution of competitive federalism to centralise and then entrap Australia in a tangled web of UN schemes and legislation costing us billions of dollars annually and choking industry to add billions more in inefficiencies. The tactics above reveal underlying desire among a small group of people to control and manipulate 'science' for political agenda and/or personal gain, rather than freely and openly discussing climate data and allowing people and MPs to make decisions based on the empirical evidence. The same tactics were used over a period of four decades 100 years ago by major European and American banks to fabricate a perceived economic 'catastrophe' and thereafter manipulate members of congress to create the privately-owned USA Federal Reserve Bank. Where they succeeded a century ago through deceit, today they're failing on their climate campaign because of widespread Internet communication and sharing of data and the strength of climate sceptics – and especially because of hard data refuting their claims contradicting empirical scientific evidence. Nature has undermined unfounded climate alarm.

A chain binding many advocates of climate alarm is taxpayer funding. Another chain is that they prey on ignorance and on people's care for the environment. Taxpayers are funding the destruction of their industry, jobs, governance and sovereignty and their wealth is being stolen fraudulently by people telling lies about climate or misrepresenting climate, science and humanity. People advocating cutting human CO₂ support and by their action endorse corruption and their stance hurts humanity and our precious natural environment and is destroying national sovereignty, governance and economic security and prosperity.

It's clear that the UN IPCC and a small group of academics, agency employees and politicians are not simply making false and unscientific claims based on unvalidated computerised numerical models, they're deliberately and repeatedly contradicting empirical scientific evidence to misrepresent climate and science for personal gain, and/or political purposes to control energy, finances and people. My experience complements the reality that at its core climate alarm is not a scientific issue it is fundamentally political and behavioural.

The solution is to reduce government's control and influence because free elections in Australia can produce governments such as the dishonest and corrupt Rudd-Gillard-Greens government that can create huge debts and subvert science and the constitution in a matter of two years with lasting effects entrenched by Liberal-National coalitions lacking the underlying understanding or will to face reality.

UN Agenda 21 is a greater threat to Australia than is terrorism and is the greatest threat to Australia's sovereignty since federation. It is being implemented by a small group of media-savvy and politically savvy people funded by taxpayers and encouraged by vested interests and largely unconscious journalists. Implementation is made easier by decades of centralising power and funds in Canberra, a clear contradiction of our constitution's intent to ensure competitive federalism through sovereign states.

(http://www.conscious.com.au/docs/new/1401_RichardCourt.pdf and Appendix 14 here <http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/CSIROh!.html> and http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/gw/SignatoriesTo_IGAE_AcknowledgeConstitutionIsOutdated.pdf AG21)

"The further a society drifts from truth the more it will hate those who speak it"
George Orwell

The most effective antidotes for hate, fear and guilt are love and forgiveness

"Forgiveness grows out of the wisdom of the heart and forgiveness is the heart of wisdom."
Michael J. Tamura

BEAT DECEIT

STOP INSANITY - RESTORE HUMANITY