

The free Man, Malcolm-Ieuan: Roberts.,  
acting as Mr MALCOLM IEUAN ROBERTS  
180 Haven Road  
Pullenvale QLD 4069

Saturday, October 01, 2011

The free Woman Suzaanne Cory.,  
acting as Professor SUZANNE CORY  
President  
Australian Academy of Science, AAS  
GPO Box 783  
Canberra ACT 2601

Dear Professor Cory:

**Re: Formal complaint about The Australian Academy of Science's role in misrepresenting climate and climate science**

Congratulations on your appointment as President of the Academy.

The purpose of this letter is to lodge a formal complaint and a request for you to independently investigate the conduct of Mr Martin Callinan, the Academy's Science Policy Manager and the Academy's role in promoting and advocating the supposition that human production of carbon dioxide, CO<sub>2</sub>, caused global warming.

Please refer to the accompanying copy of my letter today to Mr Martin Callinan. Mr Callinan's advice to me that the Academy's publication entitled '*The Science of Climate Change Questions and Answers*' provides specific scientific evidence proving the supposition is not accurate. His claim seems false. In the circumstances his advice seems irresponsible or negligent or possibly deliberately deceptive. I request withdrawal and correction of Mr Callinan's claim made in his capacity as Science Policy Manager. His claim was made apparently in response to communication from Mr Rob Oakeshott, MP.

As you will see from my letter to Mr Callinan, I requested him to provide specific scientifically measured real-world proof of his claim and of the Academy's booklet's implied claim of climate change due to human production of CO<sub>2</sub>. Mr Callinan promised to provide specific evidence as proof. He failed.

My complaint about the Academy's role in fomenting unfounded and unscientific support for the supposition that global warming could be attributed to human production of carbon dioxide, CO<sub>2</sub> has three components:

1. The Academy's unfounded and unjustified implied endorsement of the United Nations Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (UN IPCC) in contradiction of the damning report by the Inter Academy Council (IAC);
2. The Academy's booklet entitled '*The Science of Climate Change Questions and Answers*' that implies evidence proving human causation of supposedly dangerous global warming when no such proof exists;
3. The conduct of Academy past-President Kurt Lambeck and his role in possibly hiding from the public and parliamentarians the severe short-comings exposed by the IAC in the UN IPCC's processes and procedures.

Firstly, the Academy's booklet implies support for the Fourth Assessment Report (AR4) produced by the United Nations Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (UN IPCC) despite that body's processes and procedures being severely criticised by the Inter Academy Council's 2010 report on its review of UN IPCC processes and procedures that produced AR4.

Please refer to highly credible analysis and summary of the IAC's report presented in the public domain here <http://tome22.info/> and specifically here <http://tome22.info/IAC-Report/>.

The UN IPCC's AR4 has been enmeshed in scandal due to serious misrepresentations, deliberate unscientific manipulations and deceptions. Given the history of the UN IPCC and its predecessor, the United Nations Environmental Program (UNEP), it is not appropriate for a body such as the Academy to be endorsing the UN IPCC.

Accompanying this letter is a document summarising occurrences that corrupt climate science. It is entitled '*Exposing Corruption of Climate Science: Misrepresentations, Distortions, Omissions, Evasions, Myths and Lies*' and is available at [http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/freedom\\_exposing.pdf](http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/freedom_exposing.pdf). Please refer in particular to referenced documents produced by John McLean. His documents on the UN IPCC and its history cannot be sensibly refuted since he merely presents data obtained from the UN IPCC on its own UN IPCC processes and/or actions and statements from senior officials of UNEP and UN IPCC.

Has the Academy conducted any credible review into the recommendations made by the IAC's report?

Secondly, the Academy's booklet entitled '*The Science of Climate Change Questions and Answers*' provides no specific scientifically measured real-world evidence proving that human production of carbon dioxide caused global warming yet implies strong support for that notion. The Academy's booklet is not scientific. Its role seems to be that of unfounded and unscientific advocacy that undermines science.

I am advised that respected atmospheric physicist Professor Garth Paltridge was a member of the booklet's Oversight Committee but refused to have his name associated with the document.

After my earlier reading of the Academy booklet I concluded that that the booklet is not scientific and is misleading in that it misrepresents climate science and climate change. After checking the references recommended by Martin Callinan I am even more strongly of that conclusion.

How has the Academy attempted to ensure objectivity in producing its booklet?

I am reliably advised that the authors of the Academy's booklet broadly are largely associated with the UN IPCC, including UN IPCC authors. I am advised that many of the remainder are from CSIRO, an organization benefitting enormously from government funding on climate.

Separately, I have personally sought from two publicly prominent members of the Academy's Working Group specific, scientifically measured real-world evidence proving that human production of carbon dioxide caused global warming. In their written responses, both Professors Karoly and England failed to provide any such evidence. My experience of them is that both contradict and/or misunderstand science.

Professor Karoly has publicly stated a number of serious falsehoods that I consider to be lies. I conclude that his statements cannot be trusted. The work of John McLean seems to support my conclusion.

Similarly, in their written replies to my requests for real-world scientific evidence proving that human CO<sub>2</sub> caused global warming, the CSIRO Chief Executive, Dr Megan Clark and its Environmental Group Executive, Dr Andrew Johnson have failed to provide any such evidence as proof.

Over one third of the references to which Mr Callinan referred me are cited by the UN IPCC, discredited by the damning IAC report. Some of the references have been supplanted by recent work accessible to authors when writing the Academy's booklet.

Many of the Working Group that produced the Academy's booklet benefit—to varying degrees—from advocating for the supposition of human causation of global warming.

I conclude that the Academy's booklet simply parroted the UN IPCC and prominent academic advocates of the notion that human production of carbon dioxide caused catastrophic global warming.

How has the Academy ensured the integrity of the document given that Mr Martin Callinan advised that its publication was funded by the government's Department of Climate Change? This is of grave concern since the Department of Climate Change has clearly behaved in accordance with a politically driven agenda that has subverted and even contradicted real-world science. This is illustrated in its unfounded campaigns

scaring people on projected sea level rises and threats to much-loved Australian landmarks such as the Great Barrier Reef. The reality is that both the Department and its the current minister produce statements that misrepresent science and reality.

Indeed, the minister has repeatedly lied about carbon dioxide, CO<sub>2</sub>.

Given that many reputable scientists are now speaking within Australia and internationally against the UN IPCC's claims and reports, how has the Academy ensured a sufficiently broad base of members of the Working Group? I, and reputable scientists, question and seriously doubt the objectivity of the Academy's booklet?

Although not being scientific in its approach and apparently subject to the same criticisms as levelled by the IAC toward the UN IPCC's processes and procedures, the Academy's booklet was listed as a reference by the Climate Commission's publication entitled 'The Critical Decade'. That document was written by Professor Will Steffen who has a close working association with Professor Lambeck in that Will Steffen is Director of the Australian National University's Climate Change Institute, Kurt Lambeck's employer.

Please note that in response to my requests of Professors Lambeck and Steffen (sent by Registered Mail with Delivery Confirmation) both failed to provide any scientific evidence proving human causation of global warming. Similarly, in my public question at Ipswich to Will Steffen in his role as a government-funded Climate Commissioner, he failed to provide any scientifically measured real-world evidence that human production of carbon dioxide, CO<sub>2</sub> caused global climate change.

Is it merely a coincidence that the Academy's booklet emerged around the time of the IAC's damning report when the UN IPCC was under severe threat and needed endorsement?

I please request that the Academy conduct an independent investigation into the Academy's implied and stated support of the UN IPCC's 2007 report. Providing it is transparent and objective, such an inquiry will restore credibility to the Academy and to the roles of its officers and to its publications.

Third, I am advised that the Academy's then President, Professor Kurt Lambeck, acted in the role of monitor developing the Executive Summary of the Inter Academy Council's damning 2010 report on UN IPCC processes and procedures. I am advised that the Executive Summary conflicts in both material content and tone with the body of the IAC report. In doing so it seems that the IAC's own guidelines for producing the Executive Summary were contravened.

Please refer to <http://tome22.info/> and specifically <http://tome22.info/IAC-Report/>.

Professor Lambeck's foreword for the Academy's booklet contains major misrepresentations creating unfounded perceptions of certainty where significant doubt and strong disagreement exists among reputable scientists within the international and

Australian scientific communities. These disagreements are about fundamental aspects of the discussion on causation of supposedly threatening global warming.

I will be pleased to discuss my conclusions with you and Professor Lambeck and offer to do so in the company of reputable scientists who will accompany me to such a meeting.

The following references and links will demonstrate to you the extent and quality of internationally eminent scientists scientifically opposed to the unfounded suppositions spread by the Academy's booklet. All were produced by an authoritative international panel of independent and non-aligned scientists. Their aim and interest is in restoring scientific integrity to climate:

'*Nature, not Human Activity Rules the Climate*' available at:

[http://sepp.org/publications/NIPCC\\_final.pdf](http://sepp.org/publications/NIPCC_final.pdf)

'*Climate Change Reconsidered: The Report of the Nongovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2009*' available at <http://www.nipccreport.org/reports/2009/2009report.html>

'*Climate Change Reconsidered 2011 Interim Report of the Nongovernmental International Panel on Climate Change*' available at:

<http://www.nipccreport.org/reports/2011/2011report.html>

In fulfilling your responsibility to the Academy, to the community and to science I request that you please understand for yourself the body of the IAC report.

I am available to meet with you in company with reputable scientists in the fields of climate science and palaeoclimate science.

Please check my declaration of personal interests available here:

[http://www.conscious.com.au/\\_documents/additional%20material/Personal%20declaration%20of%20interests.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/_documents/additional%20material/Personal%20declaration%20of%20interests.pdf)

Please check documents available at my personal web site [www.conscious.com.au](http://www.conscious.com.au) and pages provided at [www.galileomovement.com.au](http://www.galileomovement.com.au). At the latter I recommend you visit sections 6, 1 and 2 available here:

- [http://www.galileomovement.com.au/political\\_scam\\_exposed.php](http://www.galileomovement.com.au/political_scam_exposed.php)

- [http://www.galileomovement.com.au/scientific\\_untruths.php](http://www.galileomovement.com.au/scientific_untruths.php)

- [http://www.galileomovement.com.au/science\\_futility.php](http://www.galileomovement.com.au/science_futility.php)

I look forward to your response and remain ready to assist and support.

Yours sincerely,

Malcolm-Ieuan: Roberts.

BE (Hons), MBA (Chicago)

Fellow AICD, MAIM, MAusIMM, MAME (USA), MIMM (UK), Fellow ASQ (USA, Aust)

Enclosures:

*'Exposing Corruption of Climate Science: Misrepresentations, Distortions, Omissions, Evasions, Myths and Lies'*;

This document is available at:

[http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/freedom\\_exposing.pdf](http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/freedom_exposing.pdf)

cc:

Mr Martin Callinan, Science Policy Manager, The Australian Academy of Science

Mr Rob Oakeshott, MP